VWP Application December 2015

d = 1

Vermont Wetlands Program Permit Application Database Form Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Bules



| of the vermont vvetland | d Rules | 1,146,197 | Construction of the second | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Application Submittal Instructions | | | | | |
| If submitting via US post, include a check applications that contain large files (1 MI Mail to: Vermont Watershed M One Nationa Montpelier, Applications can also be submitted via en If submitting via email, please ma of the Vermont Wetlands Program / mail in a copy of the complete application | in the correct fee and B or greater). Wetlands Program lanagement Division I Life Drive, Main 2 VT 05620-3522 mail to the following ad ail a check in the correct Application Database plication. | ount made payable to the " ddress: <u>anr.wsmdwetlands</u> ect fee amount, made paya Form (this page) to the ado | State of Vermont," and a @vermont.gov ble to the "State of Verm dress provided above. <i>It</i> | A CD for RECEIVED NOV - 2 2016 WSMD ont," and a copy is not necessary to | |
| | | polication Proparar Na | | 2 | |
| Applicant Name: Village of Poultney | A | | Patricia E. Greene-S | SWITT | |
| I own where project is located: Poultr | ey | County: Rutland | | | |
| Span#: | | Vermont Wetland | is Project (VWP)# if K | nown: 2015-011 | |
| Project Location Description: 911 street address or direction from nearest intersection Field west of York Street and east of the wastewater treatment plant access drive. | | | | | |
| Brief Project Summary: To construct a n | ew stormwater treatm | nent facility independent of | the wastewater facility or | n village owned land. | |
| Application Type: Individual Permit (n | nultiple wetlands) | After the Fact Permit | Wetland Determination | | |
| ■Individual Permit (single wetland) □Ger | eral Permit Coverage | Authorization | mit Amendment: VWP Pro | ject # | |
| Existing Land Use Type(s): (Check all the | at apply) Residenti | al (single family) Reside | ential (subdivision) | developed | |
| ■Agriculture □Transportation □ | Forestry Parks | /Rec/Trail Institution | nal Industrial/Com | mercial | |
| Proposed Land Use Type(s): (Check all t | that apply) 🛛 Residenti | al (single family) | ntial (subdivision) 🛛 Unde | veloped | |
| | Forestry Parks | Rec/Trail | nal Industrial/Comr | mercial | |
| Proposed Impact Type(s): (Check all that | apply) Buildings | Utilities Parking [| Septic/Well | /ater | |
| | | | over Dam Alteration \square^{\prime} | Silviculture | |
| | | pillway for stormwater | | Siviculture | |
| Wotland and Buffer Impact Tungs (Char | | | | | |
| | illway in the wetland buffer | | | | |
| Wetland Delineation Date(s): | | | 4 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wetland Improvements | Buffer Zon | e improvements | Reason for Im | provements | |
| Creation: S.I. | Creation: | S.I. s f | | n cte | |
| Enhancement s.f. | Enhancement: | s.i. | | 015 | |
| Conservation: s.f. | Conservation: Pos | sible town effort s.f. | Li voluntary | 3 * 1. m. 1 | |
| Watland Impact Fee Calculations: Pou | ind to the nearest s | quara fact. Econ will au | | ON THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE | |
| Total Wetland Impact | o square feet (s.f.) | Wetland Impact Fee:(\$0. | 75/sf) | \$ 0.00 | |
| (minus linear clear, including ATF) | cauara fact (a.f.) | Motland Clearing Ecc:(\$) | 0.25/cf) | 0.00 | |
| (qualified linear projects only) | 0 square reet (s.i.) | Wettand Cleaning Fee.(\$ | J.23/SI) | ^Φ 0.00 | |
| After The Fact Wetland Impact (to correct a violation) | 0 square feet (s.f.) | After the Fact Wetland For (Required for after the fact p | ee: (0.75/sf) permit applications) | \$ 0.00 | |
| Total Buffer Zone Impacts and Calcula | ations: Round to th | e nearest square foot | | and a first of | |
| Total Buffer Zone Impact 20 | 00 square feet (s.f.) | Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.2 | 5/sf) | \$ 500.00 | |
| Additional Fees | | | | | |
| × × | | Agricultural Crop Conver (Flat fee of \$200.00) | sion Check here: | \$ 0.00 | |
| | | Minimum Application Fee Required when total impact | e: (\$50.00) fee is less than \$50.00 | \$ 0.00 | |
| | | Administrative Fee: | | \$240.00 | |
| Make Checks Payable to: State of Ven | nont | Total Check Amount: | \$ 74 | 40.00 | |

Vermont Individual Wetland Permit Application and Determination Petition Under Sections 8 and 9 of the Vermont Wetland Rules



| Applicant Name: Village of Poultrow Jonas Posenth | ol Manager | الله الماسلى الماسلية والأسليمية المرابعة المرابعة المرابعة والمشاهية | WORDIN |
|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Address: P.O. Box 121 | City/Town: Poultney | StateVT | Zip: 05764 |
| Phone Number: 802-287-9751 | Email Address: poultneymanag | ger@comcast.net | 1 |
| | | | |
| Applicant Certification: | | | |
| Applicant Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all | of the information contained within is true | e, accurate, and comple | te to the best of |
| Applicant Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all your knowledge. Original signature is required. | of the information contained within is true | e, accurate, and comple | te to the best of |
| Applicant Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all your knowledge. Original signature is required. | of the information contained within is true | e, accurate, and comple | te to the best of |
| Applicant Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all your knowledge. Original signature is required. | of the information contained within is true | e, accurate, and comple | te to the best of |

| Landowner Information: Landowner must sign the application If | landowner is different from the applic | ent this section must be fille | d out |
|--|--|---|--|
| ECheck this box if landowner is the same as the appli | cant | 1 x ³ | |
| Landowner Name: Village of Poultney Jonas Rosenthal Manager | | | |
| Address: P.O. Box 121 | City/Town Poultney | State: VT | Zip: 05764 |
| Phone Number: 802-287-9751 | Email Address: poultneymanag | er@comcast.net | |
| Landowner Easement: Attach copies of any easements, agreements, stating who will be responsible for meeting the terms and conditions of the the nature of the agreement or easement in the space provided belo | or other documents conveying permi e permit. List the attachment for th w: | ssion, and agreement with t is information in thIs secti | he landowner on. Describe |
| Village of Poultney land. | | | |
| , | | | |
| | | | |
| Landowner Certification: | | | |
| By signing this application you are certifying that all the information | on contained within is true, accura | ite, and complete to the t | pest of your |
| knowledge. Original signature is required. | | | |
| 1 774- | | 1 1 | 2 |
| Landowner Signature: | | Date: 10 21 1 | 6 |
| | | | 100.000 0000 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | |

| Application Preparer Name: Patricia E. Greene-Swift | Organization/Company: | Gilman & Briggs Environr | nental |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Address: 1 Conti Circle | City/Town Barre | State: VT | Zip: 0564* |
| Phone Number: 802-479-7480 | Email Address: obenvir | onmental@earthlink.net | |
| Application Preparer Certification: | | | |
| Application Preparer Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all of the your knowledge. Original signature is required. | information contained within is tr | ue, accurate, and comple | te to the best of |
| Application Preparer Certification: By signing this application you are certifying that all of the your knowledge. Original signature is required. | information contained within is tr | ue, accurate, and comple E. | te to the best of |

Handwritten signatures are also accepted

1. Location of wetland and project:

Location description should include the road the wetland is located on, the compass direction of the wetland in relation to the road, 911 street address if available, and any other distinguishing features.

South and southeast of 185 York Street (the villages wastewater treatment plant).

