Page 18 Vermont Wetland Section Wetland Application Database Form (AFFIX TO THE FRONT OF THE APPLICATION)

| Applicant Name: Pest Pro, Inc. | Representative Name: Gunnar Olson (Horizons Engineering) | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Town where project is located: South Hero County: Grand Isle | | | | | | |
| Project Location Description: 238 U.S. Route 2 South Hero, VT 05486 911 Street Address or direction from nearest intersection | | | | | | |
| Project Summary: Proposed access drive improvement | ents are to minimally affec | t the Class II wetland b | uffer | | | |
| Permit Type Requested (check all that apply) | | | | | | |
| Vermont General Permit Coverage | tland Determination | Vermont Wetland Pe | ermit | | | |
| Impact Calculations: Total up proposed impacts from wetlar | nd tables listed below | | | | | |
| Total Wetland Impact square feet (s | s.f.) Total Buffer Zone Im | pact 8 | 10square feet (s.f.) | | | |
| Total Wetland Clearingsquare feet (s(qualified linear projects only) | (qualified linear proje | | square feet (s.f.) | | | |
| Permit Fees: Make check payable to - State of | Vermont | | | | | |
| | inistrative Fee: | \$240 | | | | |
| Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$202.50 Total Clearing Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ | Check Amount: | \$442.50 | | | | |
| Existing Land Use Type: | Residential (Subdiv | vision) 🗌 Industrial/ d | commercial | | | |
| (check all that apply) | | , | | | | |
| Agriculture Transportation Parks/Rec/T | rail | le 🗌 Institutional | Undeveloped | | | |
| Proposed Land Use Type: Forestry | | 🛛 Industrial/ co | ommercial | | | |
| (check all that apply) | (Subdivision) | _ | _ | | | |
| Agriculture Transportation Parks/Rec/Tra | ail 🗌 Residential (Singl Family) | le 🔄 Institutional | No Change | | | |
| | ÷ / | Septic/Well Store | mwater | | | |
| (check all that apply) ⊠ Driveway □ Road □ Parks/Path | Agriculture | Pond L | awn | | | |
| Dry Hydrant Beaver dam alteration Silvicultu | | Other No Imp | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Wetland 1: Forested Wetland (Label using Wetland ID from application if applicable, use supplemental sheets if more than one we is being impacted) | | Wetland is located in S | outhwest corner of | | | |
| Wetland Type: POW - Open Water WL Size Clas | ss : <1 acre | | | | | |
| Prop | osed Alterations | | | | | |
| Wetland Alteration: Buffer Zone Alteration | n: Wetland Alterati | ion Type (check all that a | apply) | | | |
| Wetland Fill: s.f. | Dredge | Drain | | | | |
| Temporary: s.f. Temporary: s.f. | f Cut Vegetation | Storm | water | | | |
| Permanent: : s.f. Permanent: : 810 s.f | ⊠Trench/Fill | Other | | | | |
| | Mitigation | | | | | |
| Avoidance and MinimizationWetland:(s.f. of wetland NOT impacted): | 6 ac. s.f. Buffer Zo | one s.f. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Wetland Mitigation: (s.f. Gained)Restorations.f. Enhancements.f. | | igation (s.f. Gained) s.f. Enhanc | | | | |
| Creation s.f. Conservation s.f | Creation | s.f Conser | vation s.f | | | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 19 |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Reason for Mitigation: | ction of Violation |

| | All Application | s Should be Ma | iled To: | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Watershed N One Nation: | Wetlands Progra Ianagement Div Il Life Drive, Ma er, VT 05620-35 | /ision ain 2 | | |
| | Staff | To Complete | | | |
| Wetland Project Number | '' | | | | |
| Wetland Project Name: | | DEC ID#: | | | |
| Date Application Receive | d: | | | | |
| Request for Information | Date: | Information R | teceived Date: | | |
| Request for Information | Date: | Information Received Date: | | | |
| Date Application Comple | ete: | Distribution Complete Date: | | | |
| Notice Begin Date: | | Notice End Date: | | | |
| Final Action Date: | | Public Meetin | g Date: | | |
| Check# | Check Amoun | t | Date Check Received | | |
| Check# Check Amount | | Date Check Received | | | |

