# Vermont Wetlands Program General Permit Qualification Form

Under Sections 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules





1. General Permit Eligibility Checklist:  If you cannot verify all of the following, stop and proceed to the Individual Permit Application.
The activity does not qualify as an Allowed Use under Section 6 of the Vermont Wetland Rules.
☐The activity does not need additional conditions to protect functions and values.
$\square$ All impacts have been avoided and minimized to the greatest extent possible.
☐ The wetland complex is not significant for Function 5.5 Exemplary Wetland Natural Community or 5.6 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat, or applicant has received a waiver letter from VT Fish and Wildlife. (attach waiver)
☐The activity is not located in or adjacent to a vernal pool, fen, or bog.
☐The wetland is not at or above 2,500' in elevation (headwaters wetland).
☐The project is not located in a Class I wetland or associated buffer zone.
☐The activity is not an as-built project that constitutes a violation of the Vermont Wetland Rules.
☐The activity is not associated with an activity which received a Wetland Permit.
2. Project Type (as described in the General Permit)
Non-Linear Project
3. Wetland Type Proposed for Impact
Surface Water Margin _ Managed Area
4. 50ft Wetland Buffer Proposed for Impact     Surface Water Margin Managed Area
<ul> <li>5. Activity Threshold based on the selections above, select the appropriate threshold. If the activity is greater than the thresholds below, stop and proceed to the Individual Permit Application. eg: Project type is non-linear, wetland and buffer type is managed and natural, and total impacts are 700 sqft → choose option (d) below.</li> <li>(a) The total activity impacts proposed are &lt;3,000 square feet of managed wetland or buffer and will not exceed 999 square feet of natural wetland or buffer and will not exceed 149 square feet of surface water</li> </ul>
margins.
(b) The activity is associated with a linear project <b>and</b> total activity impacts proposed are <5,000 square feet of managed wetland or buffer <b>and</b> will not exceed 2,999 square feet of natural wetland or buffer <b>and</b> will not exceed 149 square feet of surface water margins.
6. Section 8B Specific Activity Best Management Practices All permittees covered under the VT Wetland General Permit must implement best management practices (BMP) under section V. of the permit. Here, identify if the proposed activity must implement special BMPs in accordance with Section 8B  8B(a) Placement, relocation, removal, or upgrade of overhead utility lines
BB(b) Installation of underground facilities including utilities, dry hydrants, foundation drains, and wells
BB(c) Activities in surface water body margins
None Apply

The Secretary may require a person applying for an authorization under a general permit to apply for an individual permit. VWR §9.8. Contact your District Ecologist to verify eligibility before submittal.

# Vermont Wetlands Program Permit Application Database Form

Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules



### **Application Submittal Instructions**

If submitting via US post, include a check in the correct fee amount made payable to the "State of Vermont," and a CD for applications that contain large files (1 MB or greater).

Mail to: Vermont Wetlands Program
Watershed Management Division
One National Life Drive, Main 2
Montpelier, VT 05620-3522

- Applications can also be submitted via email to the following address: anr.wsmdwetlands@state.vt.us
  - If submitting via email, please mail a check in the correct fee amount, made payable to the "State of Vermont," and a copy of the Vermont Wetlands Program Application Database Form (this page) to the address provided above. It is not necessary to mail in a copy of the complete application.