2. Site visit date(s) and attendees:

| A site visit is required before the application can be ca | alled complete |
|--|---|
| 2.1 Date of Visit(s) with State District Wetland Ecologist | 2.2. List of people present for site visit(s) including Ecologist, landowner, and representatives. |
| September 22, 2016 | Zapata Courage, VT Wetlands Ecologist, Doug Blodgett, VT Fish & Wildlife Biologist, Patricia Greene-Swift, Environmental Scientist/consultant for project, Gilman & Briggs Environmental |

3. Wetland Classification: For multiple wetlands fill out the multiple wetlands table for sections 1 and 3 through 1

3.1. The wetland is a Class II wetland because :

The wetland is mapped on the VSWI

3.2. Section 4.6 Presumption

If the wetland meets the Section 4.6 Presumption, it does so primarily because:

b. The wetland contains woody vegetation and is adjacent to a stream, river, or open body of water.

c. The wetland contains dense, persistent, non-woody vegetation, and is adjacent to a stream, river, or open body of water

g. The wetland contains a species that appears in the NNHP database as rare, threatened, endangered, or uncommon; or is a natural community type that is rare or uncommon

4. Description of the Entire Wetland:

Answer the following questions regarding the entire wetland, which includes all wetland areas connected to the wetland proposed for impact. Answers may be estimates based on desktop review when the wetland extends past the investigation area (parcel boundary). Specific questions about the wetland in the project area will follow. For multiple wetlands, fill out the multiple wetlands table.

4.1. Size of Complex in Acres:

The size of the complex can be obtained from the Wetland Inventory Map for mapped wetlands, or best estimation based on review of aerial photography or site visit. This is not the size of the of the delineated wetland on the subject property unless the entirety of the wetland is represented in the delineation.

25 +/- Acres wetland complex associated with the Poultney River.

4.2. Vegetation Cover Types Present:

List all wetland types in the wetland or wetland complex and their percent cover.

For example: 50 acres of softwood forested swamp; or 30% scrub swamp, 70% emergent wetland

70% Shrub swamp, 10% forested swamp, 15% emergent wetland, and 5% ponded (ponded in normal to high precipitation years).

4.3. Landscape Position:

Where is the wetland located on the landscape? **For example:** Bottom of a basin, edge of a stream, shore of a lake, etc.

Low topographic basin at the edge of the Poultney River at the wetlands west side, which is bisected by an old RR bed that is now a path with culverts underneath for connectivity.

4.4. Hydrology:

Describe the main source of water for the entire wetland. List any river, stream, lakes, or ponds

Precipitation, snow melt, Poultney River, and groundwater.

4.4.1. Direction of Flow:

For example: Stream flows from north to south through the wetland complex, or the wetland drains generally to the southwest.

The Poultney River flows south to north at the west edge of the wetland complex, and there are two small streams shown on the ANR Mapper that flow from the wetland into the River.

4.4.2. Influence of Hydrology on the Entire Wetland:

For example: The river provides floodwater to the wetland in the spring.

The river can provide floodwater to the wetland during years of high precipitation and/or during very high precipitation events.

4.4.3. Relation of Entire Wetland to the Project Area:

The distance between the project area and any nearby surface waters

The entire wetland is located south of the proposed project, only the wetland buffer is proposed to be impacted for an emergency spillway.

4.4.4. Entire Wetland Hydroperiod:

Discuss the frequency and duration of flooding, ponding, and/or soil saturation

Permanently saturated, many small ponded areas that in drier years may be mucky without standing water and in wetter years ponded throughout the year. Flooding in the wetland may be associated with normal to high precipitation years.

4.5. Surrounding Landuse of the Entire Wetland:

For example: Rural residential and forested; Agricultural and undeveloped

Surrounding land use includes a constructed path on an old railroad bed, forest patches, ditching in the wetland, York Street, recreation fields, State of New York on the west side of the Poultney River and wetland, residential neighborhood, residential lawns, a business, gardens, driveways, Green Mountain College recreation fields and parking lot, and outbuildings.

4.6. Relation of the Entire Wetland to Other Nearby Wetlands:

Provide any information on wetlands or wetland complexes that are close enough to contribute to the overall function of the wetland in guestion.

There are other wetlands associated with the Poultney River nearby in both NY and VT, and they are close enough to contribute to the ribbon snake habitat (RTE habitat), wildlife habitat, flood storage/stormwater storage function, surface and groundwater protection, fish habitat, education and research, aesthetics function, and erosion control.

4.7. Pre-project Cumulative Impacts to the Entire Wetland:

Identify any cumulative ongoing impacts outside of the proposed project that may influence the wetland. **Examples include but are not limited to:** Wetland encroachments on and off the subject property, land use management in or surrounding the wetland, or development that influences hydrology or water quality. List any past Vermont Wetland Permits or CUD's related to this property.

A walking path constructed on an old railroad bed, lawns, agricultural use and ditching, culverts under the walking path, wastewater and stormwater treatment plant, York Road, ditching around recreation fields for Green Mountain College. Project numbers associated with the wetland complex are as follows: 2009-049, 1998-525, 1991-006, 1994, 561, 1994-244. I do not believe that any of these project numbers are related to CUD's associated with this current property and project.

5. Description of Subject Wetland and Buffer:

Subject wetland is defined as the area of wetland in the project vicinity, but not limited to the portion of the wetland to be directly impacted by the project. For the purposes of this application, the subject wetland should encompass any portion of the wetland that could either be directly or indirectly impacted by the project, as defined by chemical, physical, or biological characteristics. This may include the entire wetland area, or wetland area off property. For multiple wetlands, fill out the multiple wetlands table.

5.1. Context of Subject Wetland:

Describe where the subject wetland is in the context of the entire wetland described in section 4 above. **For example:** Upslope, narrow eastern "finger", 400 ft. from open water portion.

The subject wetland is the north side of the wetland, it is contiguous to the larger wetland and not a finger or upslope from the open water portion (in higher precipitation years).

5.2. Subject Wetland Land Use:

For example: Mowed lawn, old field, naturally vegetated. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the subject wetland.

Naturally vegetated wetland with invasive species along the edge (Lonicera tatarica), stormwater input, a trail constructed on an old railroad bed, culverts to move water under the base of the RR bed.

5.3. Subject Wetland Vegetation:

List dominant wetland vegetation cover type and associated dominant plant species.

Lemna minor, Ludwigia palustris, Calamagrostis canadensis.

5.4. Subject Wetland Soils:

Use the USDA NRCS information where possible and use the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description

Saco mucky silt loam (Hydric) as mapped, with the texture muck.

5.5. Subject Wetland Hydrology:

Use the description from the ACOE Delineation Manual

Surface water, high water table, saturation, water marks, iron deposits, inundation visible on aerial imagery, water-stained leaves, drainage patterns, geomorphic position - low topo, and microtopographic relief.

5.6. Buffer Zone:

Describe the buffer zone of the subject wetland (50 foot envelope of land adjacent to wetland boundary).

5.6.1. Buffer Land Use:

For example: Mowed shoulder, forested, old field, paved road, and residential lawns, etc. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the buffer zone.

Grassy field, residential lawns, old RR bed and path; gardens, ditching, parking, and recreation fields at Green Mountain College, agricultural fields, forest.

5.6.2. Buffer Vegetation:

List the vegetation cover type and dominant plant species.

Populus tremuloides, Lonicera tatarica, Galium mollugo, Rubus idaeus, Alliaria petiolata, Onoclea sensibilis.

5.6.3. Buffer Soils:

Use USDA NRCS information where possible, and the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description.

Warwick quonset complex 0 - 3 percent slopes (Non-hydric), upland plot was as mapped soil, and had gravelly sandy loam.

| 6. | Entire Wetland Function and Value Summary (as defined in the Vermont Wetland Rules Section 5): Check which functions are present in the entire wetland | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|--|
| | Flood/Storm Storage | RTE Species | |
| | Surface & Groundwater Protection | Education & Research | |
| | Fish Habitat | Recreation/Economic | |
| | Wildlife Habitat | Open Space/Aesthetics | |
| | Exemplary Natural Community | Erosion Control | |

Functions and Values: For each function and value:

- 1. Evaluate the entire wetland and check all that apply. Use Wetland Inventory Maps for offsite areas
- 2. Evaluate how the wetland in the project area contributes to the function.

