Page 20 Vermont Wetland Section



Wetland Application Database Form

(AFFIX TO THE FRONT OF THE APPLICATION) Representative Name: Sheila McIntyre, Summit Eng. **Applicant Name: The Williams Family Trust County: Grand Isle** Town where project is located: Grand Isle Project Location Description: 1-2 Robinson Point Road, Grand Isle, VT 911 Street Address or direction from nearest intersection Project Summary: Construction of two residential lots served by a shared septic field and forcemain, and shared driveway. Two lots are served by municipal water. **Permit Type Requested** (check all that apply) Vermont General Permit Coverage Wetland Determination Vermont Wetland Permit Impact Calculations: Total up proposed impacts from wetland tables listed below Total Wetland Impact 3631square feet (s.f.) Total Buffer Zone Impact 10134square feet (s.f.) Total Wetland Clearing square feet (s.f.) Total Buffer Zone Clearing square feet (s.f.) (qualified linear projects only) (qualified linear projects only) JAN 21 - 2016 Permit Fees: Make check payable to - State of Vermont Wetland Impact Fee: (\$0.75/sf) \$5,446.50 Administrative Fee: \$240 Buffer Impact Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$2,533.50 **Total Check Amount:** \$8,220.00 Clearing Fee: (\$0.25/sf) \$ Existing Land Use Type: Forestry Residential (Subdivision) Industrial/ commercial (check all that apply) Agriculture Transportation Parks/Rec/Trail Residential (Single Institutional Undeveloped Family) Residential **Proposed Land Use Type: Forestrv** Industrial/ commercial (check all that apply) (Subdivision) Agriculture Transportation Parks/Rec/Trail Residential (Single Institutional No Change Family) Buildings 🛛 Utilities 🗌 Parking Septic/Well **Proposed Impact Type:** Stormwater (check all that apply) Driveway Road Parks/Path Agriculture Pond 🛛 Lawn Dry Hydrant Beaver dam alteration Silviculture Aesthetics ☐ Other No Impact Location: Lot 1 and Forcemain Wetland 1: West (Label using Wetland ID from application if applicable, use supplemental sheets if more than one wetland is being impacted) Wetland Type: PEM/PFO - Emergent aWL Size Class : 1-5 acres **Proposed Alterations** Wetland Alteration: **Buffer Zone Alteration:** Wetland Alteration Type (check all that apply) Wetland Fill: 1.419s.f. Dredge Drain Cut Vegetation Temporary: s.f. Temporary: s.f Stormwater Permanent: : 7,176 s.f s.f. Permanent: : Trench/Fill **Other** Mitigation 129,261s.f. **Avoidance and Minimization** Wetland: **Buffer Zone** 341.304s.f. (s.f. of wetland NOT impacted): Wetland Mitigation: (s.f. Gained) **Buffer Zone Mitigation (s.f. Gained):** Restoration s.f. Enhancement Restoration Enhancement s.f. s.f. s.f s.f. Creation Conservation s.f.. Creation s.f Conservation s.f **Reason for Mitigation:** Correction of Violation Mitigation to offset permit Voluntary <sup>-</sup> impacts

# Vermont Wetland Permit Application/Determination Petition

QUESTION	INSTRUCTIONS AND APPLICANT ANSWE	R	STAFF
1. Applicant	If the applicant is someone other than the landowner, information must also be included below.	If the applicant is someone other than the landowner, the landowner information must also be included below.	
1.1. Applicant Name	Williams Family Trust, c/o Stephen E, and Christine V	Villiams	
1.2. Applicant Address	2843 Hopyard Road #117, Pleasonton, CA 94588 (do	o not send mail here)	
1.3 Applicant Phone	925-200-2580	***************************************	-
Number			
1.4 Applicant Email	stephwil@cisco.com (Use email for all communication		
1.5 Applicant Signature	By signing this application you are certifying that all th	e information	
(original signature required)	contained within is true, accurate, and complete to the	e best of your	
	knowledge		
	n series and the series of the	Date:	
	Of a Anni-	17-24-2015	
	X STOPHIN Y. MA	12-29-2013	
2. Representative	Consultant, engineer, or other representative that is n this application, if other than the applicant or landown	esponsible for filling out	
2.1. Representative Name	Sheila McIntyre, Environmental Planner	an mar yn ylledyn yn ar antal a'r arael a'r far ller y blan y blan y bannel a gwlan bar ar ar ar ar ar ar ar a An ar ar yn ylledyn yn ar	
2.2. Representative Addres	Summit Engineering, Inc., 1233 Shelburne Rd, C-2, S	South Burlington, VT	
	05403	مىيى مەرىپىدىنى مەرىپىدىنى مەرىپىدىنى بىرىپىدىنى بىرىپىدىنى ئەپىرىپىدىنى ئىرىپىدىنى بىرىپىدىنى بىرىپىدىنى تەرىپىدىن	
2.3. Representative Phone	802-658-5588		
Number		alana ani 1925. Ani ang mang mang mang mang mang mang mang	
2.4. Applicant Email	Smcintyre@summitengvt.com		
2.5 Representative	By signing this application you are certifying that all the	e information	
Signature	contained within is true, accurate, and complete to the	e best of your	
(original signature required)	knowledge		
		Date	
	x heilal / h	12/24/15	
	Landowner must sign the application. Use this space	if landowner is	
3. Landowner	different from the applicant		
3.1. Landowner Name	same as above	ni ng ngong sang pangangan kanala na na nangangan gang dan dan pangan sa pangan sa s	
2.2 Landownor Address	same as above		
3.2. Landowner Phone	same as above	<u>nan antara da manangkan katara kanangkan kanan</u>	1
S.S. Landowner Filone			
2.4 Londownor Email	same as above		
3.4. Landowner Ensoment	Attach copies of any easements, agreements or other	documents conveying	
3.5. Landowner Easement	permission and agreement with the landowner stating	who will be	
	responsible for meeting the terms and conditions of the	ie permit. List the	
	attachment for this information in this section.		
	The Applicant shall be responsible for meeting the ter	ms and conditions of	
	Fasement Deed dated 4/12/2007	eas (see attached)	
	Shared drive and culd de sac		
	Wastewater forcemain and septic system		
		a lafaniantian	
3.6. Landowner Signature	By signing this application you are certifying that all the	hest of vour	
(original signature required)	knowledge.		
. <b>.</b>		Date:	
	of his AA	12 21/-2015	
	X Stephin C VV	11-09-2013	

	W	P Application 07/15/15	Page 2			
	4.	Location of Wetland and Project	Location description should compass direction of the we available, and any other dis There are two wetland area	include the road the wetland is located on, the etland in relation to the road, 911 street address if stinguishing geographic features. Is associated with the project. The first (West) is		
			located approximately 400	It east of the corner of East Shore Road, at the		
			property currently known as	s the Grand Isle House (Owned by the		
Í			This wotland extends south	Teservation Trust of Vermont Parcel #2) on the east shore of Pean Bay.		
			Poblason Point Pood to La	his wetland extends southerly across the williams Lot #1 located at 1-2		
				ike Onampiani.		
			The second (East) wetland Point Road, and extends so Mercy property.	area is located at the west end of Robinson outherly to Lake Champlain across the Sisters of		
Ī	5.	Site Visit Date and	Date of visit with District	List people present for site visits including		
l		Attendees	Wetlands Ecologist	Ecologist, landowner, and representatives.		
			8/26/15	Danielle Owczarski, ANR & Sheila McIntyre		
			11/20/15	Julie Foley, ANR & Sheila McIntyre		
	6.	Wetland Classification	The wetland is a Class II we	etland because (Choose one):		
			The wetland meets the pres	sumption of significance	distant dia se	
ł			Answer the following questi	ons regarding the entire wetland or wetland		
	7.	Description of Entire Wetland	complex. A wetland comple	ex is generally defined as two or more wetland		
		or Wetland Complex	types that are contiguous a	nd interrelated. Specific questions about the		
			wetland in the project area	will follow.		
ſ		7.1. Size of Wetland	Can be obtained from the E	nvironmental Interest Locator Map for mapped		
		Complex in Acres	wetlands			
			Wetland No. 1 (westerly) is	approximately 3 acres		
			Wetland No. 2 (easterly) is	approximately 4 acres		
		7.2. Natural Community	List all wetland types in the	wetland or wetland complex and their abundance		
		Types Present	or relative abundance. For	example: 50 acres of softwood forested swamp;		
			Notland No. 1 (wasterly) 56	emergent wetland		
			cattail amorgant marsh and	1% hayneid/agricultural, 20% wet meadow, 10%		
			Wetland No. 2 (easterly) 75	% wet meadow 25% forested deciduous		
ł		7.3 Landscape Position	Where is the wetland locate	d on the landscape? Examples: bottom of a		
		7.5. Lanuscape i Usilion	basin, edge of a stream, sh	ore of a lake, etc.		
			Both wetlands are located of	on gently sloping lands that drain southerly to		
			Lake Champlain.			
		7.4. Wetland Hydrology	Describe the main source o	f wetland hydrology for the wetland complex. List		
		,	any river, streams, lakes an	d ponds.		
			Hydrology appears to be fro	om high water table and drainage from		
			surroudning managed fields	S		
ŀ			Include answers to the follo	wing where appropriate:		
		7.4.1. Direction of flow	For example: stream flows	from north to south through the wetland complex.		
-			Both wetland areas flow sol	utneriy toward Lake Champiain.		
ł		7.4.2. Influence of	For example: The river prov	rides flood water to the wetland in the spring.		
l		hydrology on	The wetlands are primarily	fed from the water table and runoff. I ower		
l		wetland complex	portions of the wetlands clo	ser to Lake elevation could be influenced by lake		
			levels, espeicially during sp	ring snowmelt.		
ľ		7.4.3. Relation to the	Distance between the proje	ct area and any nearby surface waters.		
		project area	The subject lots are lake fro	ont lots. The proposed house sites are set bak		
		projoctaroa	greater than 75 ft from the r	nean water elevation of 95.5 ft.		
ŀ		7.4.4. Hydroperiod	Discuss frequency and dura	ation of flooding, ponding, and/or soil saturation.		
			Relatively small portions of	each wetland are saturated year round, however,		
			the majority of the wetlands	are seasonally saturated during spring.		
Γ		7.5. Surrounding Landuse of	For example: rural residenti	al and forested; agricultural and undeveloped,		
		the Wetland Complex	The surrounding land use is	primarily open agricultural/hay field with some		
ι.			· -	· · · · ·	COLOR DE COL	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 3	
	undeveloped forest adjacent to developed residential/commerical properties.	
7.6. Relation to Other	Provide any information on wetlands or wetland complexes that are close enough to contribute to the overall function of the wetland in question.	
	There is a mapped wetland to the northwest of the two lots, at the north end	
	of Pearl Bay ,Lake Champlain (see Project Location Map). It is a Class Two	
	marsh separted from the project area by East Shore Road and commerical	
	property. The mapped wetland is positioned lower in the landscape and	
	appears to have little influence on the subject wetlands in the project area.	
7.7. Pre-project Cumulative	influence the wotland. Examples include but are not limited to wotland	
Impacts to the Wetland	encroachments off the subject property land management in or surrounding	
	the wetland, or development that influences hydrology or water guality.	
	The ongoing impacts outside the project area involve land management for	
	agricultural purposes and potentially runoff from roadways in a residential	
	area.	
	Subject Wetland is defined as the area of wetland in the project area, but not	
8 Description of Subject	limited to the portion of the wetland to be directly impacted by the project.	
8. Description of Subject	For the purposes of this application, the subject weitand should encompass	
vveuano	indirectly impacted by the project as defined by hydrology vegetation and/or	
	physical characteristics.	
8.1. Context of Subject	Describe where the subject wetland is in the context of the larger wetland or wetland complex described above.	
Velland	The subject wetland(s) are located at the downlsope edge of an existing	
	agricultural field. The subject wetlands include a hayfield at the forcemain	
	easement, a marginal area of wet meadow that transitions into deciduous	
	adjacent to Lake Champlain.	
8.2. Wetland Landuse	For example: mowed lawn; old field; naturally vegetated. Describe any previous and oppoint disturbance in the subject wetland	
	Previous development within the wetlands included Robinson Point Road.	
	including a historical segment of roadway not used in the recent past. This	
	roadway was likely associated with previous land uses which included a	
	camp (see Project Location Map). The section of "historic roadway" was	
	used for the upgraded shared drive for this project, including the existing	
	that runs from East Shore Road, across the westerly wetland in the bayfield	
	parallels the shared drive, and continues along Robinson Point Road. It was	
	installed around 2003, along with the cul de sac of Robinson Point Road.	
8.3. Wetland Vegetation	List dominant wetland community type and associated dominant plant species.	
	Dominant community types in the forested wetlands include green ash,	
	american elm, jewell weed, and enchanter's nightshade. The dominant	
	community type in the old field areas are goldenrod, reed canary grass,	
0.4 Matland Calls	Phragmites, cattall, and aster.	
8.4. VVetland Solls	Manual soil description	
	Wetland soils are mapped as Covington silty clay loam, 0 - 3 percent slopes	
	(CbA). Please refer to the NRCS soil maps and associated data provided.	
	The ACOE delineation manual describes the hydric soils as F-6 Redox Dark	
	Surface in the westerly wetland and A-11 Depleted Below Dark Surface in	
	the easterly wetland.	
	The ACOE Delineatin Manual describes the hydrology of C2 Ovidined	
8.5. Wetland Hydrology	Rhizopheres on Living Roots in the westerly wetland and R3-Drift Deposite	
	B6-Surface Soil Cracks: and B16-Moss Trim Lines in the easterly wetland	
8.6. Buffer Zone	Describe the buffer zone of the subject wetland including:	
8.6.1. General landuse	For example: mowed road shoulder; forested; old field; paved road and	
	residential lawns etc. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the buffer zone.	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 4	
	The general land use in the subject westerly wetland buffer zone is agricultural hayfield, old field, maintained lawn at a commercial business and forested upland. The general land use in the subject easerly wetland buffer zone is agricultural hayfield, old field, residential roadway, residential and undeveloped forest.	
8.6.2. Buffer vegetation	List community type and dominant plant species	
	Buffer zone community types include forested areas dominated by green ash, cottonwood and cedar with an understory of buckthorn, serviceberry and currant. Old field buffer zone areas are dominated by goldenrod and staghorn sumac.	
8.6.3. Buffer soils	Use USDA NRCS information where possible, and the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description	
	Buffer zone soils are identified as Benson rocky silt loam (BeB). Please refer to the NRCS soil maps and associated information attached.	