Vermont Wetland Permit Application/Determination Petition

| Q | JESTION | INSTRUCTIONS AND APPLICANT ANSWER | STAF NOTE |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. | Applicant | If the applicant is someone other than the landowner, the landowner information must also be included below. | |
| | 1.1. Applicant Name | Pest Pro, Inc. | |
| | 1.2. Applicant Address | 22 Hill Rd. South Hero, VT 05486 | |
| | 1.3. Applicant Phone Number | (802) 863-8815 | |
| | 1.4. Applicant Email | jon@pestpro.com | |
| | 1.5. Applicant Signature (original signature required) | By signing this application you are certifying that all the information contained within is true, accurate, and complete to the best of your knowledge. x Date: 12/8/15 | |
| 2. | Representative | Consultant, engineer, or other representative that is responsible for filling out this application, if other than the applicant or landowner | |
| | 2.1. Representative Name | Gunnar Olson (Horizons Engineering, Inc.) | |
| | 2.2. Representative Address | 17 Sunset Terrace, Newport, VT 05855 | |
| | 2.3. Representative Phone Number | (802)738-8131 | |
| | 2.4. Applicant Email | golson@horizonsengineering.com | |
| | 2.5. Representative Signature | By signing this application you are certifying that all the information contained within is true, accurate, and complete to the best of your knowledge. | Notes and |
| | (original signature required) | x Juna Alsin 12/10/15 | |
| 3. | Landowner | Landowner must sign the application. Use this space if landowner is different from the applicant | |
| | 3.1. Landowner Name | John Quackenbush | |
| | 3.2. Landowner Address | 22 Hill Road, South Hero, VT | |
| | 3.3. Landowner Phone Number | (802) 863-8815 | |
| | 3.4. Landowner Email | | |
| | 3.5. Landowner Easement | Attach copies of any easements, agreements or other documents conveying permission, and agreement with the landowner stating who will be responsible for meeting the terms and conditions of the permit. List the attachment for this information in this section. | |
| | 3.6. Landowner Signature | By signing this application you are certifying that all the information | |
| | (original signature required) | contained within is true, accurate, and complete to the best of your knowledge. | |
| 4. | Location of Wetland and Project | Location description should include the road the wetland is located on, the compass direction of the wetland in relation to the road, 911 street address if available, and any other distinguishing geographic features. Located on route 2 West in South Hero, VT. Wetland is oriented in the | |
| | | North/South direction adjacent to Route 2 | |

_

. . .

. .

