

55 Leroy Road, Suite 15 Williston, VT 05495 Tel: 802-497-3653 Fax: 802-497-3656

October 12th, 2016

Shannon Morrison District Wetland Ecologist Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation Watershed Management Division One National Life Drive, Main Bldg., 2nd Floor Montpelier, VT 05620

Re: Waterbury Bridge No. 203 VT Rte 100 Vermont General Wetland Permit Application

Ms. Morrison:

EIV Technical Services has prepared the enclosed Vermont General Wetland Permit Application in regards to the above-referenced project. We have also attached relevant plans and location maps. Feel free to contact me with any further questions.

Regards,

Emmalee Cherington, CPESC Wetland Scientist / Environmental Engineer Vermont Wetlands Program General Permit Qualification Form

Under Sections 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules



4 October Descrit Fileshilts Observation
1. General Permit Eligibility Checklist: If you cannot verify all of the following, stop and proceed to the Individual Permit Application.
I he activity does not qualify as an Allowed Use under Section 6 of the Vermont Wetland Rules.
The activity does not need additional conditions to protect functions and values.
All impacts have been avoided and minimized to the greatest extent possible.
The wetland complex is not significant for Function 5.5 Exemplary Wetland Natural Community or 5.6 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat, or applicant has received a waiver letter from VT Fish and Wildlife. (attach waiver)
The activity is not located in or adjacent to a vernal pool, fen, or bog.
The wetland is not at or above 2,500' in elevation (headwaters wetland).
The project is not located in a Class I wetland or associated buffer zone.
The activity is not an as-built project that constitutes a violation of the Vermont Wetland Rules.
The activity is not associated with an activity which received a Wetland Permit.
2 Project Time (as described in the Consul Dermit)
Linear Project (linear facilities)
3. Wetland Type Proposed for Impact
Managed Area _ <choose secondary=""></choose>
4. 50ft Wetland Buffer Proposed for Impact
Managed Area <choose secondary=""></choose>
 5. Activity Threshold based on the selections above, select the appropriate threshold. If the activity is greater than the thresholds below, stop and proceed to the Individual Permit Application. eg: Project type is non-linear, wetland and buffer type is managed and natural, and total impacts are 700 sqft → choose option (d) below. (a) The total activity impacts proposed are <3,000 square feet of managed wetland or buffer and will not exceed 999 square feet of natural wetland or buffer and will not exceed 149 square feet of surface water margins.
(b) The activity is associated with a linear project and total activity impacts proposed are <5,000 square feet of managed wetland or buffer and will not exceed 2,999 square feet of natural wetland or buffer and will not exceed 149 square feet of surface water margins.
 6. Section 8B Specific Activity Best Management Practices All permittees covered under the VT Wetland General Permit must implement best management practices (BMP) under section V. of the permit. Here, identify if the proposed activity must implement special BMPs in accordance with Section 8B [] 8B(a) Placement, relocation, removal, or upgrade of overhead utility lines
B8(b) Installation of underground facilities including utilities, dry hydrants, foundation drains, and wells
BB(c) Activities in surface water body margins
None Apply

The Secretary may require a person applying for an authorization under a general permit to apply for an individual permit. VWR §9.8. Contact your District Ecologist to verify eligibility before submittal.