3. Explain how the project will not result in adverse impacts to the function.

Include any information on specific avoidance and minimization measures.

If more than one wetland complex is involved, provide a function and value checklist for each wetland complex. In addition fill out the Multiple Wetlands Table.

7. Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function

- Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstructed inlet.
- Physical space for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetation or dense woody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff during peak flows and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration.
- □ If a stream is present, it's course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to intercept surface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods.
- Physical evidence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, water marks on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water.
- □ Hydrologic or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding

If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.

| Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff Continued |
|--|
| □ Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <u><i>Iower</i></u> level. |
| Significant flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in question provides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage (unless the upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment). |
| Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland. |
| □ Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures. |
| Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively. |
| Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <u>higher</u> level. |
| ☐ History of downstream flood damage to public or private property. |
| Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by loss or reduction of the water storage function. |
| Developed public or private property Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion Important habitat for aquatic life |
| The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated. |
| Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function. |
| Developed public or private property. Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion. Important habitat for aquatic life. |
| The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated |
| Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland. |
| A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas. Relatively impervious soils. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas. |
| 7.1 Subject Wetland Contribution to Water Storage: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above |
| The village of Poultney, located east of the wetland, is sloped approximately 20 feet higher in elevation than the wetland, and when the river floods it can move somewhat within its bed and footprint, but having the wetland able to store floodwater and stormwater for a time during high precipitation events has been a benefit to the village and Green Mountain College. |
| 7.2 Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Water Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, and compensation measures relevant to this function. |
| This stormwater treatment project will not involve any regular inputs to the wetland, and thus, only in a very extreme circumstance would use the wetland to receive emergency spillway waters that have been treated in its forebay treatment area and a large settlement pond, and then travel to the emergency spillway over 100 feet away from the stormwater treatment facility. Therefore, only under an extremely rare circumstance where the stormwater system becomes overwhelmed, would there be an adverse impact to the wetland. By design, the stormwater system has been formulated to clean water via two settlement ponds (a forebay and a pond) and keep untreated stormwater out of the wetland and river. |

8. Surface and Ground Water Protection:

- Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.
 - Constricted or no outlets.
 - Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.
 - Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated.
 - E Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.
 - U Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.
 - □ Presence of seeps or springs.
 - Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.
 - □ Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area.
 - Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.
 - U Wetland recharges a drinking water source.
 - □ Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.
 - □ Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.
 - □ Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.
 - The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.

If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.

- □ Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a *lower* level.
 - □ Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished nutrient uptake.
 - □ Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.
 - □ Wetland is very small in size, hot contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
 - □ Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.
- Check this box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides function at a <u>higher</u> level.
 - □ The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.
 - □ The wetland provides flows to Class A surface water. (Check ANR Atlas)
 - □ The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.
 - The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.

| 8.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Water Protection: |
|--|
| Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above. |
| The surface and groundwater protection largely exists due to the wetland being located near the Poultney River, which receives water from the wetland via two unnamed (one ditched) streams. |
| 8.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Surface and Ground Water Protection</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function. |
| There will be no impact to the surface and groundwater protection function since the project's design is to improve waters that have stormwater impairments via the new stormwater treatment system. The well head source protection area boundary for Green Mountain College is located approximately 1240 feet to the south of the proposed project, and therefore the project as planned will have no impact to GMC's water source protection area |
| 9. Fish Habitat: |
| Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. |
| Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability. |
| Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers. |
| Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike. |
| Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species. |
| The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water and food sources. |
| 9.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Fish Habitat: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above. |
| The subject wetland does contribute waters to the Poultney River via two unnamed streams that flow through two different culverts, in spring through early summer during normal to high precipitation years. In that way, food may travel via the culverts and possibly cooler water into the Poultney River. The temperature of the wetland water (when water is present) is not known |
| 9.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <i>Fish Habitat</i> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function. |
| The project as planned will not change the configuration of either of the two culverts, and due to its location will not effect the food or cooler water (possibly cooler water) function for the benefit of fish. |

10. Wildlife Habitat Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Provides resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, and feeding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open water wetlands.

Habitat to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all species of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open water habitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, or naturally vegetated buffer zone.

Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.

Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean warbler, and common loon.

□ Supports winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for this species include softwood swamps. Evidence of use includes browsing, bark stripping, worn trails, or pellet piles.

□ Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic.

Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter, or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers, and streams.

□ Supports an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or more consecutive years by an adult beaver population.

Provides the following habitats that support the reproduction of uncommon Vermont amphibian species including:

Wood frog, Jefferson salamander, blue-spotted salamander, or spotted salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.

- □ Northern dusky salamander and the spring salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.
- □ The four-toed salamander, Fowler's toad, western or boreal chorus frog, or other amphibians, found in Vermont of similar significance.
- Supports or has the habitat to support populations of Vermont amphibian species including, but not limited to, pickerel frog, northern leopard frog, mink frog, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of species include large marsh systems with open water components.
- Supports or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile species including: wood turtle, northern map turtle, eastern musk turtle, spotted turtle, spiny softshell, eastern ribbonsnake, northern watersnake, and others found in Vermont of similar significance.
- Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile species, including smooth greensnake, DeKay's brownsnake, or other more common wetland-associated species.

Meets four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:

Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not

| Wildlife Habitat Continued |
|---|
| |
| limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog. |
| The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp. |
| Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream. |
| Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land. |
| Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water. |
| One of the following: |
| Hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile. |
| Hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile. |
| Within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected. |
| * |
| Wetland or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal government and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation. |
| Contains evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species |
| If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level. |
| Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level. |
| The wetland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply). |
| The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use. |
| ☐ The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance. |
| The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species. |
| Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <u>higher</u> level. |
| The wetland is large in size and high in quality. |
| The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above. |
| Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor. |
| The wetland has been identified as a locally important wildlife habitat by an ANR Wildlife Biologist. |

10.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Wildlife Habitat Functions:

Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.

Due to the large wetland complex including open water, shrub swamp, forested swamp and emergent wetland not far from the Poultney River, the subject wetland likely contributes to a corridor for movement of wildlife species, even though to the east species movement is extremely limited due to residential streets, town infrastructure, driveways and institutional uses. Also, the wetland has an RTE circle on the ANR Interest Locater demarcating this area as Ribbon snake habitat (confirmed in 2001) and the VT F&W biologist Doug Blodgett informed Patricia and Zapata during the site visit that wood turtle has been recorded in this area (although it has not been mapped yet) by Green Mountain College.

10.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to Wildlife Habitat:

Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.

The project as planned should not have any undue adverse impact to the ribbon snake/wildlife function as the project is located in what is now mowed lawn and field, and a small portion of the emergency spillway will be constructed in the wetland buffer. The construction area will have silt fencing around it during the construction phase which will help keep snakes and turtles out of the active project work area. Once the project is completed it is likely that ribbon snake will not be attracted to this area as the wetland and fields south and west of the wetland will provide better habitat, cover, and food sources. The chain link fence planned to enclose the emergency spillway, will protect wood and other turtles from entering the stormwater facility, as it will be three inches off the ground. Ribbon snakes could still move into and out of the stormwater facility, however they should not be harmed by a passive stormwater system.

11. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community

□ Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

□ Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for this function

The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:

□ Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.

Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to:

Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;

□ Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics;

A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;

□ A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or

A large wetland complex containing examples of several wetland community types.

List species or communities of concern:

N/A the wetland is not an exemplary natural community

11.1. Subject Wetland Proximity to Exemplary Natural Communities

No exemplary natural communities are mapped nearby, the closest is approximately three miles to the east (a red maple - seepage swamp). While the wetland does have more than one community type, it has many invasive plants (especially thick stands of Lonicera taterica), and is heavily impacted by the surrounding land uses.