9. Wetland Determination	If the application involves a wetland determination please answer the following. If not, skip to Section 10.	
9.1. Reason for Petition	Please choose one from the dropdown menu:	
	Add a Section 4.6 presumed wetland to the VSWI map	
9.2. Previous Decisions	Please list all determinations and decisions, if any, issued by the Secretary, Panel or former Water Resources Board, pertaining to the wetland or buffer at issue:	
9.3. Narrative	Please provide any narrative to support the petition for a wetland determination here. This section is not required for petitions to add a Section 4.6 presumed wetland to the VSWI map, but is required for all other petitions.	
If the application is only for a W	etland Determination only, skin to Section 13	
in the application is only for a w	chand Determination only, skip to beetion 10	

10. Project Description		
10.1.Overall Project	Description of the project. For example: six-lot residential subdivision; expansion of an existing commercial building, access drive to a single family residence.	
	The project involves the construction of two residential buildings; one on each of two lots. Lot #1 is 1.9 acres and has Class Two wetland and buffer zone. Lot #2 is 1.1 acres and does not have wetland or buffer zone. To support the residential use, a shared drive will be constructed to access each lot which will involve upgrading a historic drive to current standards. The water supply will be muncipal hookup to an exisitng water line. Septic will be shared via a forcemain in an existing easement to a septic system approved under #WW-6-0424 and amendments. This project construction commenced in 2015. The shared drive was constructed, the septic tanks and forcemain installed, and the septic disposal area was partially constructed. At that time, the Owner was notified that the project had not been reviewed under the current version of the Vermont Wetland Rules which had changed since the two lot subdivision was approved. Thus, the project is partially built and an "after-the-fact" permit is being sought. A more detailed description of the project history is provided in Section 12.4.	
10.2.Project Purpose	For example: To construct a residential subdivision, upgrade existing road to improve access, extend a trail system To construct a residence on each of two existing lots with a shared drive and	
10.3.Acres Owned by	Acreage of subject property.	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 5	
Applicant	The Williams Family Trust owns two adjacent lots: Lot #1 is 1.9 acres and Lot #2 is 1.1 acres.	
10.4.Acres Involved in the Project	Acreage of area involved in the project. 4.5 acres including the easments for the shared drive and cul de sac and shared forcemain and septic area as shown on the site plands and as described in the attached deeds.	
11. Project Details	Provide details regarding specific impacts to the wetland and buffer zone	
11.1.Specific Impacts to Wetland and Buffer Zone	List portions of the project that will specifically impact the wetland or buffer zone. Forcemain 1,419 sf wetland and 5,511 sf buffer Shared Drive 2,086 sf wetland and 2,958 buffer Lot #1 House Site 0 sf wetland and 1,665 buffer	
11.2.Dimension Details	Square footage of buildings, dimension of roads including fill footprint. Lot #1 house is 1,600 sf. Shared drive is 630 lf. Shared forcemain is 900 lf. Dimensions are approximate. Specific impacts are detailed in 11.1 above.	
11.3.Bridges and Culverts	Culvert circumference, length, placement and shapes, or bridge details. One existing 12" culvert under the existing roadbed was extended to 30 ft in length to upgrade the shared drive. A new 18" culvert was placed at the north edge of the culd de sac to maintain existing flow patterns. It is approximatley 70 lf.	
11.4.Construction Sequence	Describe any details pertaining to the worked planned in the wetland and buffer in terms of sequence or phasing that is relevant Commom facilities were installed first. The shared drive was upgraded to provide access during construction. The septic tanks and forcemain were installed but the septic field was not completed. The forcemain route was restored to final grade and seeded. The remaining work will be to complete the septic system which has no wetland or buffer impacts, followed by construction of the individual residences, and associated utilities. The first step is to mark the limits of construction and install appropriate sediment and erosion controls. Restoration of the disturbances along the shared drive will be completed prior to occupancy of any structure. Restoration of the buffer area on Lot #1 will be completed prior to occupancy of the residence on Lot #1.	
11.5.Stormwater Design	List any stormwater permits obtained or applied for. Describe any stormwater and/or erosion controls proposed to prevent discharges to the wetland and buffer zone. No permit required.	
11.6.Permanent Demarcation of Limits of Impact	Describe any plantings, fencing, signage, or other memorialization that provides permanent on-the-ground boundaries for the limits of disturbance for ongoing uses. There are permanent features proposed for the disturbed buffer zone on Lot #1 for memorialization of the buffer zone. This is detailed on the Shoreland Plan including a cross section of the disturbed area and long term treatment of the impacted area. A 12 ft mowed strip around the house will be maintained for access. An additional 13 ft area will be impacted by the fill slope, then planted with cedars and dogwood to enhance the impacted buffer zone. Boulders will be placed within the area to deter future mowing, and sections of split rail fencing will also be added intermittently to function as visual boundary while cedars are allowed to mature.	
12.Wetland and Buffer Zone Impacts		
12.1.Wetland Impacts	Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate category. If more than one wetland is impacted, provide that information and use the supplemental wetland sheets.	

	Totals		
	Wetland Fill	1419 s.f.	
	Temporary Wetland Impact	s.f.	
	Other Permanent Wetland Impact	s.f.	
	Describe in detail the proposed impact.		
	Forcemain - 1,419 sf of wetland impact in within the exising 20 ft easement, installin returning the site to its orignial grade, and	ivolved trenching, placing material ng the forcemain, backfilling, d reseeding.	
12.2.Buffer Zone Impacts	Summarize the square footage of impact more than one wetland is impacted, prov supplemental wetland sheets.	in the appropriate category. If ide that information and use the	
	Temporary Buffer Impact Permanent Buffer Impact	s.f. 7176 s.f.	
	Describe in detail the proposed impact.		
	Forcemain - 5,511 sf of buffer impact inv within the existing 20 ft easement, install returning the site to its orignial grade, and	olved trenching, placing material ing the forcemain, backfilling, d reseeding.	
	The house site on Lot #1 will be filled for prevention. The buffer impacts include 1 grading but does not include any portion be revegetated.	positive drainage and flood ,665 sf of impact for filling and of the house or drive. This area will	
12.3.Cumulative Impacts	List any potential cumulative or ongoing, functions of the wetland that could result The cumulative impacts includes runnoff no ongoing impacts from the septc, force	direct and indirect impacts on the from the proposed project. from the shared drive. There are emain, or house on Lot 1.	
12.4.Avoidance and Minimization	Please refer to Section 9.5b of the rules section.	on Mitigation Sequencing for this	
12.4.1. Avoidance	Can the proposed activity be practicably zone, or on another site owned or contro available to satisfy the basic project purp answer should include any examination explored including using other properties altering the project design.	located outside the wetland/buffer olled by the applicant or reasonably pose? If not, indicate why. This of alternatives that you have s, requesting easements, and	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 7	
	The two lots were approved and recorded on 11/13/01 based on approval from the Development Review Board on 11/7/01 (see attached approval and conditions). At that time, the Vermont Wetland Rules did not have jurisdiction over the wetlands as they were Class Three. Lot 1 (with the easements described in the attached deeds) was purchased by the Williams' in 2007. Lot 2 was purchased by the Williams' in 2009. The Vermont Wetland Rules were amended in 2010 to modify the potential jurisdiction on Lot #1, the forcemain and the shared drive. The construction proceeded under the terms of the existing approvals, including the Potable Water Supply and Wastewater Disposal Permits #WW-6-0424 and amendment -1 approved 4/3/07. Classification of the wetlands on site in 2015 was deemed Class Two by the Vermont Wetlands Program, and construction was haulted. There was little opportunity to avoid the wetlands associated with the forcemain and shared drive as they were in place within the existing and only easements to serve the two lots. The previously approved house site on Lot 1, however, was redesigned to avoid the newly established buffer zone.	
12.4.2. Minimization	If the proposed activity cannot practicably be located outside the wetland/buffer zone, have all practicable measures have been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions? Please include any information on on-site alternatives that have been examined; minimizing the size and scope of the project to avoid impacts; or relocating portions of the project to avoid impacts There is little opportunity to minimize impacts to the wetlands and buffers associated with the existing forecemain easement as it is the only easement in place. The site was restored to its previous grade and vegetation reestablished. There is little opportunity to minimize impacts to the wetlands and buffers associated with the shared drive as it is within the only easement in place and there are no alternative access points to the two lots. Impacts to the Lot 1 buffer zone have been minimized by redesigning and relocation the proposed residence and driveway to remove them from the buffer zone. Buffer zone clearing and regrading was also minimized to the extent practicable to achieve positive drainage, as well as providing for reasonable	
12.4.3. Mitigation	If avoidance of adverse effects on protected functions cannot be practically achieved, has the proposed activity has been planned to minimize adverse impacts on the protected functions and a plan has been developed for the prompt restoration of any adverse impacts on protected functions? Include any information on best management practices to be used for the project both for the initial construction and ongoing use. Also include any proposed restoration of temporary impacts, previously disturbed wetland or buffer zones or proposed conservation that are being used to offset the proposed impacts. A 574 sf area of Class Two wetland was disturbed during construction of the shared driveway where it is likely that woody vegetation was was disturbed, although there was no wetland fill or excavation. This area will be restored with woody vegetation as detailed on the Weltands Plan, Sheet 1 of 2. This area will be restored prior to any occupancy of either Lot 1 or Lot 2. There is a 1,122 sf area of disturbed buffer zone on Lot 1 that will be impacted by regrading around the proposed residence. This area will be restored and will not be mainatained as lawn. The restoration for this segment of the buffer zone is detailed on the Shoreland Plan, Sheet 1 of 1.	
12.4.4. Compensation	Please refer to Section 9.5c of the rules for compensation, which is appropriate when the project will result in an undue adverse impact. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here. There are no undue adverse impacts proposed that warrant compensation.	
13. Supporting materials	Where appropriate list the accompanying material by title, author, date and last revision date. Submit these documents and plans with the application.	
13.1.Location map	Provide a project location map that is 8 ½" x 11" and reproducible in black	