	pplication Preparer Name: Jeffrey Severson			
Town where project is located: Chittenden	County: Rutland			
Span#:	Vermont Wetlands Project (VWP)# if F	(nown: 2016-255		
Project Location Description: 146 Chittende	en Road, Chittenden, VT 05737			
911 street address or direction from nearest intersection	an mode and from a Class III to a Class III III II			
Brief Project Summary: Petition to reclassify a VSWI-mapped ma	in-made pond from a Class II to a Class III Wetland			
Application Type: 🖂	■Wetland Determination			
☐ General Permit Covera		oject #		
Existing Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply)	itial (single family) □Residential (subdivision) ■Ur	ndeveloped		
□Agriculture □Transportation □Forestry □Park	s/Rec/Trail □Institutional □Industrial/Com	mercial		
Proposed Land Use Type(s): (Check all that apply) ■Resider	ntial (single family) $\square$ Residential (subdivision) $\blacksquare$ Unde	eveloped		
	s/Rec/Trail □Institutional □Industrial/Com	mercial		
Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that apply) ☐ Buildings	☐Utilities ☐Parking ☐Septic/Well ☐Storm	vater		
□Driveway □Park/Path □Agriculture □Pond □Law	n $\qed$ Dry Hydrant $\qed$ Beaver Dam Alteration $\qed$	Silviculture		
<sup>⋄</sup> □Road □Aesthetics ■No Impact □Other:				
Wetland and Buffer Impact Type: (Check all that apply) $\Box$ D	redge Drain DCut Vegetation DStormwate			
☐Trench/Fill ☐Other:				
Wetland Delineation Date(s): 7/8/16 and 7/12/16				
Wetland Improvements Buffer Zo	one Improvements Reason for Im	provements		
Restoration: s.f. Restoration:	s.f. Correction of Violation			
Creation: s.f. Creation:	s.f. ☐To offset permit impa	acts		
Enhancement: s.f. Enhancement:	s.f. ☐Voluntary			
Conservation: s.f. Conservation:	s.f.			
Wetland Impact Fee Calculations: Round to the nearest	square foot. Fees will auto-calculate.			
Total Wetland Impact square feet (s.f. (minus linear clear, including ATF)		\$ 0.00		
Total Wetland Clearing square feet (s.f.	Wetland Clearing Fee:(\$0.25/sf)	\$		
(qualified linear projects only)		0.00		
		<sup>\$</sup> 0.00		
Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calculations: Round to t	he nearest square foot			
Total Buffer Zone Impact square feet (s.f.)	Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf)	\$ 0.00		
Additional Fees				
	Agricultural Crop Conversion Check here: (Flat fee of \$200.00)	<sup>\$</sup> 0.00		
	Minimum Application Fee: (\$50.00) Required when total impact fee is less than \$50.00	\$ 50.00		
	Administrative Fee:	\$240.00		
Make Checks Payable to: State of Vermont	Total Check Amount:	\$240.00		

## Application for Authorization Under the Vermont General Wetland Permit and Determination Petition



Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules

Applicant Information: If the applicant is someone other than the	e landowner, the landowner informa	tion must be included below		
Applicant Name: Michael and Terry Arciero Address: 10 Washington Lane		N		
Address: 10 Washington Lane	City/Town: Mahwah Email Address: Marciero@	State New Jersey Zip: 07430		
Phone Number: (201) 424 6693	Email Address: Marciero@	veeco r.com		
Applicant Certification:				
By signing this application you are certifying that all of the inform	nation contained within is true, a	ccurate, and complete to the best of		
Applicant Signature: Date: $\frac{\beta}{2}/16$				
Landowner Information: Landowner must sign the application.		licant this section must be filled out		
MCheck this box if landowner is the same as the app	licant			
Landowner Name:	Lov. 17	Local		
Address:	City/Town	State: Zip:		
Phone Number:  Landowner Easement: Attach copies of any easements, agreements	Email Address:			
Landowner Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all the informat your knowledge. Original signature is required.	ion contained within is true, accu			
Landowner Signature: Suffer Michael & March Date: 8/2/16				
Application Preparer Information: Consultant, engineer, or of than the applicant or land	owner.			
Application Preparer Name: Jeffrey Severson, Oakledge Address: P.O. Box 4065	e Environmentai Service	s, inc.		
Address: P.O. BOX 4065	City/Town Burlington	State: Vermont   Zip: 0540 <b>6</b> n@burlingtontelecom.net		
Phone Number: (802) 660-8312	Email Address: Jeπ.severso	in@purlingtontelecom.net		
Application Preparer Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all of the inform your knowledge. Original signature is required.		ccurate, and complete to the best of		
Jeffrey E. Severson Application Preparer Signature:	Digitally signed by Jeffrey E. Severson Date: 2016.08.01 15:15:50 -04'00'	August 1, 2016		
Application Fiebalet Signature		_ Date:		

Handwritten signatures are also accepted.