| 5. | Site Visit Date and Attendees | Date of visit with DistrictList people present for site visits includingWetlands EcologistEcologist, landowner, and representatives. | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | August 2015 Daniel Owzarski | |
| 6. | Wetland Classification | The wetland is a Class II wetland because (Choose one): The wetland is mapped on the VSWI map | |
| 7. | Description of Entire Wetland or Wetland Complex | Answer the following questions regarding the entire wetland or wetland complex. A wetland complex is generally defined as two or more wetland types that are contiguous and interrelated. Specific questions about the wetland in the project area will follow. | |
| | 7.1. Size of Wetland Complex in Acres | Can be obtained from the Environmental Interest Locator Map for mapped wetlands 6 ac. | |
| | 7.2. Natural Community Types Present | List all wetland types in the wetland or wetland complex and their abundance or relative abundance. For example: 50 acres of softwood forested swamp; or 30% scrub swamp, 70% emergent wetland 35% scrub swamp, 30% forested wewtland, 30% emergent wewtland | |
| | 7.3. Landscape Position | Where is the wetland located on the landscape? Examples: bottom of a basin, edge of a stream, shore of a lake, etc. edge of drainage low spot from farm land on south side of Route 2 | |
| | 7.4. Wetland Hydrology | Describe the main source of wetland hydrology for the wetland complex. List any river, streams, lakes and ponds. Drainage swale from agricultural fields | |
| | 7.4.1. Direction of flow | Include answers to the following where appropriate: For example: stream flows from north to south through the wetland complex. | |
| | 7.4.2. Influence of | Flow is from South to North into Lake Champlain For example: The river provides flood water to the wetland in the spring. | |
| | hydrology on wetland complex | Intermittent stream provides natural drainage for agricultral fields | |
| | 7.4.3. Relation to the project area | Distance between the project area and any nearby surface waters. 80 ft | |
| | 7.4.4. Hydroperiod | Discuss frequency and duration of flooding, ponding, and/or soil saturation. Flooding and soil saturation during spring runoff from ag. fields and during high volume rain events | |
| | 7.5. Surrounding Landuse of the Wetland Complex | For example: rural residential and forested; agricultural and undeveloped, agricultural and undeveloped | |
| | 7.6. Relation to Other Nearby Wetlands | Provide any information on wetlands or wetland complexes that are close enough to contribute to the overall function of the wetland in question. Small wetlands two acres or less nearby connected through hydric soils | |
| | 7.7. Pre-project Cumulative Impacts to the Wetland | Identify any cumulative ongoing impacts outside of the project that may influence the wetland. Examples include but are not limited to wetland encroachments off the subject property, land management in or surrounding the wetland, or development that influences hydrology or water quality. No cumulative impacts observed | |
| 8. | Description of Subject Wetland | Subject Wetland is defined as the area of wetland in the project area, but not limited to the portion of the wetland to be directly impacted by the project. For the purposes of this application, the subject wetland should encompass any portion of the larger wetland or wetland complex that could be directly or indirectly impacted by the project, as defined by hydrology, vegetation and/or physical characteristics. | |
| | 8.1. Context of Subject Wetland | Describe where the subject wetland is in the context of the larger wetland or wetland complex described above. Wetland that follows the intermittent stream channel on the north side of | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 3 | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | Route 2 fed by a culvert is downstream of the proposed driveway expansion. This area is approximately two acres of scrub swamp and emergent wetlands | |
| 8.2. Wetland Landuse | For example: mowed lawn; old field; naturally vegetated. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the subject wetland. Wetland area to the south is partially old agricultural field and mowed area. Subject wetland is naturally vegetated and undisturbed | |
| 8.3. Wetland Vegetation | List dominant wetland community type and associated dominant plant species. Forested wetlands dominated by box elder and elm | |
| 8.4. Wetland Soils | Use USDA NRCS information where possible and use the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description See Site Plan Sheet 1 of 1 for Soil Descriptions | |
| 8.5. Wetland Hydrology | Use descriptions from the ACOE Delineation Manual. Surface water and high water table both present. Ground surface is sparsley vegetated with surface soil cracks. | |
| 8.6. Buffer Zone | Describe the buffer zone of the subject wetland including: | |
| 8.6.1. General landuse | For example: mowed road shoulder; forested; old field; paved road and residential lawns etc. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the buffer zone. Mowed road shoulder and paved/gravel roads | |
| 8.6.2. Buffer vegetation | List community type and dominant plant species Burdocks, upland grasses | |
| 8.6.3. Buffer soils | Use USDA NRCS information where possible, and the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description See Site Plan Sheet 1 of 1 for Soil Descriptions | |

| 9. Wetland Determination | If the application involves a wetland determination please answer the following. If not, skip to Section 10. | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 9.1. Reason for Petition | Please choose one from the dropdown menu: | |
| | Add a Section 4.6 presumed wetland to the VSWI map | |
| 9.2. Previous Decisions | Please list all determinations and decisions, if any, issued by the Secretary, Panel or former Water Resources Board, pertaining to the wetland or buffer at issue: | |
| 9.3. Narrative | Please provide any narrative to support the petition for a wetland determination here. This section is not required for petitions to add a Section 4.6 presumed wetland to the VSWI map, but is required for all other petitions. | |