VWP Application 07/15/15		Page	8		_		
	and white. An USGS topogra William Projec	Environme phy map b t Location	ental Interes ase layer, ro Map 12/10/1	t Locator Map is pads, and VSWI 15	approprial wetlands a	te using the at minimum.	
13.2.Site Plans	List by title, au delineation and envelopes and Williams Famil Sheet 1 of 2, d Williams Famil Sheet 2 of 2, d Williams Famil Plan" dated 11	thor, date a d buffer zoo l permaner y Trust by lated 12/18 y Trust by lated 12/18 y Trust, by /6/2015.	and last revi nes, limits o at memoriali Buermann E /15. Buermann E /2015. Buermann	sion date. Plans f disturbance, er zation. Engineering, LLC Engineering, LLC Engineering, LLC	s should in osion contr , titled "We titled "We C titled Sho	clude wetland rols, building etlands Plan" etlands Plan", orelands	
13.3.ACOE Delineation	List by author,	location, a	nd date. Re	equired only for I	ndividual P	Permits.	
Forms	Sheila McIntyr 8/26/15.	e, Summit	Engineering	, Inc. Williams F	amily Trus	t, dated	
13.4.Other Supporting Documents	Provide any ot photographs; e wetland submi Natural Resou USDA, NRCS Photographs, u Development F Easement Dee Warrantly Deed Warranty Deed	her docum easements; ttal for dete rces Map, Soil Map, 7 undated (2 Review Boa ed #72 12/1 d Lot 1 #97 d Lot 2 #10	entation tha agreement 22/10/15 12/10/15 (3 pgs) ard Final Pla 9/01 (2 pgs 7 4/12/2007 6 10/19/09 (	t supports the ap s; may include a etc. pgs) at Review 06-01- ) (6 pgs) 5 pgs)	oplication. GIS-comp 38.2 11/7/2	List patible 2001 (2 pgs)	
13.5.List of Abutters (Neighbors with land	Attach list of na document.	ames and r	mailing addr	esses or submit	as word m	ailing	
adjoining wetland or buffer zone)	See attached li	ist by Buer	mann Engin	eering, LLC date	ed 12/17/18	ō	
13.5.1. Newspaper Notification	If choosing the notice, list the for immediately required for the directly by the may extend th the newspape N/A	option to f newspaper adjacent List of Ab <b>newspap</b> <b>ne notice p</b> r.	ulfill the noti to be used landowners utters. ***N er you list period, depe	ce requirement ( here. A list of na (500 foot radius) OTE: The appli here. Use of ne ending on when	with a news ames and a of the pro cant will b wspaper i the notic	spaper addresses ject area is <b>e billed</b> notification e posts in	
	Wetland Fu	nction S	ummary:	(if more than on	e wetland	use	
	supplemental v	vetland she	ets)	Fundiara	Cubicat	Matter -	
	& Values	Wetland	Complex	& Values	Wetland	Complex	
14. Check Which Functions are	Flood/Storm Storage			RTE Species			
Present in the Subject Wetland and in the Wetland	Surface & Groundwater Protection			Education & Research			
Complex.	Fish Habitat			Recreation/ Economic			
	Wildlife Habitat			Open Space/ Aesthetics			
	Exemplary Natural Community			Erosion Control			
15.Coverage under Vermont General Wetland Permit	If applying f Determinati the remaining If applying f	for an Ine on, pleas ng applie for Cove	dividual V se procee cation que	/ermont Wetl ed to number estions. er the Vermo	and Perr 16 and a nt Gener	mit or answer ral	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 9	
	Wetland Permit, please complete question 15.1 prior to submitting application.	
15.1.VWP Vermont General Permit eligibility	If applying for coverage under the Vermont General Wetland Permit, please verify the following to complete the application:	
checklist	The activity qualifies as an eligible activity for coverage under the Vermont General Wetland Permit	
	The proposed project will meet the conditions applicable to the proposed project in the Vermont Wetland General Permit	
	The activity does not qualify as an Allowed Use under Section 6 of the Vermont Wetland Rules.	
	The activity will not result in an undue adverse impact on protected wetland functions and values, nor does it need additional conditions to protect functions and values.	
	All impacts have been avoided and minimized to the greatest extent possible.	
	The wetland complex is not significant for Function 5.5 Exemplary Wetland Natural Community or 5.6 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat.	
	The activity is not located in or adjacent to a vernal pool, fen, or bog.	
	The wetland is not at or above 2,500' in elevation (headwaters wetland).	
	The project is not located in a Class I wetland or associated buffer zone.	
	☐The activity is not an as-built project that constitutes a violation of the Vermont Wetland Rules.	
Stop here if applying for Covera	age under the Vermont General Wetland Permit	

Complete the following Functio Permit and/or a Wetland Detern	ns and Values checklist if applying for an Individual Wetland	
Functions and Values	For each Function and Value, first evaluate the entire wetland or <b>wetland</b> <b>complex</b> and check all that apply. Secondly, evaluate how the wetland in the project area contributes to that function. Thirdly explain how the project will not result in adverse impacts to this function. Include any information on specific avoidance and minimization measures.	
	If more than one wetland complex is involved, use the Supplemental Wetland Forms.	
16. Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstricted inlet.	
	Physical space for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetation or dense woody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff during peak flows and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration.	

VWP Application 07/15/15		Page 10
		If a stream is present, its course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to intercept surface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods.
	$\boxtimes$	Physical evidence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, water marks on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water.
		Hydrologic or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding.
	If any funct provi of the mode	y of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this tion. Complete the following to determine if the wetland des this function above or below a moderate level. If none e following apply, the wetland provides this function at a erate level.
	Chec	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may ate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
		Significant flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in question provides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage (unless the upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment).
	$\boxtimes$	Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland.
		Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures.
		Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
	Chec indic	k box if any of the following conditions apply that may ate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.
		History of downstream flood damage to public or private property.
		Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function.
		1. Developed public or private property.
		2. Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion.
		3. Important habitat for aquatic life.
		The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.
		Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland.
		1. A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas.
		2. Relatively impervious soils.

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 11	
	3. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas.	
16.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above The wooded portion of the wetlands is downstream of managed agricultural fields and upstream of Lake Champlain. The woody vegetation intercepts runoff from sloped grassed fields upslope and aids in evapotranspiration of runoff. The wooded portions of the wetland also hold flood waters when Lake Champlain floods. There is little upstream development and minimal	
16.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. The grassed managed field was returned to its original character and no undue adverse impacts will result from the forcemain. The construction of the improved shared drive did remove woody vegetation, however, the existing culvert was extended to maintain the previous drainage pattern through the wooded wetland. There was no removal of woody vegetation within the portion of the wetland that floods. There is also a restoration plan to replace woody vegetation outside of the road easement. Thus there will be no undue adverse impact.	
17. Surface and Ground Water Protection	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Constricted or no outlets.	
	Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.	
	<ul> <li>Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.</li> </ul>	
	Presence of seeps or springs.	
	Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.	
	Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a headwaters area.	
	Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.	
	Wetland recharges a drinking water source.	
	Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.	
	Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.	
	Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.	
	The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 12	
	If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.	
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.	
	Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished nutrient uptake.	
	Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.	
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.	
	Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.	
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.	
	The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.	
	The wetland provides flows to Class A surface waters.	
	The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.	
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.	
17.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed	
	The wetland is adjacent to Lake Champlain. Flows from upslope drain through persistent vegetation before reaching Lake Champlain. Portions of the wetlands upslope of the proposed development are likely permanently saturated or at a minimum, saturated during the majority of the growing season. This includes the constructed pond that is dominated by cattail and the swale above the cul de sac where flows are restricted by existing roads and bermed water lines. This area is dominated by Phragmites and a mix of old field herbaceous vegetation.	
17.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
	The hydrology of the saturated wetlands will not be significantly altered by the project. Further, only minimal persistent vegetation was removed from the wetland for the road improvements. The project will have only minimal insignificant impacts on the ability of the wetland to filter runoff from upslope before waters reach Lake Champlain.	
18.Fish Habitat	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 13	
	streambank stability.	
	Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers.	
	Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for northern pike.	
	Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species.	
	The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water, and food sources.	
18.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
18.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
19. Wildlife Habitat	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Provides resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, and feeding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open water wetlands.	
	Habitat to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all species of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open water habitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, or naturally vegetated buffer zone.	
	Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.	
	<ul> <li>Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean warbler, and common loon.</li> <li>Supports winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats</li> </ul>	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 14
	for these species include softwood swamps. Evidence of use includes deer browsing, bark stripping, worn trails, or pellet piles.
	Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic.
	Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers and streams.
	Supports an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or more consecutive years by an adult beaver population.
	Provides the following habitats that support the reproduction of Uncommon Vermont amphibian species including:
	1. Wood Frog, Jefferson Salamander, Blue-spotted Salamander, or Spotted Salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.
	2. Northern Dusky Salamander and the Spring Salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.
	3. The Four-toed salamander; Fowler's Toad; Western or Boreal Chorus frog, or other amphibians found in Vermont of similar significance.
	Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont amphibian species including, but not limited to Pickerel Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Mink Frog, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of species includes large marsh systems with open water components.
	Supports or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile species including: Wood Turtle, Northern Map Turtle, Eastern Musk Turtle, Spotted Turtle, Spiny Softshell, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Northern Watersnake, and others found in Vermont of similar significance.
	Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile species, including Smooth Greensnake, DeKay's Brownsnake, or other more common wetland-associated species.
	Meets four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:
	1. Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog;

WWP Application 07/15/15	Page 15
	<ul> <li>2. The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp;</li> </ul>
	☑ 3. Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream;
	<ul> <li>4. Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land;</li> </ul>
	5. Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water;
	6. One of the following:
	i. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile;
	ii. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile;
	iii. within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected;
	Wetland or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal government and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation; and
	Contains evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species.
	If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
	The wetland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply).
	The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use.
	The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance.
	The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species.
E	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland complex is large in size and high in quality.
	The habitat has the potential to support several species

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 16	
	based on the assessment above.	
	Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor.	
	The wetland has been identified as a locally important wildlife habitat by an ANR Wildlife Biologist.	
19.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
19.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
20. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for this function.	
	The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:	
	Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.	
	Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's natural heritage, including, but not limited to:	
	Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;	
	Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics;	
	A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;	
	A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or	
	A large wetland complex containing examples of several wetland community types.	
	List species or communities of concern:	
20.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 17	
20.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
21.Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Habitat	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function.	
	The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply:	
	There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists;	
	There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years;	
	There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department;	
	There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank).	
	List name of species and ranking:	
21.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
21.2.Statement of no adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
22. Education and Research in Natural Sciences	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Owned by or leased to a public entity dedicated to education or research.	
	History of use for education or research.	
	Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.	
22.1.Subject Wetland	above	
22.2.Statement of no undue	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue,	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 18	•
adverse impact	adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
23. Recreational Value and Economic Benefits	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Used for, or contributes to, recreational activities.	
	Provides economic benefits.	
	Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.	
	Used for harvesting of wild foods.	
	Comments:	
23.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
23.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
24. Open Space and Aesthetics	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Can be readily observed by the public; and	
	Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or	
	Has prominence as a distinct feature in the surrounding landscape;	
	Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.	
	Comments:	
24.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
24.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	<ul> <li>Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:</li> <li>Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or</li> </ul>	

VWP Application 07/15/15	Page 19
	stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.
	<ul> <li>Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.</li> <li>Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.</li> </ul>
	What type of erosive forces are present:
	Lake fetch and waves
	High current velocities:
	Water level influenced by upstream impoundment
	If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
	The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The stream contains high sinuosity.
	Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.
25.1.Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed
	As noted by the site topography, portions of the wetland downslope can be influenced by flood waters associated with Lake Champlain. During period of significant flooding, wave action can reach the low lying areas of the wetland where woody vegetation is prominent.
25.2.Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. Woody vegetation within the wetland on Lot 1 will not be removed. Woody vegetation associated with the shared drive improvements is located approximately 200 ft upslope from the Mean Water Elevation of the Lake (95.5 ft) and a minimal width within the 30 ft drive easement. The area is not subject to heavy wave erosion and thus does not pose an undue adverse impact to this function.