<ol> <li>Location of wetland and project: (Individual Permit Application description should include the road the wetlar relation to the road, 911 street address if available, and</li> </ol>	nd is located on, the compass direction of the wetland in
	and is located on the Arciero property on the east side of Chittenden Road
Program Contact: (IPA Section2)     Indicate here if you have been in contact with the Wetla     2.1 Date of Interaction with State Wetland     Ecologist	ands Program before the application submittal.  2.2. State Wetland Ecologist Name
July 20, 2016	Zapata Courage
3. Wetland Classification: (IPA Section 3)	
3.1. The wetland is a class II wetland because:	(IPA Section 3.1)
The wetland is mapped on the VSWI	
3.2. Section 4.6 Presumption (IPA Section 3.2)  If the wetland meets the Section 4.6 Presump	otion, it does so because:
<choose one=""></choose>	
<choose one=""></choose>	
<choose one=""></choose>	
4. Description of Entire Wetland: (IPA Section 4) Answer the following questions regarding the entire wetland area proposed for impact. Answers may be estimates base investigation area (parcel boundary). Specific questions ab	d, which includes all wetland areas connected to the wetland ed on desktop review when wetland extends past the bout the wetland in the project area will follow.
estimation based on review of aerial photograph	he Wetland Inventory Map for mapped wetlands, or best hy or site visit. This is not the size of the of the delineated rety of the wetland is represented in the delineation.
Approximately 0.4 acres, including the 0.23 acre V	/SWI-mapped man-made pond
4.2. Vegetation Cover Types Present: (IPA Section List all wetland types in the entire wetland and For example: 50 acres of softwood forested sw	
	ent hardwood forested swamp, 10 percent shrub-scrub swamp
Examples include but are not limited to: We	le of the proposed project that may influence the wetland. Land encroachments on and off the subject property, atland, or development that influences hydrology or water
Removal of old springhouse, removal of vegetation, grading an original man-made pond on the Arciero property.	d excavation of a small pond basin up-gradient from the
5. Context of Subject Wetland: (IPA Section 5.1)  Describe where the subject wetland is in the context of the For example: Upslope/downslope, narrow eastern "finger	e larger wetland or wetland complex described above. r", 400 ft. from open water portion.
The subject wetland for the wetland-reclassification petitialong the pond margin, a wetland area upslope of the po	on includes the VSWI-mapped pond, a wetland fringe nd, and a wetland "finger" adjacent to the pond's outlet.
6. Subject Wetland Vegetation: (IPA Section 5.3) List dominant wetland vegetation cover type and associated with cattails; forested swamp dominated by red maple and y peat moss; wet meadow dominated by reed canary grass.	d dominant plant species. For example: emergent marsh rellow birch; shrub swamp dominated by speckled alder and
Emergent marsh dominated by cattails, forested swamp do several willow species.	ominated by red maple, scrub-shrub swamp dominated by

7. Buffer Zone: (IPA Section 5.6)  Describe the buffer zone of the subject wetland	
7.1 Buffer Land Use: (IP Section 5.6.1)	
l control de la control de	sted, old field, paved road, and residential lawns, etc.
Describe any previous and ongoing d	
The buffer zone includes residential lawn,mai	intained grounds, and a section of hardwood forest.
8. Wetland Function Summary: (IPA Section 6) Check which functions are present in the wetland	Complex
■ Flood/Storm Storage	□ RTE Species
Surface & Groundwater Protection	☐ Education & Research
■ Fish Habitat	☐ Recreation/Economic
Wildlife Habitat	☐ Open Space/Aesthetics
☐ Exemplary Natural Community	☐ Erosion Control
9. Overall Project Description: (IPA Section 17)	
9.1. Overall Project Purpose: (IPA Section 17.	:1)
Description of the basic project.	
	sion; expansion of an existing commercial building, building
a single family residence.	
to a Class III wetland.	
10. Project Details: (IPA Section 18) Provide details regarding specific impacts to the w	retland and buffer zone.
10.1. Specific Impacts to Wetland and Buffe List portions of the project that will speci For example: driveway crossing with 16 Including fill footprint.	ier Zone Dimensions: (IPA Section 18.1) iffically impact the wetland or buffer zone and their dimensions. 6' wide fill, installation of buried sewer force main with 5' trench
No wetland or buffer zone impacts are propos	sed.
10.2. Bridges and Culverts: (IPA Section 18.2)	
Cuivert circumference, length, placemen permits that are required or obtained who	nt and shapes, or bridge details. List any stream alteration ere perennial streams or rivers are involved.
No bridges or culverts are proposed.	