If the application is only for a Wetland Determination only, skip to Section 13

| 10. Project Description | | - 14 C 14 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 10.1.Overall Project | Description of the project. For example: six-lot residential subdivision; expansion of an existing commercial building, access drive to a single family residence. New office location for Pest Pro, Inc. | |
| 10.2.Project Purpose | For example: To construct a residential subdivision, upgrade existing road to improve access, extend a trail system Improve access | |

| | Applicati | on 07/15/15 |
|---------|-----------|-------------|
| V V V I | Applioau | |

Page 4

| WP Application 07/15/15 | Page 4 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 10.3. Acres Owned by | Acreage of subject property. | | | | | |
| Applicant | 7.6 | | | | | |
| 10.4. Acres Involved in the | Acreage of area involved in the project | • | | | | |
| Project | <1 acre | | | | | |
| 1. Project Details | Provide details regarding specific impa | cts to the wetland and buffer zone | | | | |
| 11.1.Specific Impacts to | List portions of the project that will spec | cifically impact the wetland or buffer | | | | |
| Wetland and Buffer | zone. | | | | | |
| Zone | Access Drive improvements | | | | | |
| 11.2.Dimension Details | Square footage of buildings, dimension See site plan sheet 1 of 1 | | | | | |
| 11.3.Bridges and Culverts | Culvert circumference, length, placement and shapes, or bridge details. 50' length, 18" HDPE culvert | | | | | |
| 11.4.Construction Sequence | buffer in terms of sequence or phasing | Describe any details pertaining to the worked planned in the wetland and puffer in terms of sequence or phasing that is relevant Access drive to be improved upon issuance of permits | | | | |
| 11.5.Stormwater Design | stormwater and/or erosion controls pro wetland and buffer zone. | ist any stormwater permits obtained or applied for. Describe any stormwater and/or erosion controls proposed to prevent discharges to the vetland and buffer zone. No storm water permits applied for. Silt fence proposed during construction | | | | |
| 11.6.Permanent Demarcation of Limits of Impact | Describe any plantings, fencing, signage, or other memorialization that provides permanent on-the-ground boundaries for the limits of disturbance for ongoing uses. None proposed | | | | | |
| 2. Wetland and Buffer Zone Impacts | | | | | | |
| 12.1.Wetland Impacts | Summarize the square footage of impa more than one wetland is impacted, pro supplemental wetland sheets. | | | | | |
| | Totals | | | | | |
| | Wetland Fill | 0 s.f. | | | | |
| | Temporary Wetland Impact | 0 s.f. | | | | |
| | Other Permanent Wetland Impact | 0 s.f. | | | | |
| | Describe in detail the proposed impact. | | | | | |
| 12.2.Buffer Zone Impacts | Summarize the square footage of impa- more than one wetland is impacted, pro supplemental wetland sheets. | | | | | |
| | Temporary Buffer Impact | 0 s.f. | | | | |
| | Permanent Buffer Impact | 810 s.f. | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |
| | Describe in detail the proposed impact. | | | | | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 5 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 12.3.Cumulative Impacts | List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct and indirect impacts on the functions of the wetland that could result from the proposed project. NA | |
| 12.4.Avoidance and Minimization | Please refer to Section 9.5b of the rules on Mitigation Sequencing for this section. | |
| 12.4.1. Avoidance | Can the proposed activity be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone, or on another site owned or controlled by the applicant or reasonably available to satisfy the basic project purpose? If not, indicate why. This answer should include any examination of alternatives that you have explored including using other properties, requesting easements, and altering the project design. Existing drive is to be used to avoid further filling and traffic impacts on Route 2. Existing drive is to be widened and improved with a culvert sufficient enough to transport storm water to wetland for drainage into Lake Champlain. | |
| 12.4.2. Minimization | If the proposed activity cannot practicably be located outside the wetland/buffer zone, have all practicable measures have been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions? Please include any information on on-site alternatives that have been examined; minimizing the size and scope of the project to avoid impacts; or relocating portions of the project to avoid impacts Proposed drive improvements are to meet the minimum standards set forth in B-71 AOT standards for roadways. Silt fence is proposed on downhill side to avoid runoff during construction. | |