	All Application	ns Should be Mail	ed To:
	Vermont Watershed One Nation Montpeli	Wetlands Program Management Divi al Life Drive, Ma er, VT 05620-352	m sion in 2 2
	Staf	f To Complete	
Wetland Project Number:			
Wetland Project Name:		DEC ID#:	
<b>Date Application Received:</b>			
<b>Request for Information Da</b>	te:	Information Re	ceived Date:
<b>Request for Information Da</b>	te:	Information Re	cceived Date:
<b>Date Application Complete:</b>		Distribution Co	omplete Date:
Notice Begin Date:		Notice End Dat	e:
Final Action Date:		Public Meeting	Date:
Check#	Check Amour	nt	Date Check Received
Check#	Check Amour	nt	Date Check Received

VWP Application 1/7/2011

# Page 17 Vermont Wetland Section Supplemental Wetland Application Database Form

3	upplemental wetlan	u Application Database Form	
Applicant Name: William	s Family Ttrust	Representative Name: Sheila McIntyre, Summit Eng	<b>j</b> .
Existing Land Use Type:	Forestry	Residential (Subdivision) Industrial/ commercial	
(check all that apply)			
Agriculture I ransport	ation Parks/Rec/Trail	Family)	d
Proposed Land Use Type	e: Forestry	Residential     Industrial/ commercial	
(check all that apply)	ortation Parks/Pac/Trail	(Subdivision)	<b>a</b> 0
			ye
Proposed Impact Type:	🗌 Buildings 🔲 Utilit	ies 🗌 Parking 🔲 Septic/Well 🗌 Stormwater	
(cneck all that apply)	Parks/Path		
Dry Hydrant 📋 Beaver da	am alteration Silviculture	Aesthetics Other No Impact	
Wetland #: East(Label usin applicable)	g Wetland ID from application if	Location: Shared Driveway	
Wetland Type: <b>PEM/PFO</b>	- Emergent a WL Size Class	1-5 acres	
	Propos	ed Alterations	
Wetland Alteration:	Buffer Zone Alteration:	Wetland Alteration Type (check all that apply)	
Wetland Fill: 1638s.f.		Dredge Drain	
Temporary: 574s.f.	Temporary: 558 s.f	Cut Vegetation	
Permanent: : s.f.	Permanent: : 2400 s.f	⊠Trench/Fill □Other	
	Μ	itigation	
Avoidance and Minimiza	tion Wetland: 1	72,028s.f. Buffer Zone 345,522s.f.	
(s.f. of wetland NOT impacted	d):	<u> </u>	
Watland Mitigation: (s.f.	Gainad)	Buffer Zone Mitigation (s.f. Gained):	
Restoration s.f.	Enhancement s.f.	Restoration s.f. Enhancement s.f	f
Creation s.f.	Conservation s.f	Creation s.f Conservation s.f	f
Reason for Mitigation:	Correction of Violation	Mitigation to offset permit Voluntary impacts	

# Vermont Wetland Permit/Determination Application Supplement for Additional Wetlands

QUESTION		INSTRUCTIONS AND	APPLICANT ANSWER	STAFF NOTE
	1.1. Applicant Name	The Williams Family Trust		
4.	Location of Wetland and Project Wetland ID Name/No. East	Location description should include the road the wetland is located on, the compass direction of the wetland in relation to the road, 911 street address if available, and any other distinguishing geographic features.		
		The second (East) wetland Point Road, and extends so Mercy property.	area is located at the west end of Robinson outherly to Lake Champlain across the Sisters of	
5.	Site Visit Date and Attendees	Date of visit with District Wetlands Ecologist	List people present for site visits including Ecologist, landowner, representatives.	
		8/26/15 11/20/15	Danielle Owczarski, ANR & Sheila McIntyre Julie Foley, ANR & Sheila McIntyre	
6.	Wetland Classification	The wetland is a Class II we	etland because (Choose one):	
		The wetland meets the pres	sumption of significance	
7.	Description of Entire Wetland or Wetland Complex	Answer the following questi complex. A wetland complet types that are contiguous a wetland in the project area	ons regarding the entire wetland or wetland ex is generally defined as two or more wetland nd interrelated. Specific questions about the will follow.	
	7.1. Size of Wetland Complex in Acres	Can be obtained from the E wetlands	nvironmental Interest Locator Map for mapped	
		Wetland No. 2 (easterly) is	approximately 4 acres	
	7.2. Natural Community Types Present	List all wetland types in the wetland or wetland complex and their abundance or relative abundance. For example: 50 acres of softwood forested swamp; or 30% scrub swamp, 70% emergent wetland		
		Wetland No. 2 (easterly) 75	% wet meadow, 25% forested deciduous	
	7.3. Landscape Position	Where is the wetland locate basin, edge of a stream, sh	ed on the landscape? Examples: bottom of a ore of a lake, etc.	
		Both wetlands are located of Lake Champlain.	on gently sloping lands that drain southerly to	
	7.4. Wetland Hydrology	Describe the main source of any river, streams, lakes an	of wetland hydrology for the wetland complex. List nd ponds.	
		Hydrology appears to be fro surroudning managed fields Include answers to the follo	om high water table and drainage from s. wing where appropriate:	
	7.4.1. Direction of flow	For example: stream flows	from north to south through the wetland complex.	
		Both wetland areas flow so	utherly toward Lake Champlain.	
	7.4.2. Influence of	For example: The river prov	vides flood water to the wetland in the spring.	
	hydrology on	The wetlands are primarily	fed from the water table and runoff I ower	
	wetland complex	portions of the wetlands clo	ser to Lake elevation could be influenced by lake	
		levels, espeicially during sp	ring snowmelt.	
	7.4.3. Relation to the	Distance between the proje	ct area and any nearby surface waters.	
project area The subject lots are lake front lots. The proposed house sites are set back				
		greater than 75 ft from the	mean water elevation of 95.5 ft.	
	7.4.4. Hydroperiod	Discuss frequency and dura	ation of flooding, ponding, and/or soil saturation.	

Applicant name and town where project is located:

	Relatively small portions of each wetland are saturated year round, however, the majority of the wetlands are seasonally saturated during spring	
7.5. Surrounding Landuse of	For example: rural residential and forested; agricultural and undeveloped,	
	The surrounding land use is primarily open agricultural/hay field with some undeveloped forest adjacent to developed residential/commerical properties.	
7.6. Relation to Other Nearby Wetlands	Provide any information on wetlands or wetland complexes that are close enough to contribute to the overall function of the wetland in question.	
	There is a mapped wetland to the northwest of the two lots, at the north end of Pearl Bay, Lake Champlain (see Project Location Map). It is a Class Two marsh separted from the project area by East Shore Road and commerical property. The mapped wetland is positioned lower in the landscape and appears to have little influence on the subject wetlands in the project area.	
7.7. Pre-project Cumulative Impacts to the Wetland	Identify any cumulative ongoing impacts outside of the project that may influence the wetland. Examples include but are not limited to wetland encroachments off the subject property, land management in or surrounding the wetland, or development that influences hydrology or water quality.	
	The ongoing impacts outside the project area involve land management for agricultural purposes and potentially runoff from roadways in a residential area.	
8. Description of Subject Wetland	Subject Wetland is defined as the area of wetland in the project area, but not limited to the portion of the wetland to be directly impacted by the project. For the purposes of this application, the subject wetland should encompass any portion of the larger wetland or wetland complex that could be directly or indirectly impacted by the project, as defined by hydrology, vegetation and/or physical characteristics.	
8.1. Context of Subject Wetland	Describe where the subject wetland is in the context of the larger wetland or wetland complex described above.	
	The subject wetland is located at the downlsope edge of an existing agricultural field. The subject wetlands includes a marginal area of wet meadow that transitions into deciduous forest along the shared drive, and deciduous forest on the lower slopes adjacent to Lake Champlain.	
8.2. Wetland Landuse	For example: mowed lawn; old field; naturally vegetated. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the subject wetland.	
	Previous development within the wetlands included Robinson Point Road, including a historical segment of roadway not used in the recent past. This roadway was likely associated with previous land uses which included a camp (see Project Location Map). The section of "historic roadway" was used for the upgraded shared drive for this project, including the existing culvert. There was also a public water line installed north of the project area that runs from East Shore Road, across the westerly wetland in the hayfield, parallels the shared drive, and continues along Robinson Point Road. It was installed around 2003, along with the cul de sac of Robinson Point Road.	
8.3. Wetland Vegetation	List dominant wetland community type and associated dominant plant species.	
	Dominant community types in the forested wetlands include green ash, american elm, jewell weed, and enchanter's nightshade. The dominant community type in the old field areas are goldenrod, reed canary grass, Phragmites, cattail, and aster.	
8.4. Wetland Soils	Use USDA NRCS information where possible and use the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description	
	Wetland soils are mapped as Covington silty clay loam, 0 - 3 percent slopes (CbA). Please refer to the NRCS soil maps and associated data provided. The ACOE delineation manual describes the hydric soils as A-11 Depleted	

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 3	
	Below Dark Surface in the easterly wetland.	
	Use descriptions from the ACOE Delineation Manual.	
8.5. Wetland Hydrology	The ACOE Delineation Manual describes the hydrology as B3-Drift Deposits; B6-Surface Soil Cracks; and B16-Moss Trim Lines in the easterly wetland.	
8.6. Buffer Zone	Describe the buffer zone of the subject wetland including:	
8.6.1. General landuse	For example: mowed road shoulder; forested; old field; paved road and residential lawns etc. Describe any previous and ongoing disturbance in the buffer zone.	
	The general land use in the subject easerly wetland buffer zone is agricultural hayfield, old field, residential roadway, residential and undeveloped forest.	
8.6.2. Buffer vegetation	List community type and dominant plant species	
	Buffer zone community types include forested areas dominated by green ash, cottonwood and cedar with an understory of buckthorn, serviceberry and currant. Old field buffer zone areas are dominated by goldenrod and staghorn sumac.	
8.6.3. Buffer soils	Use USDA NRCS information where possible, and the ACOE Delineation Manual soil description	
	Buffer zone soils are identified as Benson rocky silt loam (BeB). Please refer to the NRCS soil maps and associated information attached.	
11. Project Details	Provide details regarding specific impacts to the wetland and buffer zone	
11.1. Specific Impacts to Wetland and Buffer Zone	List portions of the project that will specifically impact the wetland or buffer zone.	
2010	Shared Drive 2,086 sf wetland and 2,751 buffer Cul de Sac 126 sf wetland and 207 sf buffer	
11.2. Dimension Details	Square footage of buildings, dimension of roads including fill footprint.	
11.3 Bridges and Culverts	Culvert circumference, length, placement and shapes, or bridge details.	
	One existing 12" culvert under the existing roadbed was extended to 30 ft in length to upgrade the shared drive. A new 18" culvert was placed at the north edge of the culd de sac to maintain existing flow patterns. It is approximately 70 lf.	
11.4. Construction Sequence	Describe any details pertaining to the worked planned in the wetland and buffer in terms of sequence or phasing that is relevant	
	Commom facilities were installed first. The shared drive was upgraded to provide access during construction. Restoration of the disturbances along the shared drive will be completed prior to occupancy of any structure.	
11.5. Stormwater Design	List any stormwater permits obtained or applied for. Describe any stormwater and/or erosion controls proposed to prevent discharges to the wetland and buffer zone.	
	No permit required.	
11.6. Permanent Demarcation of Limits of Impact	Describe any plantings, fencing, signage, or other memorialization that provides permanent on-the-ground boundaries for the limits of disturbance for ongoing uses.	
	inone proposed for the shared driveway within the existing easement.	