11.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Exemplary Wetland Natural Community</u>: Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.

The project will have no impact to any exemplary natural community function, as the wetland is not one itself, and is not located in close proximity to another exemplary wetland natural community.

| 12. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat: |
|---|
| Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. |
| Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function. |
| The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply: |
| There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists; |
| There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years; |
| There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department; |
| There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank). |
| List name of species and ranking: |
| Ribbon snake (thamnophis sauritus), S2 State of Vermont Wood turtle (Glyptemys insculpta) |
| |
| 12.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to RTE Habitat: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above. |
| The ribbon snake was documented in 2001 as present in a field adjacent to the wetland complex associated with this project. Also, Doug Blodgett of VT F&W, explained during the site visit with Patricia and Zapata that there is wood turtle present in the vicinity of the subject. Therefore we know that habitat exists for one S2 species (Ribbon snake) and one S3 species (Wood turtle). |
| 12.2 Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species Habitat</u> : Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function. |
| Given that the area where the project is to occur is the northernmost edge of the wetland, and the project is planned to begin construction in late 2016 or early 2017 when the ribbon snake and turtle are likely to be in hibernation, and the primary project area is located on a mowed lawn, and will have silt fencing surrounding the project site, there should be no undue adverse impact to the ribbon snake or wood turtle habitat function. Since there have been no major changes to the fields and thickets and the wetland since that time the ribbon snake and wood turtle were document in this location, they should have little challenge persisting in the wetland south of the project's location. |
| |

13. Education and Research in Natural Sciences:

E Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

□ Owned by or leased to a public entity dedicated to education or research.

History of use for education or research.

□ Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.

13.1. Subject Wetland Education and Research Potential: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.

Due to the wetlands proximity to Green Mountain College, and paths located behind the college leading into the fields and subject wetland complex where the ribbon snake was found, it is reasonable to assume the education and research function is present and has been for quite some time.

13.2 Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Education and Research in Natural Sciences</u>: Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this value. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this value.

The project will be located in a mowed lawn area, which is not a part of the wetland, Green Mountain College or another educational entity, and therefore there will be no undue adverse impact to the education and research function from the project as planned.

14. Recreational Value and Economic Benefits:

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Used for, or contributes to, recreational activities.

□ Provides economic benefits.

□ Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.

□ Used for harvesting of wild foods.

Comments:

Recreation value is due to paths and an old railroad bed that bisects the wetland providing recreation and wildlife observation opportunities.

14.1. Subject Wetland <u>Recreational and Economic Value</u>: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the value listed above.

Recreation value is due to an old RR bed that bisects the wetland providing physical recreation and wildlife observation opportunities. Due to the proximity of the wetland to the York Street residential neighborhood and Green Mountain College to the south, hunting and trapping should not occur in this wetland or its immediate vicinity.

14.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Recreational Value and Economic Benefits</u>: Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this value. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this value.

Since the wetland trail provides this opportunity well outside and more than 50 feet from the project area, and the project will have no impact to the trails or viewing opportunities for wildlife, there will be no undue adverse impact to the recreation function from the project as planned since people will still be able to see the entire wetland from trails in the wetlands immediate vicinity.

15. Open Space and Aesthetics:

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

□ Can be readily observed by the public; and

□ Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or

Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape;

□ Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.

Comments:

The neighborhood of York Street can view this wetland from their back lawns and Green Mountain College students walk trails in the wetland and college visitors can see the an area of the wetland complex at its south end since it is near the back parking lot and recreation fields.

15.1. Subject Wetland Aesthetic Value:

Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the value listed above.

The subject wetland can be viewed from neighborhood back lawns and the parking lot and recreation fields of Green Mountain College.

15.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Open Space and Aesthetics:</u> Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this value. Include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this value.

The view from the homes on York Street will not change in any way due to the project as planned, nor will those of Green Mountain College, they will still be able to view the wetland as they had.

16. Erosion Control Through Binding and Stabilizing

Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.

Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:

- □ Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.
- Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.
- □ Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.

What type of erosive forces are present?

- □ Lake fetch and waves
- High current velocities:

□ Water level influenced by upstream impoundment

Erosion Control Through Binding and Stabilization Continued...

If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a <u>moderate level</u>.

Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *lower* level.

The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.

□ Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a *higher* level.

□ The stream contains high sinuosity.

□ Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.

16.1. Subject Wetland Contribution to Erosion Control: Explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above.

The subject wetland buffer, with its dead trees and Lonicera likely helps manage erosion control via having an area where the small artificially channelized stream can flow without scouring the upland banks of the wetland buffer.

16.2. Statement of No Undue Adverse Impact to <u>Erosion Control:</u> Explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. include any avoidance, minimization, or compensation measures relevant to this function.

The project as planned will have an emergency spillway headwall in the wetland buffer, approximately 30 feet to a mapped, channelized stream. This small headwall structure will prevent slope erosion at the edge of the wetland, that is Warwick soil, which is a slight erosion hazard on slopes less than the 5 - 10 percent slopes present on the bank above the wetland. This headwall structure is designed to prevent erosion during high precipitation events. Therefore, there will be no adverse impact to the erosion control function of the wetland from the project as planned.

17. Project Description:

17.1. Overall Project Purpose:

Description of the basic project and why it is needed. Partial projects with no clear purpose will not be accepted.

For example: six-lot residential subdivision; expansion of an existing commercial building, building a single family residence.

To construct a new stormwater treatment system, that will divert stormwater from a direct outfall to the Poultney River and infiltrate stormwater in the infiltration basin to be constructed. This will reduce phosphorus loadings to the Poultney River.

17.2. Description of Project Component Impacting Wetland or Buffer: Explain in general terms which portions of the project will impact wetlands or buffer zones.

For example: Cross the wetland with a driveway to construct a residential subdivision, upgrade existing road through buffer to improve access, extend a trail system.

The stormwater project involves an emergency spillway to be constructed in the wetland buffer.

| 17.: | 3. Acreage of Parcel(s) or Easements(s): Acreage of subject property. |
|---|---|
| 1.5 Acres +/- | |
| 17.4 | Acreage of Project Area: Comparison Acreage of area involved in the project. Comparison |
| 1.25 Acre - | +/- none of which is within the wetland. |
| 18. Project Provide | Details: details regarding specific impacts to the wetland and buffer zone. |
| For mu | Itiple wetlands fill out the multiple wetland table. |
| 18. | 1. Specific Impacts to Wetland and Buffer Zone Dimensions: List portions of the project that will specifically impact the wetland or buffer zone and their dimensions. For example: driveway crossing with 16' wide fill; installation of buried sewer force main with 5' trench Including fill footprint; addition of Stormwater outfall which directs flow to northern portion of wetland |
| No perma In the buff (slightly co | nent impact is proposed within the wetland. Fer zone, the end of the emergency spillway is planned to have a 2,000 square foot grassy poncave) swale with a 24" concrete headwall at the end of the structure. |
| 18.: | 2. Bridges and Culverts: Culvert circumference, length, placement and shapes, or bridge details. List any stream alteration permits that are required or obtained where perennial streams or rivers are involved. |
| 18.: | 3. Construction Sequence: Describe any details pertaining to the work planned in the wetland and buffer in terms of sequence or phasing that is relevant. Describe the construction limits of disturbance, how those will be marked, and |
| Prior to co with veget | <u>check to ensure these are shown on the site plans as well.</u> Instruction silt fence will be installed, and during to post construction until the soil is stabiliz tation, the silt fence will be maintained in place. |
| 18.4 | 4. Stormwater Design** List any stormwater permits obtained or applied for. Describe stormwater and/or erosion controls proposed. ** Erosion prevention is <u>required</u> in order to prevent sediment from entering the wetland. |
| No other p general pe | permits are necessary for this stormwater treatment system as it falls under the stormwater ermit. |
| 18. | 5. Permanent Demarcation of Limit of Impacts** Describe any boulders, fencing, signage, or other memorialization that provides permanent on-the-ground boundaries for the limits of disturbance for ongoing uses. **Permanent demarcations are <u>required</u> for projects with ongoing activities in or near wetlands or buffer zones such as houses, yards, woody clearing or parking areas, and needs to be depicted on the site plans. |
| A four foo treatment not have a around the | t tall chain link fence (set to finished grade) will completely surround the stormwater facility as a required safety measure. However, the emergency spillway's grassy swale wi a permanent fence as a demarcation of limits, allowing wildlife to move across the swale ar e concrete headwall. |