| 12.4.3. Mitigation | If avoidance of adverse effects on protected functions cannot be practically achieved, has the proposed activity has been planned to minimize adverse impacts on the protected functions and a plan has been developed for the prompt restoration of any adverse impacts on protected functions? Include any information on best management practices to be used for the project both for the initial construction and ongoing use. Also include any proposed restoration of temporary impacts, previously disturbed wetland or buffer zones or proposed conservation that are being used to offset the proposed impacts. Equipment will not be tracking through undisturbed buffer zone ground. Additional fill material will be placed with equipment on the existing roadway to allow for precise placement of fill and compaction of that fill. Silt fence is | |
| 12.4.4. Compensation | to be placed by had on the downhill side of the project area.Please refer to Section 9.5c of the rules for compensation, which is appropriate when the project will result in an undue adverse impact. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here.NA | |
| 13. Supporting materials | Where appropriate list the accompanying material by title, author, date and last revision date. Submit these documents and plans with the application. | |
| 13.1.Location map | Provide a project location map that is 8 ½" x 11" and reproducible in black and white. An Environmental Interest Locator Map is appropriate using the USGS topography map base layer, roads, and VSWI wetlands at minimum.ANR Natural Resources Atlas | |
| 13.2.Site Plans | List by title, author, date and last revision date. Plans should include wetland delineation and buffer zones, limits of disturbance, erosion controls, building envelopes and permanent memorialization. Wetland Impact Plan by Gunnar Olson (Horizons Engineering) dated 8/31/2015 | |
| 13.3.ACOE Delineation | List by author, location, and date. Required only for Individual Permits. | |
| Forms | Gunnar Olson, 24 Sunrise Drive, South Hero, VT, dated 8/18/2015 | |
| 13.4.Other Supporting | Provide any other documentation that supports the application. List photographs; easements; agreements; may include a GIS-compatible | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | Page | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Documents | wetland submit | ital for dete | erminations; | etc. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 13.5.List of Abutters (Neighbors with land adjoining wetland or buffer zone) | document. | | - | esses or submit | | | |
| 13.5.1. Newspaper Notification | If choosing the option to fulfill the notice requirement with a newspaper notice, list the newspaper to be used here. A list of names and addresses for immediately adjacent landowners (500 foot radius) of the project area is required for the List of Abutters. ***NOTE: The applicant will be billed directly by the newspaper you list here. Use of newspaper notification may extend the notice period, depending on when the notice posts in the newspaper. | | | | | | |
| | Wetland Fu supplemental v | | | (if more than on | e wetland u | lse | |
| | Functions & Values | Subject Wetland | Wetland Complex | Functions & Values | Subject Wetland | Wetland Complex | - |
| 14. Check Which Functions are | Flood/Storm Storage | | | RTE Species | | | |
| Present in the Subject Wetland and in the Wetland | Surface & Groundwater Protection | | | Education & Research | | | |
| Complex. | Fish Habitat | | | Recreation/ Economic | | | |
| | Wildlife Habitat | | | Open Space/ Aesthetics | | | |
| | Exemplary Natural Community | | | Erosion Control | | | |
| 15. Coverage under Vermont General Wetland Permit | Determinati the remainin If applying f | on, plea ng applie or Cove rmit, ple | se procee cation que rage unde ase comp | /ermont Wetl ed to number estions. er the Vermo llete question | [.] 16 and a nt Gener | answer al | |
| 15.1.VWP Vermont General Permit eligibility | If applying for coverage under the Vermont General Wetland Permit, please verify the following to complete the application: | | | | | | |
| checklist | The activity qualifies as an eligible activity for coverage under the Vermont General Wetland Permit | | | | | | |
| | The proposed project will meet the conditions applicable to the proposed project in the Vermont Wetland General Permit | | | | | | |
| | The activity the Vermont V | | | an Allowed Us | e under S | ection 6 of | |
| | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ons and v | alues, nor | undue adverse does it need a | - | • | |
| | All impacts | | en avoided | and minimized | I to the gre | eatest | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 7 | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | The wetland complex is not significant for Function 5.5 Exemplary Wetland Natural Community or 5.6 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat. | |
| | The activity is not located in or adjacent to a vernal pool, fen, or bog. | |
| | The wetland is not at or above 2,500' in elevation (headwaters wetland). | |
| | The project is not located in a Class I wetland or associated buffer zone. | |
| | The activity is not an as-built project that constitutes a violation of the Vermont Wetland Rules. | |
| Stop here if applying for Cove | erage under the Vermont General Wetland Permit | |