12. Wetland and Buffer Zone Impacts			
12.1. Wetland Impacts Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate categor more than one wetland is impacted, provide that information and us supplemental wetland sheets.			
	TotalsWetland Fill1638 s.f.Temporary Wetland Impact574 s.f.Other Permanent Wetland Impacts.f.		
	Describe in detail the proposed impact.		
	Shared Drive - 1,512 sf of area was filled to widen the existing historical roadbed to meet required standards for travel surface and side slopes. An additional 574 sf of area downslope was scuffed by construction machinery, and disturbed vegetation will be restored to this area as noted on the site plan. Cul de sac - 126 sf of area was filled over a new culvert to meet the Town standards for the approved cul de sac and also maintain existing drainage patterns. Refer to local approval, deeds and easments for required radius.		
12.2. Buffer Zone Impacts	Summarize the square footage of impact in the appropriate category. If more than one wetland is impacted, provide that information and use the supplemental wetland sheets.		
	Totals         Temporary Buffer Impact       558 s.f.         Permanent Buffer Impact       2400 s.f.         Describe in detail the proposed impact.		
	Shared Drive and Culd de Sac - 2,400 sf of buffer was filled to widen the existing historical roadbed to meet required standards for travel surface and side slopes. An additional 558 sf of area downslope was scuffed by construction machinery. This area is noted on the site plan. It was seeded and will be allowed to revegetate naturally.		
12.3. Cumulative Impacts	List any potential cumulative or ongoing, direct and indirect impacts on the functions of the wetland that could result from the proposed project. The cumulative impacts include runnoff from the shared drive.		
12.4. Avoidance and minimization	Please refer to Section 9.5b of the rules on Mitigation Sequencing for this section.		
12.4.1. Avoidance	Can the proposed activity be practicably located outside the wetland/buffer zone, or on another site owned or controlled by the applicant or reasonably available to satisfy the basic project purpose? If not, indicate why. This answer should include any examination of alternatives that you have explored including using other properties, requesting easements, and altering the project design.		
	I ne two lots were approved and recorded on 11/13/01 based on approval from the Development Review Board on 11/7/01 (see attached approval and conditions). At that time, the Vermont Wetland Rules did not have jurisdiction over the wetlands as they were Class Three. Lot 1 (with the easements described in the attached deeds) was purchased by the Williams' in 2007. Lot 2 was purchased by the Williams' in 2009. The Vermont		

VWP Application 1/7/2011		Page	5				
	Wetland Rules Lot #1, the force under the terms Supply and Wa approved 4/3/0 Class Two by the There was little forcemain and easements to se 1, however, wa	were ame emain and s of the ex stewater I 7. Classifie he Vermor opportuni shared driv serve the tw s redesign	nded in 2010 I the shared isting approvide Disposal Per- cation of the nt Wetlands ty to avoid the ve as they w wo lots. The ned to avoid	0 to modify the p drive. The const vals, including th mits #WW-6-042 wetlands on site Program, and co he wetlands asso vere in place with previously appro- the newly establ	otential jur ruction pro e Potable <sup>1</sup> 24 and am a in 2015 w onstruction pociated with in the exis oved house ished buffe	risdiction on beceeded Water endment -1 vas deemed was haulted. h the ting and only e site on Lot er zone.	
12.4.2. Minimization	If the proposed activity cannot practicably be located outside the wetland/buffer zone, have all practicable measures have been taken to avoid adverse impacts on protected functions? Please include any information on on-site alternatives that have been examined; minimizing the size and scope of the project to avoid impacts; or relocating portions of the project to avoid impacts There is little opportunity to minimize impacts to the wetlands and buffers associated with the existing forecemain easement as it is the only easement in place. The site was restored to its previous grade and vegetation						
	and buffers ass in place and the to the Lot 1 buf the proposed re Buffer zone cle practicable to a use and mainte	sociated wi ere are no fer zone h esidence a aring and chieve pos enance.	alternative a alternative a ave been mi and driveway regrading wa sitive draina	access points to inimized by redea to remove them as also minimize ge, as well as pro	ithin the or the two lot signing and from the t d to the ex oviding for	hly easement s. Impacts d relocation buffer zone. ttent reasonable	
12.4.3. Mitigation	If avoidance of adverse effects on protected functions cannot be practically achieved, has the proposed activity has been planned to minimize adverse impacts on the protected functions and a plan has been developed for the prompt restoration of any adverse impacts on protected functions? Include any information on best management practices to be used for the project both for the initial construction and ongoing use. Also include any proposed restoration of temporary impacts, previously disturbed wetland or buffer zones or proposed conservation that are being used to offset the proposed impacts. A 574 sf area of Class Two wetland was disturbed during construction of the shared driveway where it is likely that woody vegetation was was disturbed, although there was no wetland fill or excavation. This area will be restored with woody vegetation as detailed on the Wetlands Plan, Sheet 1 of 2. This area will be restored prior to any occupancy of either Lot 1 or Lot 2						
12.4.4. Compensation	Please refer to Section 9.5c of the rules for compensation, which is appropriate when the project will result in an undue adverse impact. If compensation is proposed please include a summary here.						
	Wetland Fu	nction S	ummary:	(if more than one	e wetland	use	
	supplemental v	vetland she	eets) Wetland	Functions	Subject	Wetland	
	& Values	Wetland	Complex	& Values	Wetland	Complex	-
14. Check Which Functions are	Flood/Storm Storage	$\square$		RTE Species			
Present in the Subject Wetland and in the Wetland	Surface & Groundwater Protection			Education & Research			
Complex.	Fish Habitat			Recreation/ Economic			
	Wildlife Habitat			Open Space/ Aesthetics			
	Exemplary Natural Community			Erosion Control			

Functions and Values	For each Function and Value, first evaluate the entire wetland or <b>wetland</b> <b>complex</b> and check all that apply. Secondly, evaluate how the wetland in the project area contributes to that function. Thirdly explain how the project will not result in adverse impacts to this function. Include any information on specific avoidance and minimization measures.			
	If more than one wetland complex is involved, use the Supplemental Wetland Forms.			
16. Storage for Flood Water and Storm Runoff	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.			
	Constricted outlet or no outlet and an unconstricted inlet.			
	Physical space for floodwater expansion and dense, persistent, emergent vegetation or dense woody vegetation that slows down flood waters or stormwater runoff during peak flows and facilitates water removal by evaporation and transpiration.			
	If a stream is present, its course is sinuous and there is sufficient woody vegetation to intercept surface flows in the portion of the wetland that floods.			
	Physical evidence of seasonal flooding or ponding such as water stained leaves, water marks on trees, drift rows, debris deposits, or standing water.			
	Hydrologic or hydraulic study indicates wetland attenuates flooding.			
	If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.			
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.			
	Significant flood storage capacity upstream of the wetland, and the wetland in question provides this function at a negligible level in comparison to upstream storage (unless the upstream storage is temporary such as a beaver impoundment).			
	Wetland is contiguous to a major lake or pond that provides storage benefits independently of the wetland.			
	Wetland's storage capacity is created primarily by recent beaver dams or other temporary structures.			
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.			
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.			
	History of downstream flood damage to public or private property.			

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 7	
	Any of the following conditions present downstream of the wetland, but upstream of a major lake or pond, could be impacted by a loss or reduction of the water storage function.	
	<ol> <li>Developed public or private property.</li> </ol>	
	<ul><li>2. Stream banks susceptible to scouring and erosion.</li></ul>	
	<ul><li>3. Important habitat for aquatic life.</li></ul>	
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.	
	Any of the following conditions present upstream of the wetland may indicate a large volume of runoff may reach the wetland.	
	<ul> <li>A large amount of impervious surface in urbanized areas.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>2. Relatively impervious soils.</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>3. Steep slopes in the adjacent areas.</li></ul>	
16.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed	
	above	
	The wooded portion of the wetlands is downstream of managed agricultural fields and upstream of Lake Champlain. The woody vegetation intercepts runoff from sloped grassed fields upslope and aids in evapotranspiration of runoff. The wooded portions of the wetland also hold flood waters when Lake Champlain floods. There is little upstream development and minimal impervious areas that contribute runoff to the wetlands onsite.	
16.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
	The construction of the improved shared drive did remove woody vegetation, however, the existing culvert was extended to maintain the previous drainage pattern through the wooded wetland. There was no removal of woody vegetation within the portion of the wetland that floods. There is also a restoration plan to replace woody vegetation outside of the road easement. Thus there will be no undue adverse impact.	
17. Surface and Ground Water Protection	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Constricted or no outlets.	
	Low water velocity through dense, persistent vegetation.	
	Hydroperiod permanently flooded or saturated.	
	Wetlands in depositional environments with persistent vegetation wider than 20 feet.	
	Wetlands with persistent vegetation comprising a defined delta, island, bar or peninsula.	
	Presence of seeps or springs.	
	Wetland contains a high amount of microtopography that helps slow and filter surface water.	
	Position in the landscape indicates the wetland is a	

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 8
	headwaters area.
	Wetland is adjacent to surface waters.
	Wetland recharges a drinking water source.
	Water sampling indicates removal of pollutants or nutrients.
	Water sampling indicates retention of sediments or organic matter.
	Fine mineral soils and alkalinity not low.
	The wetland provides an obvious filter between surface water or ground water and land uses that may contribute point or nonpoint sources of sediments, toxic substances or nutrients to the wetland, such as: steep erodible slopes; row crops; dumps; areas of pesticide, herbicide or fertilizer application; feed lots; parking lots or heavily traveled road; and septic systems.
	If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.
	Presence of dead forest or shrub areas in sufficient amounts to result in diminished nutrient uptake.
	Presence of ditches or channels that confine water and restrict contact of water with vegetation.
	Wetland is very small in size, not contiguous to a stream, and not part of a collection of small wetlands in the landscape that provide this function cumulatively.
	Current use in the wetland results in disturbance that compromises this function.
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.
	The wetland is adjacent to a well head or source protection area, and provides ground water recharge.
	The wetland provides flows to Class A surface waters.
	The wetland contributes to the protection or improvement of water quality of any impaired waters.
	The wetland is large in size and naturally vegetated.
17.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above
	The wetland is adjacent to Lake Champlain. Flows from upslope drain through persistent vegetation before reaching Lake Champlain. Portions of the wetlands upslope of the proposed development are likely permanently saturated or at a minimum, saturated during the majority of the growing season. This includes the swale above the cul de sac where flows are

VWP Application 1/7/2011	_ Page 9	
	restricted by existing roads and bermed water lines. This area is dominated by Phragmites and a mix of old field herbaceous vegetation.	
17.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. The hydrology of the saturated wetlands will not be significantly altered by the project. Further, only minimal persistent vegetation was removed from the wetland for the road improvements. The project will have only minimal insignificant impacts on the ability of the wetland to filter runoff from upslope before waters reach Lake Champlain	
18. Fish Habitat	<ul> <li>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.</li> <li>Contains woody vegetation that overhangs the banks of a stream or river and provides any of the following: shading that controls summer water temperature; cover including refuges created by overhanging branches or undercut banks; source of terrestrial insects as fish food; or streambank stability.</li> <li>Provides spawning, nursery, feeding or cover habitat for fish (documented or professionally judged). Common habitat includes deep marsh and shallow marsh associates with lakes and streams, and seasonally flooded wetlands associated with streams and rivers.</li> <li>Documented or professionally judged spawning habitat for</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Decomposition of professionally judged opartning hashed for northern pike.</li> <li>Provides cold spring discharge that lowers the temperature of receiving waters and creates summer habitat for salmonoid species.</li> <li>The wetland is located along a tributary that does not support fish, but contributes to a larger body of water that does support fish. The tributary supports downstream fish by providing cooler water, and food sources.</li> </ul>	
18.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
18.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
19. Wildlife Habitat	<ul> <li>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.</li> <li>Provides resting, feeding staging or roosting habitat to support waterfowl migration, and feeding habitat for wading birds. Good habitats for these species include open water wetlands.</li> <li>Habitat to support one or more breeding pairs or broods of waterfowl including all species of ducks, geese, and swans. Good habitats for these species include open water</li> </ul>	