Vermont Wetlands Program Permit Application Database Form Under Sections 8 and 9



of the Vermont Wetland	Rules		• • · ·
Application Submittal Instructions			
 If submitting via US post, include a check in applications that contain large files (1 MB Mail to: Vermont We Watershed Ma One National L Montpelier, V 	the correct fee amour or greater). etlands Program nagement Division ife Drive, Main 2 /T 05620-3522	nt made payable to the "	State of Vermont," and a CD for
 Applications can also be submitted via email If submitting via email, please mail of the Vermont Wetlands Program Apmail in a copy of the complete approximation 	il to the following addr a check in the correct plication Database Fo <i>lication.</i>	ess: <u>anr.wsmdwetlands</u> fee amount, made payal rm (this page) to the ado	@vermont.gov ble to the " State of Vermont ," and a copy dress provided above. <i>It is not necessary to</i>
Applicant Name: Vermont Agency of Transp	ortation Appl	ication Preparer Na	ne: Emmalee Cherington
Town where project is located: Waterbu	ry	County: Washingtor	1
Span#:		Vermont Wetland	s Project (VWP)# if Known:
Project Location Description: 911 street address or direction from nearest intersed Brief Project Summary: The current steel culvert was install liner sections and grou	Bridge #203 is loc tion north of the interse installed in 1932 for small stream/set between the host pipe and the line	ection of VT Route 100, ection of VT Route 2) ep passage. The culvert is now extrem r. The project additionally requires instr	140 feet south of Bittersweet Lane (5 miles
Application Type: Individual Permit (multiple Individual Permit (single wetland) Image: Gene	Itiple wetlands) Af	ter the Fact Permit [uthorization □Per	Wetland Determination mit Amendment: VWP Project #
Existing Land Use Type(s): (Check all that	apply) □Residential(prestry □Parks/Re	(single family) □Reside ec/Trail □Institutior	ential (subdivision) □Undeveloped nal □Industrial/Commercial
Proposed Land Use Type(s): (Check all that □Agriculture ■Transportation □Fo	at apply) □Residential prestry □Parks/Re	(single family) □Resider ec/Trail □Institutior	ntial (subdivision)
Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that a) Driveway Park/Path Road Aesthetics	<i>pply)</i>	Itilities	Septic/Well Stormwater
Wetland and Buffer Impact Type: (Check	all that apply) Dredg	e □Drain ■Cut Vo	egetation IStormwater
Wetland Improvements	Buffer Zone l	mprovements	Reason for Improvements
Restoration:s.f.Creation:s.f.Enhancement:s.f.Conservation:s.f.	Restoration: Creation: Enhancement: Conservation:	s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f. s.f.	Correction of Violation
Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Rour	d to the nearest squ	are foot. Fees will au	to-calculate.
Total Wetland Impact (minus linear clear, including ATF) 90	0 square feet (s.f.)	Vetland Impact Fee:(\$0.	75/sf) ^{\$} 675.00

(minus inical cical, moldarig /mi)			
Total Wetland Clearing (qualified linear projects only)	square feet (s.f.)	Wetland Clearing Fee:(\$0.25/sf)	\$ 0.00
After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation)	square feet (s.f.)	After the Fact Wetland Fee: (0.75/sf) (Required for after the fact permit applications)	^{\$} 0.00
Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calc	ulations: Round to th	e nearest square foot	
Total Buffer Zone Impact	800 square feet (s.f.)	Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf)	\$ 200.00
Additional Fees	a stand to be the second		
		Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: [(Flat fee of \$200.00)	\$ 0.00
		Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.0	°0.00
		Administrative Fee:	\$240.00
Make Checks Payable to: State of V	ermont	Total Check Amount:	\$ 1,115.00

Application for Authorization Under the Vermont General Wetland Permit and Determination Petition



Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules

Applicant Information: If the applicant is someone other t	than the landowner, the landowne	r information must be includ	ed below
Applicant Name: John Lepore representing Vermont Agency of Transportation			
Address: One National Life	City/Town: Montpelier	State vT	Zip: 05633-5001
Phone Number: (802) 828-2672	Email Address: john.lepore(@vermont.gov	
Applicant Certification:			
By signing this application you are certifying that all of the i your knowledge. Original signature is required.	information contained within is	true, accurate, and com	plete to the best of
Applicant Signature:	Va	Date: 0	2016
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Landowner Information: Landowner must sign the applice	tion, If landowner is different from	the applicant this section n	nust be filled out
UCheck this box if landowner is the same as the	applicant		
Landowner Name:	Cituttaur	Otata	7:
Address:	City/Town	State:	
Phone Number:	Email Address:		
Landowner Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all the info your knowledge. Original signature is required. Landowner Signature:	ormation contained within is tru	ue, accurate, and comple	ete to the best of
Application Proportor Information: Consultant	er other representative that is r	ananaikla for filling out the	opplication if other
than the applicant of t	r landowner.	esponsible for mining out the	application, if other
Application Preparer Name: Emmalee Cherington			
Address: 55 Leroy Rd, Suite 15	City/Town Williston	State: VT	Zip:05495
Phone Number: (802) 497-3653	Email Address: echeringtor	n@eivtech.com	
Application Preparer Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all of the i your knowledge. Original signature is required.	information contained within is	true, accurate, and com	plete to the best of
Application Preparer Signature:		Date:	