Stop here if applying for Coverage under the Vermont General Wetland Permit

| Complete the following Function Permit and/or a Wetland Determine Permit and/or a Wetland Determine Permit and | ns and Values checklist if applying for an Individual Wetland | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Functions and Values | For each Function and Value, first evaluate the entire wetland or wetland complex and check all that apply. Secondly, evaluate how the wetland in the project area contributes to that function. Thirdly explain how the project will not result in adverse impacts to this function. Include any information on specific avoidance and minimization measures. | |
| | If more than one wetland complex is involved, use the Supplemental Wetland Forms. | |
| 16. Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstricted inlet. | |
| | Physical space for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetation or dense woody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff during peak flows and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration. | |
| | If a stream is present, its course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to intercept surface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods. | |
| | Physical evidence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, water marks on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water. | |
| | Hydrologic or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding. | |
| | If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level. | |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level. | |
| | Significant flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in question provides this function at a | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 8 |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | negligible level in comparison to upstream storage (unless the upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment). |
| | Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland. |
| | Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures. |
| | Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively. |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level. |
| | History of downstream flood damage to public or private property. |
| | Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function. |
| | 1. Developed public or private property. |
| | 2. Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion. |
| | 3. Important habitat for aquatic life. |
| | The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated. |
| | Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland. |
| | 1. A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas. |
| | 2. Relatively impervious soils. |
| | 3. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas. |
| 16.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above Subject wetland is a stream channel with a wide flat gulley capable of transporting and or/ holding storm run-off from more significant wetland upstream. All waters transported through this wetland reach Lake Champlain directly. |
| 16.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. Existing drive is to be improved in a way that will enhance the protection of the subject wetland. An adequate culvert and proper sloping of the roadside will result in added protection. |
| 17. Surface and Ground Water Protection | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. |
| | Constricted or no outlets. |
| | Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation. |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 9 | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated. | |
| | Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet. | |
| | Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula. | |
| | Presence of seeps or springs. | |
| | Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water. | |
| | Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area. | |
| | Wetland is adjacent to surface waters. | |
| | Wetland recharges a drinking water source. | |
| | Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients. | |
| | Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter. | |
| | Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low. | |
| • | The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems. | |
| | If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level. | |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level. | |
| | Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished nutrient uptake. | |
| | Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation. | |
| | Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively. | |
| | Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function. | |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level. | |
| | The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge. | |
| | The wetland provides flows to Class A surface waters. | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 10 | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters. | |
| | The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated. | |
| 17.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above subject wetland flows directly into Lake Champlain | |
| 17.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. Minimal impervious areas are proposed to meet B-71 standards for roadways and safety. Silt fence and adequate culvert are proposed. | |
| 18. Fish Habitat | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability. | |
| | Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers. | |
| | Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike. | |
| | Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species. | |
| | The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water, and food sources. | |
| 18.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above NA | |
| 18.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. Stream channel is small in size and fish species were not observed in a sizable section of water course. | |
| 19. Wildlife Habitat | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Provides resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, and feeding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open water wetlands. | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | | Page 11 | |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | Habitat to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all species of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open water habitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, or naturally vegetated buffer zone. | |
| | | Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees. | |
| | | Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean warbler, and common loon. | |