19. Wetland and Buffer Zone Impacts:

For multiple wetlands provide narrative overview for each section below, and fill out the Multiple Wetland Tables

19.1. Wetland Impacts:

Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate category. Add After-the-Fact impacts here too. **Round to the nearest square foot**

| Permanent Wetland Fill | 0 | | s.f. |
|---|---|---|------|
| Temporary Wetland Impact | 0 | | s.f. |
| Other Permanent Wetland Impact (this number includes clearing of woody | 0 | | s.f. |
| vegetation, dredging, and does not include fill) | | | |
| Total Wetland Impact: | 0 | ж | s.f. |

Describe in detail the proposed impact to wetlands

For example: Fill for road crossing, temporary impacts for trench and fill related to utility installation.

General narrative <u>required</u> here even for projects with multiple wetlands and impacts

N/A there is no wetland impact expected from the project as planned.

19.2. Buffer Zone Impacts:

Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate category.

| Temporary Buffer Impact | | s.f. |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| Permanent Buffer Impact | 2,000 | s.f. |
| Total Buffer Impact: | | 2 s.f. |

Describe in detail the proposed impact to buffer zones **For example:** Addition of fill along roadway embankment extending into buffer zone.

General narrative required here even for projects with multiple wetlands and impacts.

19.2 above should read 2,000 square feet of "Total Buffer Impact". However the "Total Buffer Impact:" would not add up correctly and will only show a single number "2".

19.3. Cumulative Impacts:

List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct and indirect impacts on the functions of the wetland. **For example:** Increased noise from parking lot, vegetation management, inputs from stormwater pond outlet, reduction in flood storage volume from the addition of fill from the project.

The stormwater emergency spillway will require vegetation management to insure full size trees do not grow in the project area and compromise the stormwater emergency swale and outfall structure.

| 20. Mitigation Sequence: |
|---|
| questions. Questions specifically related to Section 9.5b of the Vermont Wetland Rules |
| 20.1. Avoidance of Wetland Impacts: |
| 20.1.1. Can the activity be located on another site owned or controlled by the applicant, or reasonably available to satisfy the basic project purpose? If not, indicate why. Cite any alternative sites and explain why they were not chosen. |
| No, this area was necessary as the proposed project's purpose is to separate stormwater from wastewater (the location of the wastewater plant is approximately 175 +/- feet due west from this proposed stormwater facility). This project will help insure that both systems (once the stormwater system is in place) will function optimally. Other areas of this property are underlain with shallow bedrock and have exposed bedrock as well, which is not complementary for stormwater systems. Also, it is the area the town owns. |
| 20.1.2. Can the proposed activity be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone? If not, indicate why. Explain the alternatives you have explored for avoiding the wetland and buffer onsite, And why they are not feasible. |
| The project was designed be completely outside the wetland on this parcel, and as far outside the buffer as was practicable. However, the Village owns only a small parcel in this location, so the stormwater improvements (facility) were pushed to the very edge of the parcel, as far away from the wetland as possible. Due to stormwater entering the facility off York Road, the forebay has to be in that location, putting the infiltration basin at the westernmost side of the parcel, and the emergency spillway leading to the edge of the subject wetland buffer. |
| 20.2. Avoidance to the Impact to Functions and Values: |
| 20.2.1. If the proposed activity cannot be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone, have all practicable measures been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions? I Yes I No |
| 20.2.2. What design alternatives were examined to avoid impacts to wetland function? For example: Use of matting, relocation of footprint, etc. |
| The design has been changed by removing rip-rap from the wetland buffer and replacing it with a grass swale. |
| · |
| 20.2.3. What steps have been taken to minimize the size and scope of the project to avoid impacts to wetland functions and values? Include information on project size reduction and relocation. |
| The grassy swale will make movement of wildlife (especially small wildife species) easier than crushed rock rip-rap, and maintaining the emergency spillway swale as a grassy swale with native shrubs allowed to grow in for this area, should minimize the impacts to the wetland buffer. |
| 20.2.4. Explain how the proposed project represents the least impact alternative design. Explain why other alternatives, which you described above, were not chosen. |
| Other alternatives were not available given the site the Village of Poultney has to work with, for an improvement to their combined wastewater/stormwater system, which involves separating their wastewater from their stormwater system which necessitates a new stormwater facility. So the least impact to the wetland buffer was chosen, which involved removing the planned crushed stone swale, and replacing it with the grassy swale on the stormwater facilities emergency spillway. |
| 20.3. Minimization and Restoration: 20.3.1. If avoidance of adverse effects on protected functions cannot be practically achieved, has the proposed activity been planned to minimize adverse impacts on the protected function? Yes No NA |
| 20.3.2. What measures will be used during construction and on an ongoing basis to protect the wetland and buffer zone? For example: Stormwater treatment, signs, fencing, etc. |
| Signs during construction for the project, silt fencing before/during/after the project is completed until vegetation is established on site, and permanent chain link fencing. |
| |

| Restoration Narrative: Boundary Construction Metiand Buffer Area Metiand Buffer Area Metiand Buffer Area Marce (sqft) (sqft) Image: State of the state o | | 20.3.3. Has a p protect | ed functions? | loped for the prompt restoration □ Yes □ No ■ N/A | of any adverse impacts or |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Cuantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Area (sqft) [sqft] Image: sqft Functions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) [sqft] Image: sqft State Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | Restor | ation Narrative | | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Welland Buffer Area Area (sqft) [sqft) Image: sqft Image: sqft Search and the project of the Vermont Welland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wellands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | For exa | ample: Planting | along the stream. | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Area (sqft) [sqft] Junctions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) [sqft] Junctions Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | | | | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Metland Buffer Area Area (sqft) [sqft] Image: | | | | | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Functions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) (sqft) | | | | н. Н | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Functions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) (sqft) | | | | | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Functions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) (sqft) Lease refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | | | | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Functions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) (sqft) | | | | | |
| Quantification of Restoration: Wetland Buffer Area Functions/Value s Addressed Area (sqft) (sqft) | | | | | |
| Wetland Area (sqft) Buffer Area (sqft) Functions/Value's Addressed 0.4. Compensation: Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | Quantificatio | on of Restoratio | on: | |
| D.4. Compensation: Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | Area (soft) | Buffer Area | Functions/Value s Addressed | |
| 0.4. Compensation: Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | | | λ. | |
| 0.4. Compensation: Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | | | | |
| 0.4. Compensation: Please refer to Section 9.5c of the Vermont Wetland Rules for compensation, which is required when the project will result in net adverse impact to wetland function. Not all functions are presumed to be compensable. All projects requiring compensation need prior consultation with the Vermont Wetlands Program. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. Also list any supporting documents you may have attached to the application including In-Lieu-Fee proposal or detailed compensation plan. ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | | | | | |
| ompensation is planned for the project as planned. | 2 0.4. Co F re fu | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th unctions are pre | Section 9.5c of th he project will re esumed to be co | he Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan mpensable. All projects requiring | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need |
| | 20.4. Co F re fu fu If d d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th Inctions are pre rior consultati compensation ocuments you etailed compen | Section 9.5c of the project will read be project will read esumed to be co ion with the Ven is proposed plead may have attach insation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting ieu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fl p If d d compet | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th unctions are pre- rior consultati compensation ocuments you h etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach isation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fu f U f d d comper | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th Inctions are pre- rior consultation compensation ocuments you etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will reasumed to be co ion with the Ven is proposed plea may have attach isation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fl P If d d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when the inctions are pre- rior consultation compensation ocuments you in etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach isation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fl P If d d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th unctions are pre- rior consultati compensation ocuments you n etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach insation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re f f P If d d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th inctions are pre- rior consultati compensation ocuments you etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach asation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fl P If d comper | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when the inctions are pre- rior consultation compensation ocuments you in etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach is ation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also need to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fl P If d d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when th unctions are pre- rior consultation compensation ocuments you no etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach isation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also need to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| • | 20.4. Co F re fl P If d d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when the inctions are pre- rior consultation compensation ocuments you in etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach isation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also ned to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |
| | 20.4. Co F re fl P If d | mpensation: Please refer to S equired when the inctions are pre- rior consultation ocuments you in etailed compen- nsation is pla | Section 9.5c of the project will re- esumed to be co ion with the Ver is proposed plea may have attach asation plan. | ne Vermont Wetland Rules for comp sult in net adverse impact to wetlan impensable. All projects requiring rmont Wetlands Program. ase include a summary here. Also need to the application including In-Li project as planned. | pensation, which is d function. Not all g compensation need list any supporting eu-Fee proposal or |