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 10	
	habitats adjacent shallow marsh, deep marsh, shrub wetland, forested wetland, or naturally vegetated buffer zone.	
	Provides a nest site, a buffer for a nest site or feeding habitat for wading birds including but not limited to: great blue heron, black-crowned night heron, green-backed heron, cattle egret, or snowy egret. Good habitats for these species include open water or deep marsh adjacent to forested wetlands, or standing dead trees.	
	Supports or has the habitat to support one or more breeding pairs of any migratory bird that requires wetland habitat for breeding, nesting, rearing of young, feeding, staging roosting, or migration, including: Virginia rail, common snipe, marsh wren, American bittern, northern water thrush, northern harrier, spruce grouse, Cerulean warbler, and common loon.	
	Supports winter habitat for white-tailed deer. Good habitats for these species include softwood swamps. Evidence of use includes deer browsing, bark stripping, worn trails, or pellet piles.	
	Provides important feeding habitat for black bear, bobcat, or moose based on an assessment of use. Good habitat for these types of species includes wetlands located in a forested mosaic.	
	Has the habitat to support muskrat, otter or mink. Good habitats for these species include deep marshes, wetlands adjacent to bodies of water including lakes, ponds, rivers and streams.	
	Supports an active beaver dam, one or more lodges, or evidence of use in two or more consecutive years by an adult beaver population.	
	Provides the following habitats that support the reproduction of Uncommon Vermont amphibian species including:	
	1. Wood Frog, Jefferson Salamander, Blue-spotted Salamander, or Spotted Salamander. Breeding habitat for these species includes vernal pools and small ponds.	
	<ul> <li>2. Northern Dusky Salamander and the Spring Salamander. Habitat for these species includes headwater seeps, springs, and streams.</li> </ul>	
	3. The Four-toed salamander; Fowler's Toad; Western or Boreal Chorus frog, or other amphibians found in Vermont of similar significance.	
	Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont amphibian species including, but not limited to Pickerel Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Mink Frog, and others found in Vermont of similar significance. Good habitat for these types of species includes large marsh systems with open water components.	

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 11
	Supports or has the habitat to support populations of uncommon Vermont reptile species including: Wood Turtle, Northern Map Turtle, Eastern Musk Turtle, Spotted Turtle, Spiny Softshell, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Northern Watersnake, and others found in Vermont of similar significance.
	Supports or has the habitat to support significant populations of Vermont reptile species, including Smooth Greensnake, DeKay's Brownsnake, or other more common wetland-associated species.
	Meets four or more of the following conditions indicative of wildlife habitat diversity:
	1. Three or more wetland vegetation classes (greater than 1/2 acre) present including but not limited to: open water contiguous to, but not necessarily part of, the wetland, deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, fen, or bog;
	2. The dominant vegetation class is one of the following types: deep marsh, shallow marsh, shrub swamp or, forested swamp;
	3. Located adjacent to a lake, pond, river or stream;
	<ul> <li>4. Fifty percent or more of surrounding habitat type is one or more of the following: forest, agricultural land, old field or open land;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>5. Emergent or woody vegetation occupies 26 to 75 percent of wetland, the rest is open water;</li> </ul>
	6. One of the following:
	<ul> <li>i. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water within 1 mile;</li> </ul>
	ii. hydrologically connected to other wetlands of same dominant class within 1/2 mile;
	iii. within 1/4 mile of other wetlands of different dominant classes or open water, but not hydrologically connected;
	Wetland or wetland complex is owned in whole or in part by state or federal government and managed for wildlife and habitat conservation; and
	Contains evidence that it is used by wetland dependent wildlife species.
	If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 12	
	The wetland is small in size for its type and does not represent fugitive habitat in developed areas (vernal pools and seeps are generally small in size, so this does not apply).	
	The surrounding land use is densely developed enough to limit use by wildlife species (with the exception of wetlands with open water habitat). Can be negated by evidence of use.	
	The current use in the wetland results in frequent cutting, mowing or other disturbance.	
	The wetland hydrology and character is at a drier end of the scale and does not support wetland dependent species.	
	Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.	
	The wetland complex is large in size and high in quality.	
	The habitat has the potential to support several species based on the assessment above.	
	Wetland is associated with an important wildlife corridor.	
	The wetland has been identified as a locally important wildlife habitat by an ANR Wildlife Biologist.	
19.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
19.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
20. Exemplary Wetland Natural Community	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.	
	Wetlands that are identified as high quality examples of Vermont's natural community types recognized by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department, including rare types such as dwarf shrub bogs, rich fens, alpine peatlands, red maple-black gum swamps and the more common types including deep bulrush marshes, cattail marshes, northern white cedar swamps, spruce-fir-tamarack swamps, and red maple-black ash seepage swamps are automatically significant for this function.	
	The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following conditions are met:	
	Is an example of a wetland natural community type that has been identified and mapped by, or meets the ranking and mapping standards of, the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department.	
	Contains ecological features that contribute to Vermont's	

VWP Application 1/7/2011	P Application 1/7/2011         Page 13				
	natural heritage, including, but not limited to:				
	<ul> <li>Deep peat accumulation reflecting a long history of wetland formation;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Forested wetlands displaying very old trees and other old growth characteristics;</li> </ul>				
	A wetland natural community that is at the edge of the normal range for that type;				
	A wetland mosaic containing examples of several to many wetland community types; or				
	A large wetland complex containing examples of several wetland community types.				
	List species or communities of concern:				
20.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above				
20.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.				
Endangered Species Habitat	following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.				
	Wetlands that contain one or more species on the federal or state threatened or endangered lists, as well as species that are rare in Vermont, are automatically significant for this function.				
	The wetland is also likely to be significant if any of the following apply:				
	There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species on the federal or state threatened or endangered species lists;				
	There is creditable documentation that threatened or endangered species have been present in past 10 years;				
	There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides important habitat for any species listed as rare in Vermont (S1 or S2 ranks), state historic (SH rank), or rare to uncommon globally (G1, G2, or G3 ranks) by the Natural Heritage Information Project of the Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department;				
	There is creditable documentation that the wetland provides habitat for multiple uncommon species of plants or animals (S3 rank).				
	List name of species and ranking:				

VWP Application 1/7/2011	Page 14	
21.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
21.2. Statement of no adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
22.Education and Research in Natural Sciences	<ul> <li>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.</li> <li>Owned by or leased to a public entity dedicated to education or research.</li> <li>History of use for education or research.</li> </ul>	
22.1. Subject Wetland	Has one or more characteristics making it valuable for education or research.      Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
22.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
23. Recreational Value and Economic Benefits	<ul> <li>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.</li> <li>Used for, or contributes to, recreational activities.</li> <li>Provides economic benefits.</li> <li>Provides important habitat for fish or wildlife which can be fished, hunted or trapped under applicable state law.</li> <li>Used for harvesting of wild foods.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>	
23.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above	
23.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
24. Open Space and Aesthetics	<ul> <li>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.</li> <li>Can be readily observed by the public; and</li> <li>Possesses special or unique aesthetic qualities; or</li> <li>Has prominence as a distinct feature in the</li> </ul>	

surrounding landscape;         Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.         Comments:         24.1. Subject Wetland         Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above         24.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact         Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil         Soil         26. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil         Soil         26. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil         Soil         27. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil         Soil         28. Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:         Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.         Soil       Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and go as breached.         What type of erosive forces are present:       Water level influenced by upstream impoundment If any of the following the motival to revide sthis function at a more relevel.         What type of erosive forces are checked, the wetland provides this function at a more relevel.	VWP Application 1/7/2011	ation 1/7/2011 Page 15					
24.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above         24.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above         24.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact       Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following are present as well: Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.         26. Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation any water along course of water flow.       Studies show that wetlands or similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosine control.         What type of erosive forces are present:       Lake fetch and waves       High current velocities:         Water level influenced by upstream impoundment       If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         26. Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>ingher</i> level.       The stream contains high sinuosity.         26. The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetat		surrounding landscape;					
24.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above         24.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erossion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Soil Provides this function.         25. Erossion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Soil Provides this function.         26. Erossion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         27. Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:       Image: Soil Provides this function.         28. Erosive forces such as wave or durrent energy are present and any of the following or the resent as well:       Image: Soil Provides this function.         29. Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.       Image: Soil Provides this function along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.         20. Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.       Image: Soil Provides this function at a diacent erosive force.         20. Consider the veloam data and the store boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.       Image: Soil Provides this function at a lower level. <td></td> <td colspan="4">Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.</td>		Has been identified as important open space in a municipal, regional or state plan.					
24.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above         24.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact       Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following and yestative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following and yestative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following are present as well:         20. Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.       Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation in and water along course of water flow.         25. Undense Show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are impoundment If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.       In one of the following to determine if the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         26. Check box if any of the fo		Comments:					
24.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above         24.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact       Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Stabilizing the Soil         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Stabilizing the Soil         26. Good interspersion of persistent energy are present and any of the following are present as well:       Image: Stabilizing the Soil         26. Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.       Image: Stabilizing the Soil Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation and water along course of water flow.         28. Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.       What type of erosive forces are present:         29. High current velocities:       Image: High current velocities:       Image: High current velocities:         29. High current velocities:       The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks wegetation that contributes to controlling apply, the wetland provides this function at a <i>moderate</i> level.         29. Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>figher</i> level.         29. The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks wegetation that contr							
24.2. Statement of no undue adverse impact       Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil <ul> <li>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following properties this function.</li> <li>Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following prepresent as well:</li> <li>Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.</li> <li>God interspersion of persistent regregative getation and water along course of water flow.</li> <li>Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.</li> </ul> What type of erosive forces are present: <ul> <li>Lake fetch and waves</li> <li>High current velocities:</li> <li>Water level influenced by upstream impoundment</li> <li>fany of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. and or are following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.</li> <li>Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.</li> <li>The stream contains high sinuosity.</li> <li>Has been identified through through the stream or river corridor.</li> </ul> <li> <ul> <li>The stream contains high sinuosity.</li> <li>Has been identified through fluxial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.</li> </ul> </li>	24.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above					
25.1.       Subject Wetland         adverse impact       adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         26. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following and the following are present as well:         27. Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.       Soid God interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.         25. Understain the subject well influenced by upstream impoundment type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.       What type of erosive forces are present:         21. Lake fetch and waves       High current velocities:       Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         11. any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.       If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         25. The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controling the erosive force.       Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.         26. Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may	24.2 Statement of no	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue.					
25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Stabilizing the soil         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Stabilizing the soil         25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Stabilizing the soil         26. Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:       Image: Stabilizing the soil         26. Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.       Image: Stabilizing the soil         26. Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.       Image: Studies Stow that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.         What type of erosive forces are present:       Image: Lake fetch and waves         26. High current velocities:       Image: Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         11 f any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         26. Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         27. The stream contains high sinuosity.       The stream contains high sinuosity.         26. Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.<	undue adverse impact	adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization					
25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Stabilizing the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.         Image: Soil Stabilizing the Soil       Image: Soil Stabilizing the following are present as well:         Image: Soil Stabilizing the following are present as well:       Image: Soil Stabilizing the solution at any of the following are present as well:         Image: Stabilizing the soil Stabilizing the soil Stabilizing the soil Stabilizing the solution at a solution Stabilizing the solution solution Stabilizing the solution and water along course of water flow.         Image: Stabilizing the Stabilizing the solution Stabilizing the soil Stabilizing the solution Stabilizing the solution Sta		measures relevant to this function.					
Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:         Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.         Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.         Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.         What type of erosive forces are present:         Lake fetch and waves         High current velocities:         Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream contains high sinuosity.       Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor. <td< td=""><td>25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil</td><td>Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.</td><td></td></td<>	25. Erosion Control through Binding and Stabilizing the Soil	Function is present and likely to be significant: Any of the following physical and vegetative characteristics indicate the wetland provides this function.					
Sood interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.         Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.         What type of erosive forces are present:         Lake fetch and waves         High current velocities:         Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream contains high sinuosity.         Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		<ul> <li>Erosive forces such as wave or current energy are present and any of the following are present as well:</li> <li>Dense, persistent vegetation along a shoreline or stream bank that reduces an adjacent erosive force.</li> </ul>					
What type of erosive forces are present:		<ul> <li>Good interspersion of persistent emergent vegetation and water along course of water flow.</li> <li>Studies show that wetlands of similar size, vegetation type, and hydrology are important for erosion control.</li> </ul>					
Lake fetch and waves         High current velocities:         Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.         The stream contains high sinuosity.         Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		What type of erosive forces are present:					
High current velocities:       High current velocities:         Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>ligher</i> level.         Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		Lake fetch and waves					
Water level influenced by upstream impoundment         If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this         function.       Complete the following to determine if the wetland         provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none         of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a         moderate level.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may         indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks         vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may         indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks         vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may         indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.         The stream contains high sinuosity.         Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment         to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the         stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland		High current velocities:					
If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.            Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level. <ul> <li>The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.</li> <li>Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>ligher</i> level.</li> <li>The stream contains high sinuosity.</li> <li>Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.</li> </ul> <li>25.1. Subject Wetland         <ul> <li>Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above</li> </ul> </li>		Water level influenced by upstream impoundment					
Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.         The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.         Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.         The stream contains high sinuosity.         Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		If any of the above boxes are checked, the wetland provides this function. Complete the following to determine if the wetland provides this function above or below a moderate level. If none of the following apply, the wetland provides this function at a moderate level.					
Image: Second stream is a strift of a stream of the str		Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>lower</i> level.					
Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.         The stream contains high sinuosity.         Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		The stream is artificially channelized and/or lacks vegetation that contributes to controlling the erosive force.					
Image: The stream contains high sinuosity.         Image: Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		Check box if any of the following conditions apply that may indicate the wetland provides this function at a <i>higher</i> level.					
Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.         25.1. Subject Wetland       Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		The stream contains high sinuosity.					
25.1. Subject Wetland Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above		Has been identified through fluvial geomorphic assessment to be important in maintaining the natural condition of the stream or river corridor.					
	25.1. Subject Wetland	Please explain how the subject wetland contributes to the function listed above					