Handwritten signatures are also accepted.

nds Program before the application submittal.
nds Program before the application submittal. 2.2. State Wetland Ecologist Name Shannon Morrisson
Shannon Morrisson
IPA Section 3.1)
tland tion, it does so because:
, which includes all wetland areas connected to the wetland d on desktop review when wetland extends past the out the wetland in the project area will follow.
ne Wetland Inventory Map for mapped wetlands, or best by or site visit. This is not the size of the of the delineated ety of the wetland is represented in the delineation.
14.2) their percent cover. amp; or 30% scrub swamp, 70% emergent wetland
nd: (IPA Section 4.7) e of the proposed project that may influence the wetland. tland encroachments on and off the subject property, tland, or development that influences hydrology or water or CUD's related to this property.
ne slope is mowed regularly by the district.
e larger wetland or wetland complex described above.
ulvert. The greater wetland complex is at the
d dominant plant species. For example: emergent marsh vellow birch; shrub swamp dominated by speckled alder and

7. Buffer Zone: (IPA Section 5.6)

Describe the buffer zone of the subject wetland

7.1 Buffer Land Use: (IP Section 5.6.1)

For example: Mowed shoulder, forested, old field, paved road, and residential lawns, etc. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the buffer zone.

The upland area bordering the wetland consists of medium sized Malus spp., Crataegus spp. and Ulmus Americana

8. Wetland Function Summary: (IPA Section 6) Check which functions are present in the wetland	complex
Flood/Storm Storage	RTE Species
Surface & Groundwater Protection	Education & Research
☐ Fish Habitat	Recreation/Economic
Wildlife Habitat	Open Space/Aesthetics
Exemplary Natural Community	Erosion Control

9. Overall Project Description: (IPA Section 17)
9.1. Overall Project Purpose: (IPA Section 17.1) Description of the basic project. For example: six-lot residential subdivision; expansion of an existing commercial building, building a single family residence.
The scope of work involved in this project includes lining the existing culvert with a 62 foot long 54" poly-coated steel liner. A 100' by 16' temporary access road will be installed at the inlet of the culvert.
10. Project Details: (IPA Section 18) Provide details regarding specific impacts to the wetland and buffer zone.
10.1. Specific Impacts to Wetland and Buffer Zone Dimensions: (IPA Section 18.1) List portions of the project that will specifically impact the wetland or buffer zone and their dimensions. For example: driveway crossing with 16' wide fill, installation of buried sewer force main with 5' trench Including fill footprint.
A temporary access road will be built at the inlet of the existing culvert (100' x 16'). The road will be located within the wetland buffer zone, creating an impact of 50' x 16' (800 SF).
10.2. Bridges and Culverts: (IPA Section 18.2) Culvert circumference, length, placement and shapes, or bridge details. List any stream alteration permits that are required or obtained where perennial streams or rivers are involved.
The proposed culvert liner is 54" poly-coated, 62 ft long. A stream alteration permit will not be required. ACOE Section 404 Category 2 will be required.