Summari	Impacts: (IPA Section 19.1) ze the square footage of impact in	the appropriate	e category	/. Round to n	earest square foot
Permane	ent Wetland Fill		0	s.f.	
	ary Wetland Impact		0	s.f.	
	ermanent Wetland Impact		0	s.f.	
(this nun	nber includes clearing of woody		Ū		
	on, dredging, and does not include	fill)			
Total We	tland Impact:		0	s.f.	
	tail the proposed impact to wetlan Fill for road crossing, temporary in		ch and fill	related to utility	ı installation.
			000700000000000000000000000000000000000		
	ne Impacts: (IPA Section 19.2)				
	re the square footage of impact in	the appropriate	category		
Summariz		the appropriate	category		
Summariz Tempora Permane	er the square footage of impact in ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact				
Summariz Tempora Permane	re the square footage of impact in	0	s.f.		
Summariz Tempora Permana Total Bu	e the square footage of impact in ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact:	0 0 0	s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta	ere the square footage of impact in ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta	e the square footage of impact in ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact:	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:  ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:  ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:  ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:  ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:  ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba	0 0 0	s.f. s.f. s.f.		
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A  to buffer zone impa	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba cts are proposed.	0 0 0 ones ankment extend	s.f. s.f. s.f.	uffer zone.	
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A  o buffer zone impa  11.3. Cumulativ List any por For example	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact:  ail the proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway emba	ones ankment extend ect and indirect	s.f. s.f. s.f. ing into be	uffer zone.  on the functions nent, inputs fro	s of the wetland.
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A  o buffer zone impa  11.3. Cumulativ List any po For example outlet, redu	re the square footage of impact in ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact.  The proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway embacts are proposed.  The Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3) obtential cumulative or ongoing, din pole: Increased noise from parking function in flood storage volume from	o ones ankment extend ect and indirect lot, vegetation m the addition o	s.f. s.f. s.f. ing into but impacts of managem f fill from the	uffer zone. on the functions nent, inputs from the project.	n stormwater pond
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A  o buffer zone impa  11.3. Cumulativ List any po For example outlet, redu	ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact	o ones ankment extend ect and indirect lot, vegetation m the addition o	s.f. s.f. s.f. ing into but impacts of managem f fill from the	uffer zone. on the functions nent, inputs from the project.	n stormwater pond
Summariz  Tempora Permane Total Bu  Describe in deta For example: A  o buffer zone impa  11.3. Cumulativ List any po For example outlet, redu	re the square footage of impact in ary Buffer Impact ent Buffer Impact ffer Impact ffer Impact.  The proposed impact to buffer z addition of fill along roadway embacts are proposed.  The Impacts: (IPA Section 19.3) obtential cumulative or ongoing, din pole: Increased noise from parking function in flood storage volume from	o ones ankment extend ect and indirect lot, vegetation m the addition o	s.f. s.f. s.f. ing into but impacts of managem f fill from the	uffer zone. on the functions nent, inputs from the project.	n stormwater pond

12. Mitigation Sequer	nce: (IPA Section 20) efer to Section 9.5b of the rules on Mitigation Sequencing for this section.	
12.1. Avoidan	ice of Wetland Impacts: (IPA Section 20.1)	
reas	. Can the activity be located on another site owned or controlled by the applicant, or sonably available to satisfy the basic project purpose? If not, indicate why. Cite any ernative sites and explain why they were not chosen.	
No activities are pro	oposed.	
12.1.2.	. Can the proposed activity be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone? If	7
	not, indicate why. Explain the alternatives you have explored for avoiding the wetland and buffer onsite, And why they are not feasible.	_
No activities are pro	oposed.	
12.2. Avoidand	ce to the Impact to Functions and Values: (IPA Section 20.2)	
12.2.1.	If the proposed activity cannot be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone, have all practicable measures been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions?	
	■ Yes □ No	
12.2.2.	What design alternatives were examined to avoid impacts to wetland function?  For example: Use of matting, relocation of footprint, etc.	
Not applicable.		
12.2.3.	What steps have been taken to minimize the size and scope of the project to avoid	7
	impacts to wetland functions and values? Include information on project size reduction and relocation.	_
Not applicable.		
12 2 4	Evaluin how the proposed project represents the least invest. It was to be a	
12.2.4.	Explain how the proposed project represents the least impact alternative design.  Explain why other alternatives, which you described above, were not chosen.	
Not applicable.		