| | | Supports winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for these species include softwood swamps. Evidence of use includes deer browsing, bark stripping, worn trails, or pellet piles. | |
| | | Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic. | |
| | \boxtimes | Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers and streams. | |
| | | Supports an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or more consecutive years by an adult beaver population. | |
| | | Provides the following habitats that support the reproduction of Uncommon Vermont amphibian species including: | |
| | | 1. Wood Frog, Jefferson Salamander, Blue-spotted Salamander, or Spotted Salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds. | |
| | | 2. Northern Dusky Salamander and the Spring Salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams. | |
| | | 3. The Four-toed salamander; Fowler's Toad; Western or Boreal Chorus frog, or other amphibians found in Vermont of similar significance. | |
| | | Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont amphibian species including, but not limited to Pickerel Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Mink Frog, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 12 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Good habitat for these types of species includes large marsh systems with open water components. |
| | Supports or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile species including: Wood Turtle, Northern Map Turtle, Eastern Musk Turtle, Spotted Turtle, Spiny Softshell, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Northern Watersnake, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. |
| | Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile species, including Smooth Greensnake, DeKay's Brownsnake, or other more common wetland-associated species. |
| | Meets four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity: |
| | 1. Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog; |
| | 2. The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp; |
| | 3. Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream; |
| | 4. Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land; |
| | 5. Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water; |
| | 6. One of the following: |
| | i. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile; |
| | ii. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile; |
| | iii. within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected; |
| | Wetland or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal government and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation; and |
| | Contains evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species. |
| | If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level. |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 13 | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level. | |
| | The wetland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply). | |
| | The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use. | |
| | The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance. | |
| | The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species. | |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level. | |
| | The wetland complex is large in size and high in quality. | |
| | The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above. | |
| | Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor. | |
| | The wetland has been identified as a locally important wildlife habitat by an ANR Wildlife Biologist. | |
| 19.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above Subject wetland is connected through a stream channel to the more significant wetland upstream that receives storm run-off from adjacent agricultural lands | |
| 19.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. No adverse impact will occur due to roadway being improved with proper construction procedures and adequate culverts, sloping, and silt fence | |
| 20. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | ☐ Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for this function. | |
| | The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met: | |
| | been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 14 | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department. | |
| | Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to: | |
| | Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation; | |
| | Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics; | |
| | A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type; | |
| | A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or | |
| | A large wetland complex containing examples of several wetland community types. | |
| | List species or communities of concern: | |
| 20.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above | |
| 20.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. | |
| 21. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function. | |
| | The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply: | |
| | There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists; | |
| | There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years; | |
| | There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department; | |
| | There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank). | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 15 | |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | List name of species and ranking: | |
| 21.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above | |
| 21.2.Statement of no adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. | |
| 22. Education and Research in Natural Sciences | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. Owned by or leased to a public entity dedicated to | |
| | education or research. | |
| | History of use for education or research. | |
| | Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research. | |
| 22.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above | |
| 22.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. | |
| 23. Recreational Value and Economic Benefits | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Used for, or contributes to, recreational activities. | |
| | Provides economic benefits. | |
| | Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law. | |
| | Used for harvesting of wild foods. | |
| | Comments: | |
| 23.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above | |
| 23.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. | |
| 24. Open Space and Aesthetics | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Can be readily observed by the public; and | |
| | Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or | |