	Summarize the square footage of impact in the a	ppropriate category	. Round to ne	arest square foot
	Permanent Wetland Fill		s.f.	
	Temporary Wetland Impact		<u>s.f.</u>	
	Other Permanent Wetland Impact	900	s.t.	
	vegetation, dredging, and does not include fill)			
	Total Wetland Impact:	900	s.f.	
Des For	cribe in detail the proposed impact to wetlands example: Fill for road crossing, temporary impact	s for trench and fill	related to utility	installation.
ll to allevi	ate scour will impact the wetland at the in	let (30' x 30' tota	ling 900 SF).	
11.2	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2)			T
11.2.	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a	ppropriate category	•	
11.2.	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a	ppropriate category	•	
11.2.	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a	ppropriate category	•	
11.2	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the approximation of the square footage of the squa	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 e f		
11.2,	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact:	ppropriate category 800 s.f. 5.f. 800 s.f.	•	
11.2. Desc	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: cribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f.		
Desc For e	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: cribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankmed	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b	uffer zone.	
11.2. Desc For e	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the approximation of the square footage of impact in the approximation of the square footage of impact in the approximation of the square footage of impact in the square f	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the line	uffer zone.	t the buffer zon
Desc For e portion of	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: tribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16' totaling 800 SE	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. ner will impac	t the buffer zone
Desc For e portion of a area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: cribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF.	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. ner will impac	t the buffer zone
Desc For e portion of area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: cribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF.	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. her will impac	t the buffer zon
Desc For e portion of area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: tribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF.	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. her will impac	t the buffer zon
Desc For e portion of a area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: cribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankmed f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF.	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. ner will impac	t the buffer zone
Desc For e portion of a area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: eribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF.	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. ner will impac	t the buffer zone
Desc For e portion of n area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: wribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF. Cumulative Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3)	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. her will impac	t the buffer zon
Desc For e portion of area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: tribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankmed f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF. Cumulative Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3) List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct at	ppropriate category 800 s.f. 5.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lir	uffer zone. her will impac	t the buffer zon
11.2. Desc For e portion of n area of s	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: tribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankment f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF. Cumulative Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3) List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct ar For example: Increased noise from parking lot, we applied to the set of the	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lin and indirect impacts of regetation manager	uffer zone. her will impac	t the buffer zone
Desc For e portion of n area of \$	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: cribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankmed f the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF. Cumulative Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3) List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct ar For example: Increased noise from parking lot, v outlet, reduction in flood storage volume from the	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lin nd indirect impacts of regetation managent addition of fill from	uffer zone. her will impac on the functions nent, inputs from the project.	t the buffer zone
Desc For e portion o n area of s 11.3. he propos urrently, t	Buffer Zone Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2) Summarize the square footage of impact in the a Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact Total Buffer Impact: tribe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones example: Addition of fill along roadway embankmed the temporary access road necessary for 50' x 16', totaling 800 SF. Cumulative Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3) List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct ar For example: Increased noise from parking lot, volted, reduction in flood storage volume from the ed project should not have any cumulative he culvert suffers from sever deterioration	ppropriate category 800 s.f. s.f. 800 s.f. ent extending into b r installing the lin addition of fill from e impacts on the , potentially allow	uffer zone. her will impace on the functions nent, inputs from the project. function of the wing erosion	t the buffer zone of the wetland. n stormwater pond he wetland. and scour and

12. Mitigation Sequence: (IPA Section 20) Please refer to Section 9.5b of the rules on Mitigation Sequencing for this section. 12.1. Avoidance of Wetland Impacts: (IPA Section 20.1) 12.1.1. Can the activity be located on another site owned or controlled by the applicant, or reasonably available to satisfy the basic project purpose? If not, indicate why. Cite any alternative sites and explain why they were not chosen. The access road was specifically located in to minimize the impacts on the greater wetland complex. This is the least obstructive method repair possible in terms of potential impact. 12.1.2. Can the proposed activity be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone? If not. indicate why. Explain the alternatives you have explored for avoiding the wetland and buffer onsite, And why they are not feasible. The current culvert requires replacement before there is significant failure at the road level. It will create less impact to install a liner into the current location than to install a new culvert. 12.2. Avoidance to the Impact to Functions and Values: (IPA Section 20.2) 12.2.1. If the proposed activity cannot be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone. have all practicable measures been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions? Yes 12.2.2. What design alternatives were examined to avoid impacts to wetland function? For example: Use of matting, relocation of footprint, etc. The area related to the temporary access road will be grubbed, seeded and mulched upon reaching finished grade. Additionally, the required bypass pumping will consist of a sump/sand bag dam that diverts water through the proposed liner in an effort to minimize additional scour. 12.2.3. What steps have been taken to minimize the size and scope of the project to avoid impacts to wetland functions and values? Include information on project size reduction and relocation. The project has been designed to install a liner as opposed to an entirely new culvert which would require a greater footprint and impact area due to the need to maintain traffic on VT 100. 12.2.4. Explain how the proposed project represents the least impact alternative design. Explain why other alternatives, which you described above, were not chosen. In order to install a new culvert or box culvert in this location, the road would have to be closed for an extended period of time. The project would require constructing a cofferdam in order to control the seeping water, the existing culvert would need to be removed, a new culvert installed and backfilled. The project footprint would be greatly larger than the existing proposal.