| 21. We If the name | tland Determination: he application involves a wetland determination please answer the following. For multiple wetlands provide rative overview for each section below, and fill out the Multiple Wetland Tables. | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| | □ Wetland is mapped or contiguous to the Vermont Significant Wetland Inventory Map □ Wetland is not mapped on or contiguous to the Vermont Significant Wetland Inventory Map | |
| | 21.1. Reason for Petition: Please choose one from the dropdown menu. | |
| | <choose one=""></choose> | |
| | 21.2. Determination Narrative: Please provide any narrative to support the petition for a wetland determination here, including previous decisions by the Secretary or Water Board. | |
| N/A | т | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| ADDITI | ONAL MATERIA | AL REQUIREL | J TO CALL APP | LICATION CON | 111 Jun har 1 Jun | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 22.1. | **Location Maj Provide a locati The Vermont N roads, and VSV | o: on map that is atural Resourc VI wetlands at | 8 1⁄2" x 11" and s ces Atlas is appro a minimum. | separate from ar opriate using US | ny site plans. GS topography map bas | e layer, |
| | Da | ate | | | Title | |
| | 11-18 | 8-2015 | | ANR Re | esource Atlas - Poultney York St | reet location map |
| 22.2. | **Site Plan(s): List as specified of disturbance, | l below. Plans erosion contro | must be legible Is, building enve | and include wet lopes, and any p | land delineation and buffe | er zones, limits |
| | Title | | | Author | Date | Date of Last Revision |
| etention Basin F | Plan and Profile Sta | ation)+00 to 5+73 | 3 Aldrich + Elliott, | PC | September 2016 | October 28, 2016 |
| Stormwater De | tails | | Aldrich + Ellic | ott, PC | September 201 | 6 October 28, 20 |
| 22.3. * | **U.S. Army Co List attachmen | rps of Engine | eer Wetland Del | ineation Forms | s: s sampled. and number o | f paired plots |
| 22.3. * Attachme | **U.S. Army Co List attachmen included nt #/Title | rps of Engine t names, dates Range of C Date | eer Wetland Del s data was collec collection | ineation Forms sted, cover types Vegeta | s: s sampled, and number o tion Cover Types | f paired plots # of Paired Plots |
| 22.3. * Attachme Poultney ACOE Uplar | ** U.S. Army Co List attachmen included nt #/Title | rps of Engine t names, dates Range of C Date 11-19-2 | eer Wetland Del s data was collec collection es | ineation Forms sted, cover types Vegetar Shrub | s: s sampled, and number o tion Cover Types o stand and old field | f paired plots # of Paired Plots 1 |
| 22.3. * Attachme ?oultney ACOE Uplar oultney ACOE Wetlar | ** U.S. Army Co List attachmen included nt #/Title nd form Nov 19 2015 nd form Nov 19 2015 | rps of Engine t names, dates Range of C Date 11-19-2 11-19-2 | eer Wetland Del s data was collec collection 2015 2015 | ineation Forms sted, cover types Vegeta Shrub Emerge | s: s sampled, and number o tion Cover Types o stand and old field ent and shrub wetland | f paired plots # of Paired Plots 1 1 1 |
| 22.3. * Attachme Youltney ACOE Uplar oultney ACOE Wetlar 22.4. (| **U.S. Army Co List attachmen included nt #/Title nd form Nov 19 2015 nd form Nov 19 2015 Dther Supportin Provide any othe Examples inclu GIS shapefiles, o | rps of Engine t names, dates Range of C Date 11-19-2 11-19-2 ng Documentat re documentat rde but are no additional ACC | eer Wetland Del s data was collection es 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 | ineation Forms sted, cover types Vegetar Shrub Emerge | s: s sampled, and number o tion Cover Types o stand and old field ent and shrub wetland | f paired plots # of Paired Plots 1 1 1 pration/plan, |
| 22.3. * Attachme 'oultney ACOE Uplan oultney ACOE Wetlan 22.4. (0 Date | **U.S. Army Co List attachmen included nt #/Title nd form Nov 19 2015 nd form Nov 19 2015 Dther Supportin Provide any oth Examples inclu GIS shapefiles, a Last Revis | rps of Engine t names, dates Range of C Date 11-19-2 11-19-2 ng Document er documentat ide but are no additional ACC sion | eer Wetland Del s data was collection es 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 | ineation Forms sted, cover types Vegeta Shrub Emerge | s: s sampled, and number o tion Cover Types o stand and old field ent and shrub wetland | f paired plots |

23. Abutting Landowners

Please provide abutting landowner information so that all persons owning property within, or adjacent to, the affected wetland area of buffer zone can be notified during the public notice period. Please use additional sheets if necessary.

| 23.1. Abu | tting Land Owner Information: Please lis | t as first names first followed by last name |
|-----------------|---|--|
| 1. Name: | Vickie Swenor | 16. Name: |
| Street/Road: | 624 York Street | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | Poultney, VT 05764 | City/State/Zip: |
| 2. Name: | Chrispin White | 17. Name: |
| Street/Road: | 635 York Street | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | Poultney, VT 05764 | City/State/Zip: |
| 3. Name: | Green Mountain Power | 18. Name: |
| Street/Road: | 163 Acorn Lane | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | Colchester, VT 05446 | City/State/Zip: |
| 4. Name: | N/A only two lines work in this writing space | 19. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 5. Name: | Village of Poultney, Jonas Rosenthal Mgr. | 20. Name: |
| Street/Road: | P.O. Box 121 | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | Poultney VT 05764 | City/State/Zip: |
| 6. Name: | 1 | 21. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 7. Name: | | 22. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | , | City/State/Zip: |
| 8. Name: | | 23. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 9. Name: | | 24. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | * | City/State/Zip: |
| 10. Name: | | 25. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 11. Name: | | 26. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 12. Name: | | 27. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 13. Name: | | 28. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 14. Name: | | 29. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| 15. Name: | | 30. Name: |
| Street/Road: | | Street/Road: |
| City/State/Zip: | | City/State/Zip: |
| | | |

24. Modified Distribution (Newspaper Notification): In situations where there is an application within a large wetland or buffer zone that has a large number of landowners, applicants can choose to limit the distribution list with a supplemental newspaper notification. At a minimum the applicant must 1) provide notice to immediate abutters,
2) provide notice to all persons owning property containing the wetland or buffer within 500 ft. of the project area, and
3) shall have the VWP publish notice of the application in a local newspaper generally circulating in the area where the wetland is located. **The applicant will be billed directly by the newspaper listed. Use of newspaper notification may extend the notice period, depending on when the notice posts in the newspaper**