		As noted by the site topography, portions of the wetland downslope can be influenced by flood waters associated with Lake Champlain. During period of significant flooding, wave action can reach the low lying areas of the wetland where woody vegetation is prominent.	
25.2.	Statement of no undue adverse impact	Please explain how the proposed project will not result in any undue, adverse impact to this function. Include any avoidance and minimization measures relevant to this function.	
		Woody vegetation associated with the shared drive improvements is located approximately 200 ft upslope from the Mean Water Elevation of the Lake (95.5 ft) and a minimal width within the 30 ft drive easement. The area is not subject to heavy wave erosion and thus does not pose an undue adverse impact to this function	







National Cooperative Soil Survey

**Conservation Service** 

Page 1 of 3



USDA

r

Мар	Unit	Legend		

Grand Isle County, Vermont (VT013)					
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
BeB	Benson rocky silt loam, over shaly limestone, 3 to 8 percent slopes	1.9	54.7%		
CbA Covington silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes		1.6	45.3%		
W	Water	0.0	0.0%		
Totals for Area of Interest		3.5	100.0%		



Photo 1: View of House Site Lot #1 toward southwest.



Photo 2: View of Wastewater Collection Tanks at property line view to south.



Photo 3: Forcemain route within easement view to north.



Photo 4: Forcemain crossing under power line north of Lot #1 view to the northwest.

### DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD HEARING #18-00: FINAL PLAT REVIEW HOEHL SUBDIVISION (06-01-38)

FF16 Applicants' plans for erosion control (Exhibits P and T) contain sufficient details and specifics concerning the control of runoff and erosion during and after construction, provided culverts are adequately sized.

4

FF17 The area surrounding the Property includes the a mix of, undeveloped land (both open and wooded), and a moderate number of single-family dwellings. A three-bedroom single-family dwelling on each of the proposed new residential lots would be compatible with surrounding properties.

### REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS AND DESIGN STANDARDS (SECTION 7.4)

### A. Roads (Section 7.4.1):

DUPLICATE FILE # 06-01-38.

FF18 The Property has over six hundred fifty (650) continuous feet of frontage on East Shore North, an unpaved Class 3 Town highway, and for road access proposed Lot Three would continue to use the existing drives described above (FF3).

FF19 Access to proposed Lots One and Two would be via an existing private, dead-end road, known as Robinson Point Road, which is at least twenty (20) feet wide and runs from East Shore North east, south, and west to the east boundary of a parcel of land located at 2 Robinson Point Road, owned by the Sisters of Mercy and identified in Town Records by Tax Map Parcel ID #06-01-38.1 (Exhibits O-Q).

FF20 Applicants have obtained deeded easement (Exhibit N) to construct, and will construct, both a cul-de-sac with radius not less than thirty-five (35) feet at this terminus and a common drive for ingress to and egress from building sites on proposed Lots One and Two via a right-of-way, thirty (30) feet wide, across said lands of the Sisters of Mercy as depicted on the Subdivision Plat, Master Site Plan, and Site Plan (Exhibits O-Q). For both proposed Lots Applicants will also obtain deeded right to access East Shore North via Robinson Point Road.

FF21 Owners of proposed Lots One and Two will own and maintain the proposed cul-de-sac and proposed common drive, with maintenance responsibilities as specified in proposed deeds (Exhibit M) and Private Roadway Agreement and Waiver.

FF22 Applicants will prepare and execute, subject to Town approval, said Private Roadway Agreement, describing in full

### DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD HEARING #18-00: FINAL PLAT REVIEW HOEHL SUBDIVISION (06-01-38)

detail ownership and maintenance responsibilities for the proposed cul-de-sac and common driveway.

FF23 All proposed dwelling sites will be safely and securely accessible year-round to emergency and service vehicles, provided Applicants construct and maintain the proposed cul-de-sac in accordance with Vermont Agency of Transportation road standard A-76 and the aforementioned Private Roadway Agreement, provided the Applicants construct and maintain the proposed common driveway in accordance with VAT standard for residential drives B-71 and the aforementioned Private Roadway Agreement, and provided Robinson Point Property Owners Association maintains Robinson Point Road adequately (Exhibits C-H).

FF24 Applicants will join the Robinson Point Property Owners Association and share in that association's responsibilities for maintenance of Robinson Point Road.

FF25 Applicants' plat includes sufficient measures to control and accommodate any water associated with a fifty (50) year storm, provided culverts have been adequately sized (Exhibits O, P, Q).

### B) Site Preservation and Landscaping (Section 7.4.2)

1) Storm Drainage:

FF26 Other than the State designated Class III wetlands which constitute a natural feature to be preserved and protected, there is currently no spring or surface water that must be removed from the Property, nor would any be likely to result from the construction of the proposed single-family dwellings as depicted on the Plat.

FF27 To maintain appropriate patterns of storm water movement Applicants will install culverts as depicted on their Master Site Plan (Exhibit P).

### 2) Water Supply

FF28 Potable water for proposed Lots One and Two will be from a single well that Applicants will drill as depicted on the Subdi-

ner -de pr

FILE # 06-01-38.2

102

ERMIT #

5

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT Return Received (including Certificates and, if Required, Act 250 Disclosure Statement) and Tax Pald.

Signed Ormo-Im-HO

and started

own

**Q**lerk

72	003
EASEM	ENT DEED

RECORDED V ATTESTA DIMIN

GRAND ISLE. VERMONT TOWN CLERK

#### KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT, Sisters of Mercy of the

Diocese of Burlington, Vermont, a Vermont corporation with its principal place of business at

100 Mansfield Avenue in the City of Burlington, County of Chittenden, and State of Vermont,

Grantor, in the consideration of Ten and More Dollars paid to their satisfaction by Robert H.

Hochl and Cynthia K. Hochl, husband and wife, of the City of South Burlington, County of

Chittenden, and State of Vermont, Grantees, by these presents, do freely GIVE, GRANT. SELL,

CONVEY AND CONFIRM unto the said Grantees, Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl,

husband and wife, tenants by the entirety, and their heirs, successors, and assigns forever, an

easement over lands of Grantor located in the Town of Grand Isle, County of Chittenden, State of

Vermont, said easement being more particularly described as follows, viz:

Being a perpetual easement for the construction, maintenance, and use of a driveway, including a cul-de-sac (which will be constructed on lands of Grantor and lands currently of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc.), for ingress and egress, on and over a strip of land, said strip having a uniform width of thirty (30) feet and extending across the entire northern or rear width of Grantor's parcel, all as more particularly shown on a site plan entitled "Subdivision Plat, Preservation Realty Holdings, East Shore Rd North, Grand Isle, Vermont" by Trudell Consulting Engineers, Inc., originally dated April 15, 1998, last revised March 28, 2001, and recorded in Map Slide 194 of the land records of the Town of Grand Isle (the "Plan"). The northern boundary of the thirty (30) foot easement herein conveyed shall run contiguous to and along the northern boundary of Grantor's lands.

Said Easement shall be for the purpose of providing pedestrian and vehicular access and utilities, including electrical, telephone, and cable, to Lot 1 and Lot 2 located westerly of Grantor's parcel and shown on the Plan.

It shall be the sole responsibility of the Grantees to construct and maintain any improvements (driveway, cul-de-sac, utilities) within said easement area. If necessary during the construction of the roadway or installation of utilities, Grantees shall have a temporary construction easement adjacent to said 30 foot perpetual easement, not to exceed an additional five (5) feet, unless granted permission in writing by Grantor. It shall be the responsibility of the Grantees to restore any areas disturbed outside the thirty (30) foot perpetual easement area within fifteen (15) days of the completion of construction.

Grantees shall maintain said improvements so that no harm is done to the lands of the Grantor outside the easement. Grantees further agree that by recording this Warranty Deed they waive the right to make a claim of liability against Grantor arising out of the construction, use, or maintenance of the easement and further that they will hold harmless and indemnify Grantor, its successors and assigns, against any claims for damage or causes of action made against Grantor arising from the use of said easement by any person or from the design, layout, condition or construction of the easement improvements on Grantor's property, except to the extent that the damages or injury claimed is attributable to acts of the Grantor.

1

References hereby made to the instruments and plans referred to above and the records thereof and the instruments and plans referred to therein and the records thereof in further aid of this description.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said granted premises, with all the privileges and appurtenances thereof, to the said Grantees, Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl, husband and wife, tenants by the entirety, and their heirs, successors, and assigns, to their own use and behoof forever; and the said Grantor, Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont, for itself and its successors, and assigns, do covenant with the said Grantees, Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl, their heirs, successors and assigns, that until the ensealing of these presents it is the sole owner of the premises, and has good right and title to convey the same in manner aforesaid, that it is FREE FROM EVERY ENCUMBRANCE; except mortgages of record; and it hereby engages to WARRANT AND DEFEND the same against all lawful claims whatever, except as stated above.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, <u>Lucitle Barvachlack</u>, <u>RSIM</u>, duly authorized agent of Grantor Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont hereunto sets <u>luc</u> hand and seal this <u>Herb</u> day of <u>Determine</u>, 2001.

IN PRESENCE OF:

SISTERS OF MERCY OF THE DIOCESE OF BURLINGTON, VERMONT

Dilune 11). 11/16-11 Witness

Bueill's Bonwaulour RSM Duly Authorized Agent BY:

STATE OF Veiment Chillinder COUNTY, SS.

At <u>Birchinghn</u>, <u>Vermin</u>, this <u>14</u><sup>th</sup> day of <u>brinch</u>, 2001, personally appeared as duly authorized agent for Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont and <u>54</u><u>2</u> acknowledged this instrument, by <u>12</u><u>2</u> sealed and subscribed, to be <u>MU</u> free act and deed, and the free act and deed of the Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont.