3. Wetland Determina	ation: (IP Section 21) volves a wetland determination plea	use answer the following
in the application in		ise allower the following.
☐ Wetland is m □ Wetland is no	napped or contiguous to the Vermor ot mapped on or contiguous to the \	nt Significant Wetland Inventory Map /ermont Significant Wetland Inventory Map
13.1. Reason f Please ch	or Petition: (IP Section 21.1) poose one from the dropdown menu	
<choose on<="" td=""><td>ie></td><td></td></choose>	ie>	
13.3. Determin Please pi previous the function application water stor	ation Narrative: (IP Section 21.2) rovide any narrative to support the p decisions by the Secretary or Water ons and values present. Here add r n and described in section 5 of the rage and surface water protection b	Detition for a wetland determination here, including r Board. Determinations are made based on an evaluation of narrative description on the functions listed in section 8 of this Vermont Wetland Rules. For example: Wetland provides ecause it is large in size, concave, and naturally vegetated.
4. Supporting Materia	als: (IP Section 22)	
**ΔΠΟΙΤΙΟΝΔΙ ΜΔ		PPLICATION COMPLETE
14.1. **Locatio Provide a The Verm	n Map: (IP Section 22.1) location map that is 8 ½" x 11" and ont Natural Resources Atlas is appl od VSWI wotlands	separate from any site plans. ropriate using USGS topography map base layer,
<u>10</u> 803, 811	Date	Title
Aug	gust 16, 2016	Waterbury Bridge 203
14.2. **Site Pla Please lis and buffe memoriali	n (s): (IP Section 22.2) t by date, date of last revision, author r zones, limits of disturbance, erosic ization.	or, and title. Plans must include wetland delineation
Title	leasen Clautis	Author Date Last Revision Date
14.3. Other Su Provide a	pporting Documents: (IP Section 2: ny other documentation that suppor	2.5) Ts the application.
Examples GIS shape	s include but are not limited to: Prefiles, additional ACOF forms	hotographs, easements, agreements, restoration/plan,
	A (() A)	
Date Last	Revision Author	Title
Date Last	Revision Author Scott Hance Scott Hance	Title Wetland Delineation Form- Upland
Date Last 5/15/2016 5/15/2016	Revision Author Scott Hance Scott Hance	Title Wetland Delineation Form- Upland Wetland Delineation Form- Wetland





WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Vermont Route 100 Bridge 89	City/County: Washington Sampling Date: 5/15/2016
Applicant/Owner: VTRANS	State: VT Sampling Point: Wetland
Investigator(s): Scott Hance	Section, Township, Range: Waterbury
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope %: 3-8%
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	Long: 72.7188888 Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam	NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	Yes x No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly distur	bed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes x No
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally problema	atic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sam	pling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Understrutie Versetation Descent2	In the Semulad Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes x No	within a Wetland? Yes X No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes x No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	s
x	
	x x
×	
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)Water-Stained Leaves (E	39) <u>x</u> Drainage Patterns (B10)
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
x Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres of	on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Irc	on (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in	Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
x Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remar	ks) x Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No x Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes x No Depth (inches):	10 Wetland Hydrology Brocont? You Y No
Saturation Present? res No Depth (inches).	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, pre	vious inspections), if available:
Remarks:	
	,
ц.	

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Wetland

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet
1.	<u></u>		Otatus	Dominance rest worksheet.
2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
3.				
4.		A STATE OF A		Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
5.	· ·	Jerren and State of S		Porcent of Dominant Species
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 30')				OBL species X 1 = 40
1. Salix discolor	60	Yes	FACW	FACW species <u>110</u> x 2 = <u>220</u>
2. Spiraea tomentosa	30	Yes	FACW	FAC species X 3 = 90
3	,	v		FACU species x 4 =
4.			<u> </u>	UPL species x 5 =
5				Column Totals: <u>180</u> (A) <u>350</u> (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A =1.94
7	No			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	90	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex stricta	40	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
2. Onoclea sensibilis	20	Yes	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations ' (Provide supporting
3. Solidago sp.	30	Yes	FAC	data in remarks of on a separate sheety
4.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)
5.			a	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6.			2	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast neight (DBH), regardless of neight.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
12				and greater than of equal to 3.20 ft (1 m) tail.
12.	00	-Total Cover	<u></u>	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 5')				
1				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height
2				
3.			<u></u>	Hydrophytic
4.	<u></u>	<u></u>		Vegetation Present? Yes X No
	San san ang san	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet.)			
· · ·	,			
			uno no n	