Name of Newspaper(s)



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

| Project/Site: Pipeline to WW Plant/York Street City/County: | Poultney/Rutland Sampling Date: 19 Nov 2015 |
|---|---|
| Applicant/Owner: Village of Poultney | State: VT Sampling Point: Upland A |
| Investigator(c): Patricia Greene-Swift Section Tow | nshin Range: N/A |
| Landfarm (billalana tamaaa ata): Terrace | namp, (varge: |
| | |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 43.32290 | Long: <u>-73.24440</u> Datum: <u></u> |
| Soil Map Unit Name: VVarWICK quonset complex 0 - 3% slopes | NWI classification: NON-NYORIC |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes Ye | S No (If no, explain in Remarks.) |
| Are Vegetation <u>No</u> , Soil <u>No</u> , or Hydrology <u>No</u> significantly disturbed? | Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes Yes No |
| Are Vegetation <u>No</u> , Soil <u>No</u> , or Hydrology <u>No</u> naturally problematic? | (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling | point locations, transects, important features, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Ves No No Is the | Sampled Area |
| Hydric Soil Present? Yes No No within | a Wetland? Yes <u>No No</u> |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No No If yes | optional Wetland Site ID: Upland plot is on the slope |
| Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) | |
| The upland has been invaded by garlic mustard (Alliaria petiolata) | between the agricultural field and the wetland edge |
| | between the agricultural new and the wettand bage. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| HYDROLOGY | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) | Surface Soil Cracks (B6) |
| Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) | Moss Trim Lines (B16) |
| Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) | Dry-Season Water Table (C2) |
| Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | Crayfish Burrows (C8) |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Li | Ving Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C | Ad Saila (C6) Commercial Desition (D2) |
| Aigai Mat of Crust (B4) Recent from Reduction III This | Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| Internation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) | Microtopographic Belief (D4) |
| Sparsely Venetated Concave Surface (B8) | EAC-Neutral Test (D5) |
| Field Observations: | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes No No Denth (inches) | |
| Water Table Present? Yes No No Depth (inches): | |
| Saturation Present? Yes No No Depth (inches): | Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No No |
| (includes capillary fringe) | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous in | spections), if available: |
| | |
| Remarks: | |
| No wetland hydrology present in the upland plot. | |
| | * |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| × | |
| | |
| | |

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Upand A

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | |
|---|----------|------------|-----------|---|
| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' Radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Dominance Test worksheet: |
| 1. Populus tremuloides | 30% | Yes | FACU | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0% (A) |
| 2. Prunus serotina | 1% | Yes | FACU | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3. | | | | Species Across All Strata: (B) |
| 4. | | | | Persent of Deminent Species |
| 5 | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B) |
| e. | | | | |
| ö | | - | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| <i>I</i> | 210/ | - | | Total % Cover of:Multiply by: |
| | 31% | = Total Co | ver | OBL species x 1 = |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 Radius) | | 2.12 | | FACW species x 2 = |
| 1. Lonicera tatarica | 80% | Yes | FACU | FAC species x 3 = |
| 2 | | · | | |
| 3 | | | | $\begin{array}{c} \text{OPL species} \\ \text{Column Totals} \\ \text{Column Totals} \\ \end{array} $ |
| 4. | | | | (A) (B) |
| 5. | | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = |
| 6 | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7 | | | | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| <i>1</i> | 80% | | | 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 5' Podius | 0070 | = Total Co | ver | 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 Radius) | 000/ | N | FAOL | 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting |
| | 60% | Yes | FACU | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| 2. Rubus idaeus | 15% | | FACU | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain) |
| 3. Alliaria petiolata | 7% | - | FACU | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and watland hydrology must |
| 4. Onoclea sensibilis | 7% | | FACW | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 5. Epilobium angustifolium | 3% | | FACU | Definitions of Vegetation Strata: |
| _{6.} Rubus odorata | 3% | | FACU | bennine er vegetaten ettata. |
| 7. Taraxacum sp. | Т | | FACU | Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height |
| 8 | | | | at broadt holgin (BBH), rogandrood of holgin. |
| Q | | | | Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10. | | | | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. |
| | 95% | = Total Co | ver | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15' Radius) | | | | 9 |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | 3 |
| 3 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| 4. | | | | Vegetation |
| | 0% | = Total Co | ver | Present? Yes No |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s | sheet.) | Total of | | |
| Plants confirm the upland plot designation. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

X

SOIL

Sampling Point: Upland A

| nches) Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | | Remarks | 3 |
|--|--|--|--|---|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| " - 13" 2.5Y 4/2 | 100% | 9 | | | | GS loam | Gravelly | r sandy loa | am, friable |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | . <u></u> | | <u>к</u> |
| | | 181 8 | | | - | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| ype: C=Concentration, D=Deple | tion, RM=F | Reduced Matrix, MS | =Masked | Sand Gra | ins. | ² Location | : PL=Pore | Lining, M=M | atrix. |
| rdric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, ML | (A11) (A11) | Polyvalue Below MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surfat Loamy Mucky M Loamy Gleyed N Depleted Matrix Redox Dark Sur Depleted Dark S Redox Depressi | v Surface (ce (S9) (LI lineral (F1) /latrix (F2) (F3) face (F6) Surface (F7 ons (F8) | 58) (LRR RR R, ML) (LRR K, 7) | R, RA 149B) L) | Indicators 2 cm M 5 cm M Dark S Polyva Thin D Iron-M Piedm Mesic Red Pa Very S Other of | for Probler Auck (A10) (Prairie Redu Aucky Peat of Surface (S7) Iue Below S ark Surface anganese M ont Floodpla Spodic (TA6 arent Materi hallow Dark (Explain in F | natic Hydrid LRR K, L, M Dx (A16) (LR For Peat (S3) (LRR K, L) Surface (S8) (S9) (LRR M Iasses (F12) din Soils (F12) din Soils (F12) din Soils (F12) Surface (TF Remarks) | c Soils ³ : ILRA 149B) (LRR K, L, R) (LRR K, L, R) (LRR K, L) (LRR K, L, R 9) (MLRA 149 4A, 145, 149E |
| dicators of hydrophytic vegetatio strictive Layer (if observed): | n and wetl | and hydrology must | be preser | nt, unless | disturbed | or problematio | | | * |
| Depth (inches): <u>13</u> " | 8 | _ | 4 | | | Hydric Soil | Present? | Yes | No No |
| ^{marks:} bil appears to be as mappe | ed for this | s location. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

| Project/Site: Pipeline to WW Plant/York Street | ounty: Poultney/Rutland Sampling Date: 19 Nov 2015 |
|---|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Village of Poultney | State: VT Sampling Point: Wetland A |
| Investigator(s): Patricia Greene-Swift Sectio | n. Township, Range: N/A |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.); Terrace | ef (concave, convex, none); concave Slope (%); 0% |
| Subregion (I RR or MI RA): LRR R Lat: 43.52298 | Long: -73.24440 Datum: DD |
| Sall Man Link Name, Saco mucky silt loam | Edig Batain Batain |
| | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Ye | es res No (If no, explain in Remarks.) |
| Are Vegetation NO, Soil NO, or Hydrology NO significantly disturb | Ded? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes Yes No |
| Are Vegetation <u>No</u> , Soil <u>No</u> , or Hydrology <u>NO</u> naturally problema | tic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sam | pling point locations, transects, important features, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Yes No | Is the Sampled Area |
| Hydric Soil Present? Yes Yes No | within a Wetland? Yes Yes No |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Yes No | If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u>Near flag #15</u> |
| Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) | |
| The wetland appears to be in good condition, and has an old that currently functions as a path. | railroad bed bisecting it south of the water treatment plant, |
| | · · · |
| | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| HYDROLOGY | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) | Surface Soil Cracks (B6) |
| X Surface Water (A1) X Water-Stained Leaves | (B9) X Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) | Moss Trim Lines (B16) |
| A Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) | Dry-Season Water Table (C2) |
| Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odo | r (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) |
| Sealment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizosphere: | s on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| Dhit Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced | Lin Tilled Soils (C6) X Geomorphic Position (D2) |
| X Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C | 7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Rem. | arks) X Microtopographic Relief (D4) |
| Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | FAC-Neutral Test (D5) |
| Field Observations: | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes Yes No Depth (inches): 13" | +/- |
| Water Table Present? Yes Yes No Depth (inches): Surf | ace |
| Saturation Present? Yes Yes No Depth (inches): Surf | ace Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Yes No |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, prev | ious inspections), if available: |
| | |
| Remarks: | |
| The water table is present at the surface in soil at the edge of | the ponded area of the wetland. |
| Saturation is present along the edges of the ponded areas of | the wetland. |
| | |
| | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Wetland A

| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' Radius) | Absolute % Cover | Dominant Species? | Indicator Status | Dominance Test worksheet: |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Populus tremuloides | 10% | Yes | FACU | Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC: 1 (A) |
| 2. Prunus serotina | 1% | Yes | FACU | |
| 3 | | | | Species Across All Strata:(B) |
| 4 | | | | Percent of Dominant Species |
| 5 | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33%</u> (A/B) |
| 6 | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet |
| 7 | | | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| | 11% | = Total Cov | ver | $\frac{1}{OBL \text{ species}} \frac{80}{x + 1} = \frac{80}{x + 1}$ |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15' Radius | | | | FACW species x 2 = |
| 1 Lonicera tatarica | Trace | | | FAC species x 3 = |
| 2 | | | | FACU species x 4 =44 |
| 2 | | | | UPL species x 5 = |
| 3 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Column Totals: (A)24 (B) |
| 4 5. | | | | Prevalence Index = B/A =1.36 |
| 6. | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7 | | | | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| ··· | Trace | | | 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 5' Padius | nace | | er | \overline{X} 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5 Radius</u>) | 000/ | | Vee | 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting |
| | 80% | | res | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| 2. Ludwigia palustris | 5% | OBL | | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain) |
| 3. Calamagrostis canadensis | 5% | OBL | | ¹ Indicators of bydric soil and wetland bydrology must |
| 4 | | | | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 5 | | | | Definitions of Vegetation Strata: |
| 6 | | | | Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter |
| 7 | | | | at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. |
| 8 | | | | Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH |
| 9 | · | | <u> </u> | and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. |
| 10 | | | | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless |
| 11 | | | | of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| 12 | | | · | Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| * | 90% | = Total Cov | er | height. |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15' Radius | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3 | | 1 | | Hydrophytic |
| 4 | | - | | Vegetation |
| | 0% | = Total Car | | Present? Yes Yes No |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s | heet.) | | 01 | |

10% open water in the wetland. Trees noted above were on the slope above the wetland, in the wetland plot. No trees were located in the wetland area of the plot.

SOIL

Sampling Point: Wetland A

| Profile Desc | ription: (Describe | to the depth | needed to docur | nent the i | ndicator | or confirn | n the absence | of indicators.) |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| Depth | Matrix | | Redo | x Features | 3 | | | |
| (inches) | Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | _Loc ² | Texture | Remarks |
| 0" - 10" | | | | | | | Water | Ponded water |
| 10" - 20" | 10YR 2/1 | 100% | | | | | Muck | Muck |
| | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | | · | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | 10 | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | | | |
| | | | e | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | · <u> </u> | | | 2 | |
| 'Type: C=Co | oncentration, D=Depl | etion, RM=R | educed Matrix, MS | S=Masked | Sand Gra | lins. | Location | n: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. |
| Hydric Soli I | ndicators: | | Debushis Dela | | | | Indicators | |
| <u> </u> | (A1) ipedon (A2) | | _ Polyvalue Belov | w Sunace | (58) (LRR | к, | | Prairie Redox (A16) (IRR K, L, WILRA 149B) |
| Black His | stic (A3) | | Thin Dark Surfa | ce (S9) (L | | RA 1498) | 00ast | Aucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) |
| Hydroge | n Sulfide (A4) | | Loamy Mucky M | Aineral (F1 |) (LRR K, | L) | Dark S | Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) |
| Stratified | Layers (A5) | _ | _ Loamy Gleyed I | Matrix (F2) | | | Polyva | alue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) |
| Depleted | Below Dark Surface | (A11) | _ Depleted Matrix | : (F3) | | | Thin D | ark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) |
| Thick Da | rk Surface (A12) | · | _ Redox Dark Su | rface (F6) | | | Iron-M | anganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) |
| Sandy M | ucky Mineral (S1) | | _ Depleted Dark S | Surface (F | 7) | | Piedm | ont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) |
| Sandy G | leyed Matrix (S4) | - | _ Redox Depress | ions (F8) | | | Mesic | Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) |
| Sandy R | edox (S5) | | | | | | Red P | arent Material (F21) |
| Supped | face (S7) (I PP P M | I PA 1498) | | | | | very c | (Evolain in Remarks) |
| | | LINA 1430) | | | | | | |
| ³ Indicators of | hydrophytic vegetati | on and wetla | ind hydrology mus | t be prese | nt, unless | disturbed | or problematio | 0. |
| Restrictive L | ayer (if observed): | | | | | | L . | |
| Type: No | ne | | | | | | | |
| Depth (inc | hes): N/A | | | | | | Hydric Soil | Present? Yes Yes No |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| Soil annea | rs to be as mann | ed for this | location | | | | | |
| oon appea | | | location. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



Aldrich + Elliott ATER RESOURCE ENGINEE 6 Market Place, Suite 2 Essex Jct., VT 05452 P: 802.879.7733 AEengineers.com No. 5254 Civil SION VILLAGE OF POULTNEY, VERMONT YORK STREET STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS, PHASE II DETENTION BASIN PLAN AND PROFILE STA. 0+00 TO 5+73 DESIGNED JAB PROJECT NO. 15084 DRAWN JEB DRAWING CHECKED 2 BFA DATE SEPT. 2016



e

| | Aldrich water resou 6 Market P Essex Jct. P: 802.1 AEengin | + Elliott Acce ENGINEERS Ilace, Suite 2 , VT 05452 379.7733 Reers.com | |
|---|---|--|--|
| NOTES: 1. CONTRACTOR SHALL EXERCISE CARE TO SHAPE BOTTOM OF INFILTRATION BASIN WITHOUT CONTAMINATING EXISTING SOILS WITH CLAY LAYER BELOW. 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT COMPACT SOILS. 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL SCARIFY BASIN TO LOOSEN SOILS AS NEEDED (AS DIRECTED BY ENGINEER IN THE FIELD). 4. CONTRACTOR MINIMIZE EQUIPMENT TRAVEL ALONG BASIN FLOOR. | Central No. 55 Central No. 55 Central Central | | |
| | BFA BFA | | |
| PLAN VIEW 6'-0" 6'-0" 45 REBAR, 3'-6" LONG, 2' @ TOP, 3' @ BOTTOM 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | No. DATE DESCRPTION 1 10/28/16 REVISION | | |
| ICRETE HEADWALL DETAIL | VILLAGE OF POULTNEY, VERMONT | | |
| 15" VC INVERT OUT 3/4" PVC WEIR 24" HIGH BOLT IN PLACE | YORK STREET STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS, PHASE II | | |
| 24" PE INVERT IN | STORMWATER DETAILS | | |
| <u>1 WEIR DETAIL</u> | DESIGNED JAB DRAWN JEB CHECKED BFA DATE SEPT. 2016 | DRAWING | |