	etermination: (IP Section involves a we		ase answer the following.	
■ Wet	land is mapped or cland is not mapped	ontiguous to the Vermor	nt Significant Wetland Inventory Map /ermont Significant Wetland Inventory Map	
	Reason for Petition	: (IP Section 21.1) om the dropdown menu		
	ke a determination of			
i ti a	Please provide any in previous decisions but the functions and valuable opplication and desci	y the Secretary or Wate ues present. Here add r ribed in section 5 of the \	petition for a wetland determination here, including r Board. Determinations are made based on an evaluation of narrative description on the functions listed in section 8 of this Vermont Wetland Rules. For example: Wetland provides ecause it is large in size, concave, and naturally vegetated.	
14. Supporting	<b>Materials:</b> (IP Sect	ion 22)		
**ADDITIO	NAL <u>MATERIALS F</u>	REQUIRED TO CALL AI	PPLICATION COMPLETE	
14.1. * F	*Location Map: (IP Provide a location ma	Section 22.1) ap that is 8 ½" x 11" and Resources Atlas is appi	separate from any site plans. ropriate using USGS topography map base layer,	
	Date		Title	
	8/1/16	The state of the s	Location map for Arciero wetland petition	
F a	Site Plan(s): (IP Se Please list by date, do and buffer zones, limb memorialization. Title	ate of last revision, authorits of disturbance, erosio	or, and title. Plans must include wetland delineation on controls, building envelopes, and any permanent  Author Date Last Revision Date	
The netition o	la a a a a bis also also	-11		
and flagged a encompasses	at the site. The ex s the entire area	cterior boundary of the proposed for reclass	ior and exterior wetland boundaries were delineated ne wetland and man-made pond complex, which sification, was surveyed with a hand-held GPS. as been provided to the Vermont Wetlands Program.	
P E	rovide any other do	ocuments: (IP Section 22 cumentation that support ut are not limited to: Pf onal ACOE forms.		
Date	Last Revision	Author	Title	
8/1/16	8/1/16	Rebecca Gilson, PLS, Civil Engineering Associates	Arciero SHP file.shp	

P.O. Box 4065, Burlington, VT 05406 (802) 660-8312

## Wetland Functions and Values Assessment for Petition to Reclassify a VSWI-Mapped Man-made Pond from a Class II to a Class III Wetland

Wetland Project #2016-255 146 Chittenden Road Chittenden, Vermont 05737

#### **Section 13.3: Determination Narrative**

Oakledge Environmental Services, Inc. conducted a functional assessment of the VSWI-mapped pond and adjacent wetland to determine if any wetland functions or values were present at a significant level. The assessment followed the criteria outlined in Section 5 of the Vermont Wetland Rules, using the checklist of functions and values included in Sections 7 - 16 of the Vermont Individual Wetland Permit application. The functional evaluation findings are based on several site visits in June and July 2016, a review of aerial imagery and other desktop resources, and site history obtained from Mike and Terry Arciero, who constructed the VSWI-mapped pond on their property in 1995. Based on my findings, the wetland is not characterized by any significant functions or values that merit protection under the Vermont Wetland Rules.

The approximately 0.23 acre pond on the Arciero property was added to the VSWI map for this location in 2010. Most of the man-made pond consists of deep open water that does not meet the three-parameter definition of a wetland. The pond is characterized by steep side slopes, and water depths sharply increase at relatively short distances from the shoreline. At least 80 percent of the pond is too deep to support wetland vegetation. Relatively small wetlands are located adjacent to the VSWI-mapped pond, and include a wetland area up-gradient from the pond, a wetland fringe around the pond margin, and a relatively narrow wetland band in the woods down-gradient of the pond outlet. The overall size of the VSWI-mapped pond and adjacent wetland is less than 0.5 acres in size.