SOIL

Sampling Point Wetland

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to docu	ument ti	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix	~ ~ ~	Redo	x Featur	es 1	. 2		
(inches)	Color (moist)		Color (moist)		Type	Loc [~]	lexture Remarks	
0-6	10yr 3/2	98	10yr 5/6	2	<u> </u>		Prominent redox concentrations	s
6-10	10vr 4/2	100						
<u> </u>								
<u> </u>		Sectory (Sectory of the sectory of t				<u> </u>		
<u></u>						<u> </u>		
		in the second second						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	×						
		,			<u></u>	<u> </u>		
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	·		()				
<u> </u>				lar anna an st				
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	IS=Masl	ked Sand	Grains.	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	ndicators:						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfac	ce (S8) (I	.RR R,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)	
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B))			Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)	
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9)	(LRR R	MLRA 1	49B)5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R	R)
X Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	ands (S	11) (LR F	R K, L)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral ((F1) (LR F	R K, L)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (I	-2)		Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, F	R)
	Irk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix	((F3) rfaaa /F	C)		Pleamont Floodplain Solis (F19) (WLRA 149	(3B)
Sandy G	loved Matrix (S4)		Redux Dark Su	Surface	(F7)		Nesic Spould (TAO) (NECKA 144A, 145, 145)
Sandy B	edox (S5)		Bedox Depress	tions (F8	(17) R)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K. L.)	,		Other (Explain in Remarks)	
x Dark Sur	face (S7)			, _,				
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetati	ion and w	etland hydrology mu	ist be pr	esent, ur	less dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive I	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No	
Remarks:						I		-
This data for	m is revised from No	rthcentral	and Northeast Regi	onal Su	pplemen	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils	
version 7.0 N	iarch 2013 Errata. (h	ttp://www.	nrcs.usda.gov/Inter	net/FSE	_DOCUN	/IENTS/n	rcs142p2_051293.docx)	

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Vermont Route 100 Bridge 89		City/County: Washington	Sampling Date: 5/15/2016
Applicant/Owner: VTRANS		State: VT	Sampling Point: upland
Investigator(s): Scott Hance		Section, Township, Range: Waterbu	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Local re	elief (concave, convex, none): Concave	Slope %: 3-8%
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	Lat: 44.4008333	Long: 72.7188888	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam		NWI classification:	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typic	al for this time of year?	Yes x No (If no e	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology	significantly disturbe	ed? Are "Normal Circumstances" prese	ent? Yes x No
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology	naturally problemati	ic? (If needed explain any answers in	Remarks)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map snowing samp	bling point locations, transects, im	portant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No x	Is the Sampled Area	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	No x	within a Wetland? Yes	No_X_
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No x	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or	in a separate report.)		
a. /			
HYDROLOGY		1	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (n	ninimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; ch	eck all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks	; (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9	 Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B	16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water	Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C	1) Crayfish Burrows (C	(8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on	Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible o	n Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron	(C4) Stunted or Stressed	I Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in	Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Positio	n (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aguitard (D	3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks	Microtopographic R	elief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (I	D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? Yes No	x Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No_X_
(includes capillary fringe)			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, previ	ious inspections), if available:	
×			
Remarks:			
. ۲			
9			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: upland