| VWP Application 07/15/15 | Page 16 | |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape; | |
| | Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan. | |
| | Comments: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 24.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed | |
| | above | |
| 24.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. | |
| 25. Erosion Control through | Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the | |
| Binding and Stabilizing the Soil | following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function. | |
| | Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well: | |
| | Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force. | |
| | Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow. | |
| | Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control. | |
| | What type of erosive forces are present: | |
| | Lake fetch and waves | |
| | High current velocities: | |
| | Water level influenced by upstream impoundment | |
| | If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level. | |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level. | |
| | The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force. | |
| | Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level. | |
| | The stream contains high sinuosity. | |
| | Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor. | |
| 25.1.Subject Wetland | Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above | |

| 25.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact | Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

| Project/Site: Heritage Dr. | City/County: Grand Isl | Sampling Date: 8/18/15 | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Ouadenbush | | State: Sampling Point: LieHand | | | |
| Investigator(s): Gunnar Olson | Section, Township, Range: | | | | |
| | Local relief (concave, | | | | |
| Slope (%): 20 Lat: 44° 38' 48.09" N | Long: 73° 17' 37.87" | | | | |
| | Long | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Covington Silly Cley loam | | NWI classification: | | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y | | no, explain in Remarks.) | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly | | ircumstances" present? Yes No | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p | oblematic? (If needed, exp | lain any answers in Remarks.) | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing | sampling point locations | s, transects, important features, etc. | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No | | Yes No | | | |
| Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate repo | rt.) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| HYDROLOGY | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | econdary Indicators (minimum of two required) | | | |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) | | Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | | | |
| Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained | | Drainage Patterns (B10) | | | |
| High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna | | _ Moss Trim Lines (B16) | | | |
| Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits | | _ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) | | | |
| Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulf | espheres on Living Roots (C3) | _ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) | | | |
| | educed Iron (C4) | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) | | | |
| | duction in Tilled Soils (C6) | Geomorphic Position (D2) | | | |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | | Shallow Aquitard (D3) | | | |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain | | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | | | |
| Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | - | FAC-Neutral Test (D5) | | | |
| Field Observations: | | | | | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes No Mot Depth (inches |): | | | | |
| Water Table Present? Yes Ves No Depth (inches |): | | | | |
| Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches |): Wetland Hyd | Irology Present? Yes 💉 No | | | |
| (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial phot | l os, previous inspections), if availat | ble: | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |

. 1

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

| | | | <u>.</u> | Samping Point. |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:) | Absolute % Cover | Dominant Species? | t Indicator Status | Dominance Test worksheet: |
| · · | | V | | Number of Dominant Species |
| 1. Box Elder | 50 | <u> </u> | FAC | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A) |
| 2. American ElM | 60 | <u>Y</u> | FACW | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3 | | | | Species Across All Strata: (B) |
| 4 | • | | · <u></u> | |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B) |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| 7 | | | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| | | = Total Co | | OBL species x1 = |
| | | i lotal OO | VCI | FACW species x 2 = |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:) | | . 1 | 1 1 | |
| 1. Buckthorn | 10 | N | upland | FAC species x3 = |
| 2 | | | | FACU species x 4 = |
| 3 | | | | UPL species x 5 = |
| | | • | | Column Totals: (A) (B) |
| 4 | | | | Dravalence Index - D/A - |
| 5 | | | · | Prevalence Index = B/A = |
| 6 | · | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7 | | | | Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | Dominance Test is >50% |
| | | = Total Cov | ver | Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size:) | | | | Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting |
| 1. Jewel weed | 75 | <u> </u> | FACW | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| 2. Parsnip | 10 | NO | OBL | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| • | | | | |
| 3 | | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must |
| 4. | | <u> </u> | | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 5 | | | | Definitions of Vegetation Strata: |
| 6 | | | | - |
| | | | | Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter |
| 7 | | | | at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. |
| 8 | <u> </u> | | | Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH |
| 9 | | | | and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. |
| 10 | | | | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless |
| 11 | | | | of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| | | | | |
| 12 | | | | Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. |
| | | = Total Cov | <i>r</i> er | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) | | _ | ł | |
| 1. Biverbank Grape | 50 | Ý | FACW | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| 4 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Vegetation Present? Yes No |
| | 5 | = Total Cov | er | Presentr Tes No |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate si | | |] | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | · ····· | | |

| , | SOIL | at. |
|---|------|-----|

| Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|----|
| Depth <u>Matrix</u> | | | x Feature | | 1 2 | T 4 | | Descela | |
| (inches) Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | | _Type' | _Loc ² | <u>Texture</u> | | Remarks | |
| | 100 | None | _0 | | | | | | |
| 2 - 18 101K 1/2 | 80 | 10 YR 7/2 | 80 | | · | firm | Silly | Clay 100 | m |
| o-E ⁿ 51%2 100 None Site Site | | | | | | | | | |
| Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, ML | | | | | | | Explain in F | | |
| ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation Restrictive Layer (if observed): | n and wel | land hydrology musi | be prese | ent, unless | disturbed | or problematic. | | , | |
| Type: | | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (inches): | | | | | | Hydric Soil F | Present? | Yes | No |
| Remarks: | | | | | <i>.</i> | | | | |
| | | | : | | | | | | |