The following functions are present at such minimal levels that they do not constitute significant (protected) functions: water storage for flood water and storm runoff (VWR § 5.1), surface and groundwater protection (VWR § 5.2), fisheries habitat (VWR § 5.3); and wildlife and migratory bird habitat (VWR § 5.4).

The following functions and values are not present: exemplary wetland natural community (VWR § 5.5), threatened and endangered species habitat (VWR § 5.6), education and research in natural science (VWR § 5.7), recreational value and economic benefits (VWR § 5.8), and open space and aesthetics, (VWR § 5.9), and erosion control through binding and stabilizing the soil (VWR § 5.10).

### 5.1 Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff

The wetland is not significant for water storage for flood water and storm runoff, due to its small size, sloping topography, and the lack of storage capacity. Nearly the entire water storage capacity is provided by the deep man-made pond basin constructed approximately 20 years ago by the applicants. The pond is fed by spring water that is routed into the pond from a natural spring where a springhouse was historically located. Water levels in the pond are largely controlled by the configuration and elevation of the pond outlet, and remain relatively stable. The pond fills most of the basin, and, as a result, there is very limited additional water storage capacity above the elevation of the pond surface. The relatively small areas of wetland adjacent to the pond are located on sloping terrain that provides extremely limited additional water storage capacity.

#### 5.2 Surface and Groundwater Protection

The wetland is not significant for surface and groundwater protection due to its small size and limited capacity for filtering and treating surface water and groundwater. A natural spring located approximately 50 feet up-gradient from the constructed pond is the primary source of surface water in the pond. The volume of water which enters the pond from a natural spring appears to be approximately the same as the volume of water that exits the pond, and the elevation of the pond surface appears relatively stable under normal conditions. The spring water is naturally cold and very clear, and surface water protection is provided primarily by the deep man-made pond.

The small wetland up-gradient from the pond has little opportunity to protect water quality in either spring water or surface water in the pond. Spring water was formerly routed from an old springhouse towards the pond via subsurface piping. Currently, spring water collects in a small "upper" pond that was excavated adjacent to the springhouse location after the springhouse remnants were accidentally demolished in the spring of 2016. Surface water is routed from the upper pond to the larger pond to the south via a stone-lined channel that was constructed to prevent erosion on the slope between the upper and lower ponds. The pond most likely receives a relatively small amount of discharge from the small up-gradient wetland area. The surface water contribution from the wetland, however, appears to be minimal in comparison to the constant flow of spring water into the pond.

A narrow wetland finger extends southward along the short section of stream that flows into the adjacent woods from the pond outlet. The pond discharge disappears within approximately 100 feet of the pond outlet, and most likely recharges a section of the shallow groundwater table The small wetland in this location has the potential to provide limited groundwater protection, Surface water that flow southward from the pond, however, appears clear and there are no likely sources of pollutants that could potentially impact water quality in the pond or short stream section.

Wetland Project #2016-255 Determination Petition Narrative

#### 5.3 Fish Habitat

The wetland does not provide significant fish habitat due to its small size and limited capacity to provide shade, cover and food resources for fish the applicants stock in the pond. The man-made pond provides limited fish habitat, however, not at a level that merits protection under the Vermont Wetland Rules. The applicants have stocked the pond with fish several times since its construction, and the narrow wetland fringe at the pond margin and adjacent wetland areas provide some shade and cover for these fish. Minnows have survived, and were observed in shallow water at the pond margin. Larger fish species, including trout, have been stocked by the applicants, however, these efforts have not resulted in a permanent fish population.

#### 5.4 Wildlife Habitat

The wetland and pond provide some limited wildlife habitat, however, not at levels that merit protection under the Vermont Wetland Rules. The wetland/pond complex is not characterized by the wildlife and migratory bird habitat criteria outlined in the Rules. The applicants constructed the pond on their property 20 years ago, and sited it intentionally in a location that meshed with the landscape and could be viewed from their house at a distance, while providing habitat for fish and wildlife. Bullfrogs (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) and eastern newts (*Notophthalmus viridescens*) were observed utilizing the wetland fringe around the pond, which is typical for small man-made ponds. Any amphibian habitat at the site, however, is directly attributable to the presence of the man-made pond constructed by the property owner, rather than the presence of the small adjacent wetland.