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
<u>Iree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Uimus americana	5	<u></u>	FACW	Number of Dominant Species
2. Acer rubrum	60	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)
3. Malus domestica	40	Yes	;	Total Number of Dominant
4. Crataegus	10	<u>No</u>	7 	Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
5		. <u></u>		Percent of Dominant Species
6.		<u></u>		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 60.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	115	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 30')				OBL species x 1 =
1. Lonicera tatarica	50	Yes		FACW species 25 x 2 = 50
2. Acer rubrum	2	No	FAC	FAC species 82 x 3 = 246
3. Spiraea tomentosa	5	No	<u></u>	FACU species x 4 =
4			A	UPL species x 5 =
5		<u></u>	0	Column Totals: 107 (A) 296 (B)
6		<u> </u>	t	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.77
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	57	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size:10')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Onoclea sensibilis	20	Yes	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Solidago sp.	20	Yes	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.	-,			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.		·	<u>, </u>	
6.		·	20	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.		C		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.		Commentation and a company of the comment		
9.		REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF	Martine-Concentration and a set	l ree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.		<u> </u>	<u></u>	
11.		b	5d	Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in, DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12		<u> </u>	<u></u>	
	40	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 5')				Moody vines All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1.				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.		<u></u>		Present? Yes X No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		=Total Cover	<u></u>	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet.)			
		the second s		ланынын илтен илтен санан алтан _и лт _а тар илтен илтен алтан а

.

SOIL

Sampling Point upland

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches) C	olor (moist)		Color (moist)	_%_	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-10	7.5Yr 4/3	100						
					Constraint and the lines	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a a construction of the second sec
		-		()))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	(
terretaria de la constante de	<u></u>							
			2-11-5-15-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-					
			9885					
		001-100003000000						
		(manual 1		Resident set also w		T		
	_			_				
						<u> </u>		
		,				·	and and the second s	
¹ Type: C=Concenti	ration, D=Deple	tion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	² Location: PL=P	ore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicat	tors:						Indicators for P	roblematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)			Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	.RR R,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epipedor	n (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast Prairie	e Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Histic (A	3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9)	(LRR R,	MLRA 1	49B)5 cm Mucky	Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen Sulfic	de (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) (LRF	R Κ, L)	Polyvalue Be	elow Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Stratified Layer	s (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) (LRF	R K, L)	Thin Dark Su	urface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Below	v Dark Surface	(A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Iron-Mangan	ese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Dark Sur	face (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont Flo	oodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Mucky N	/lineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	Inface (F	6)		Mesic Spodi	c (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Gleyed I	Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Parent I	Material (F21)
Sandy Redox (S5)		Redox Depres	sions (F8	3)		Very Shallow	v Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped Matrix	(S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (Expla	in in Remarks)
Dark Surface (S	57)							
31								
Indicators of hydro	phytic vegetation	on and w	etiand hydrology mi	ust be pr	esent, ur	iless disti	Inded of problematic.	
Tunor	n observeu).							
туре.								
Depth (inches):			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Hydric Soll Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>
Remarks:								
This data form is re	vised from Nor	thcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS F	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils
	cors chata. (ni	ιp.//www.	files.usua.gowinter	nevroe		/IEN 1 3/11	cs142p2_001290.000x)	



Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

10/10/2016 Page 1 of 3

MAP LEGEND						
Area of Inte	erest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area			
	Area of Interest (AOI)	٥	Stony Spot			
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	Ø	Very Stony Spot			
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	S.	Wet Spot			
	Soil Map Unit Points		Other			
Special F	Point Features	200	Special Line reacties			
o	Blowout	Water Feat	ures			
X	Borrow Pit	~	Streams and Canals			
涎	Clay Spot	Transporta	Rails			
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways			
ers.	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes			
20	Gravelly Spot		Major Roads			
Ø	Landfill	The S	Local Roads			
A	Lava Flow	Backgrour	d			
عله	Marsh or swamp		Aerial Photography			
Ŕ	Mine or Quarry					
0	Miscellaneous Water					
0	Perennial Water					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rock Outcrop					
· +	Saline Spot					
0 0 0 0	Sandy Spot					
-	Severely Eroded Spot					
· •	Sinkhole					
3>	Slide or Slip					
ø	Sodic Spot					

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Washington County, Vermont Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 25, 2015

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 1, 2011—Sep 26, 2011

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Natural Resources Conservation Service

USDA

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Washington County, Vermont (VT023)						
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI			
14B	Colonel fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.0	0.0%			
14C	Colonel fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	4.7	51.0%			
178	Cabot silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	4.5	49.0%			
Totals for Area of Interest		9.2	100.0%			

Map Unit Legend