2

Before me, Mane 117. 11/16 Mary Public My commission expires: 0240/2003

END OF

WARRANTY DEED

GRAND ISLE. VT ECEIVED FOR RECORD AD\_\_\_\_AD\_\_\_AT O'Clock Min. . M Recorded in Vol.. 97 Page <u>602-6</u>08 of the Land Repords. Attest beating Attest: . Town Clerk

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that HOEHL FAMILY REAL ESTATE, LLC, a Vermont limited liability company with place of business in the City of South Burlington, County of Chittenden and State of Vermont, ROBERT H. HOEHL and CYNTHIA K. HOEHL, of Naples, County of Collier, and State of Florida ("Grantors"), in consideration of the sum of Ten and More Dollars, paid to their full satisfaction by STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and CHRISTINE WILLIAMS of Pleasanton, County of Alameda and State of California ("Grantees"), by these presents, do freely GIVE, GRANT, SELL, CONVEY and CONFIRM unto the said Grantees, STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and CHRISTINE WILLIAMS, husband and wife, as tenants by the entirety, and their heirs and assigns forever, a certain piece of land in the Town of Grand Isle, County of Grand Isle and State of Vermont (hereinafter the "Premises") described as follows, viz:

Being a parcel of land designated as Lot 1 on a plat entitled: "Subdivision Plat, Preservation Realty Holdings, East Shore Road North Grand Isle, Vermont," prepared by Trudell Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated April 15, 1998, last revised March 28, 2001 and recorded in Sleeve 194 of the Town of Grand Isle Map Records on November 13, 2001 (the "Subdivision Plat"). Reference is also made to the plan entitled: "Robert & Cynthia Hoehl, East Shore Road, North Grand Isle, Vermont, Site Plan," prepared by Trudell Consulting Engineers, dated May 22, 1998, last revised February 21, 2007 and a plan entitled: "Preservation Trust of Vermont, Island Villa Hotel, East Shore Road North, Grand Isle, Vermont, Master Site Plan," prepared by Trudell Consulting Engineers, dated October 7, 1997, last revised February 21, 2007, both plans being filed with the State of Vermont Agency of Natural Resource District IV Offices (the "Site Plans").

Being a portion of the land and premises conveyed by Warranty Deed of Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl to Grantor dated April 7, 2006 and recorded in Volume 93 at Pages 622-626 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records and more particularly described as being a portion of the land and premises conveyed to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl by Warranty Deed of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. dated March 29, 2002 and recorded in Volume 73 at Page 232 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records (the "PRH Deed").

Included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement granted in the PRH Deed being twenty feet (20') in width for construction, installation, maintenance, use and replacement of a force main in substantially the location set forth on the Subdivision Plat, with the actual easement to be centered on the force main as constructed. Said easement is designated on the Subdivision Plat as "proposed 20' wide force main easement to be centered on the force main as constructed." This easement is to be used in common with Lot 2 as depicted on the Subdivision Plat. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be responsible for constructing, installing, maintaining, repairing and replacing such force main and for restoring the land following any such construction, installation, maintenance, repair and replacement to substantially the same condition as existed prior thereto, subject to the right of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc., its successors and assigns, as reserved in the PRH Deed, to connect to such force main in the future at its sole cost and expense, including any expansion of such force main required as a result of such connection and restoration of its land following such connection. As set forth in the PRH Deed, if Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. exercises its right to connect to

such force main in the future, such connection shall not interfere with or compromise intended use by Lot 1 and Lot 2 of the force main, and such force main shall at all times have sufficient capacity to accommodate the intended use for up to two 3-bedroom single family dwellings for year-round use on Lot 1 and Lot 2 collectively or such lesser use as is required for any buildings as are actually approved and built on the above-described lots. Prior to any such connection by Preservation Realty Holding, Inc., Preservation Realty Holding, Inc. shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary State and local permits at its sole cost and expense, and Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall provide such information and execute such applications as may be necessary to facilitate its efforts to obtain such permits. In the event it does connect to and use such force main in the future, Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc., its successors and assigns, Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall share in the cost of maintaining, repairing and replacing the force main in proportion to their respective use thereof, with such use to be measured by water meters installed at each party's property.

Except as otherwise set forth in the previous paragraph, Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for all costs and expenses relating to the construction, maintenance and repair of the force main and the 1000 gallon pump station depicted on the Site Plans.

Further included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement granted in the PRH Deed for construction, maintenance and use of a sewage disposal system on "Parcel 1" on the Subdivision Plat ("Parcel 1") which easement area is depicted as "Sewage Easement for Lots 1 & 2 1.17 Ac." (the "Septic Easement Area"). This easement shall run with the land. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for constructing, maintaining and repairing a sewage disposal system on the Septic Easement Area, for obtaining all necessary State and local permits and licenses in connection therewith, and for restoring Parcel 1 following any such construction, maintenance and repair to substantially the same condition as existed prior thereto. This easement is subject to the right of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. reserved in the PRH Deed to use such Septic Easement Area in the future for sewage disposal, at its sole cost and expense, including any upgrade, expansion or other modification of any existing sewage disposal system required as a result of such use and restoration of its land following such future use. As set forth in the PRH Deed, it is understood and agreed that in the event Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. exercises its right to use such Septic Easement Area for sewage disposal in the future, such use shall not interfere with or compromise the intended use by Lot 1 and Lot 2 of the sewage disposal system thereon, and such system shall at all times have sufficient capacity to accommodate intended use by Lot 1 and Lot 2 for two 3-bedroom single family dwellings for year-round use on Lot 1 and Lot 2 collectively or such lesser use as is required for any buildings as are actually approved and built on said lots. Prior to any such use by Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc., it will be responsible for obtaining all necessary State and local permits and licenses at its sole cost and expense, and Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall provide such information and execute such applications as may be necessary to facilitate its efforts to obtain such permits. In the event it does use such sewage disposal system in the future. Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. and Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall share in the cost of maintaining, repairing and replacing the sewage disposal system in proportion to their respective use thereof, with such use to be measured by water meters installed at each party's property.

- 2 -

Included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement granted in the PRH Deed for a turn around or cul-de-sac area at the end of Robinson Point Road, which cul-de-sac shall be partially located on a portion of the retained lands of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. (designated and depicted as Parcel 2 on the Subdivision Plat) in an area adjacent to the northeastern corner of a parcel of land now or formerly owned by the Sisters of Mercy, said easement area being designated and depicted on the Subdivision Plat as "Proposed Easement For Cul-de-Sac Easement Area" (the "Cul-de-Sac Easement Area"). Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for constructing, installing, maintaining, and repairing a gravel drive turnaround within the Cul-de-Sac Easement Area: provided, however, that for the purposes of constructing and installing the cul-de-sac, Lot 1 and Lot 2 have the benefit of a temporary construction easement as described in the PRH Deed. As set forth in the PRH Deed, there will be no paving over the cul-de-sac. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall restore any area disturbed outside of the cul-de-sac to substantially the same condition as existed prior thereto. The cul-de-sac shall be constructed so that the boundary of the Cul-de-Sac Easement Area shall be 5' outside the boundary of the cul-de-sac as so constructed,

Also included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement set forth in the Easement Deed of the Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated December 19, 2001 and recorded in Volume 72 at Pages 3-4 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, being a perpetual easement for the benefit of Lot 1 and Lot 2 for the construction, maintenance, and use of a driveway, including a cul-de-sac, for ingress and egress, on and over a strip of land having a uniform width of thirty (30) feet and extending across the entire northern or rear width of land now or formerly of Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont (the "Sisters of Mercy"), all as more particularly shown on the Subdivision Plat. The northerly boundary of the thirty (30) foot easement shall run contiguous to and along the northerly boundary of said land of the Sisters of Mercy. Said easement shall be for the purpose of providing pedestrian and vehicular access and utilities, including electrical, telephone and cable, to Lot 1 and Lot 2. It shall be the sole responsibility of Lot 1 and Lot 2 to construct and maintain any improvements (driveway, cul-de-sac, utilities) within said easement area. If necessary during the construction of the roadway or installation of utilities, Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall have the benefit of a temporary construction easement adjacent to said thirty (30) foot perpetual easement, not to exceed an additional five (5) feet, unless granted permission in writing by the Sisters of Mercy. It shall be the responsibility of Lot 1 and Lot 2 to restore any areas disturbed outside the thirty (30) foot perpetual easement area within fifteen (15) days of the completion of construction. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall maintain said improvements so that no harm is done to the lands of the Sisters of Mercy outside the easement area and Grantees hereby agree that by recording of this Warranty Deed to waive the right to make a claim of liability against the Sisters of Mercy arising out of the construction, use or maintenance of the easement and further that they will hold harmless and indemnify the Sisters of Mercy, their successors and assigns, against any claims for damages or causes of action made against the Sisters of Mercy arising from the use of said easement by any person or from the design, layout, condition or construction of the easement improvements on the Sisters of Mercy property, except to the extent that the damages or injury claims is attributable to acts of the Sisters of Mercy. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for the costs and expenses relating to the maintenance, repair or replacement of the driveway located

- 3 -

within the Sisters of Mercy easement area.

Also included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement for pedestrian and vehicular access and utilities over Lot 2 within the area depicted on the Subdivision Plat as "Driveway Easement for Lot 1" and further depicted on the Site Plans. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for the costs to construct, maintain, repair and replace the gravel driveway to be located within said easement area up to the easterly boundary of Lot 1; any portion of the driveway used exclusively by either Lot 1 or Lot 2 shall be constructed, maintained, repaired or replaced solely by that lot owner. The driveway shall not be paved.

Also included with this conveyance is the benefit of a non-exclusive easement for the installation, use and maintenance of a water line across Lot 2 within the location depicted as "20' easement to run with actual water services location" on the Site Plans for a water line that will connect to the municipal water line in the location depicted on the Site Plans.

Also included herewith is a right of ingress and egress for motor vehicles, non-motorized vehicles and pedestrians on the private right-of-way known as Robinson Point Road, as more fully set forth in the Declaration of Easements, Restrictions and Liens for Robinson Point Property Owners' Association recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Page 201-225 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records (the "Declaration"), together with one (1) membership and (1) vote as an appurtenant interest to Lot 1 in the Robinson Point Property Owners Association, as set forth in the Declaration, and in the Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Robinson Point Property Owners' Association, at Page 226-233 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records.

This conveyance is subject to the following conditions set forth in the PRH Deed: (i) the land and premises conveyed hereby shall be used only for residential purposes; (ii) no improvements, buildings or structures shall be constructed in the portion of the land and premises conveyed hereby identified as "75' No Build Zone" on the Subdivision Plat; and (iii) no trees shall be cut down or removed from the "75'No Build Zone" on the Subdivision Plat without the prior consent of Lot 1 and Lot 2.

By acceptance of this Deed, Grantees hereby acknowledge that the lands and premises located adjacent to the Premises which adjacent lands and premises were conveyed to Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. by Warranty Deed of Preservation Trust of Vermont, Inc., dated April 3, 1998 and recorded in Volume 58 at Page 629 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, are used as a hotel/conference center and special events facility with attendant noise and traffic, and agree for themselves and their heirs and assigns, not to object to such operations, noise or traffic provided the same are in compliance with applicable laws, regulations and permits.

The Premises are conveyed subject to and/or with the benefit of the following: (a) Declaration of Easements, Restrictions, and Liens for Robinson Point Property Owners' Association recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Page 201-225 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (b) Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Robinson Point Property Owners Association, Inc. recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Page 226-

- 4 -

233 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (c) terms and conditions of Subdivision Permit EC-6-1985 dated September 16, 1998 and recorded in Volume 68 at Page 241 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, as amended by Subdivision Permit EC-6-1985-1 dated October 2, 2000 and recorded in Volume 66 Page 214 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (d) terms and conditions of Water Supply and Wastewater Disposal Permit WW-6-0424 dated September 17, 1998 and recorded in Volume 68 at Page 244 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, as amended by Wastewater System & Potable Water Supply Permit WW-6-0424-1 dated April 3, 2007 and recorded in Volume \_\_\_\_at Page \_\_\_\_\_ of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (e) all conditions, easements and restrictions set forth in the Warranty Deed of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated March 29, 2002 and recorded in Volume 73 at Page 232 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (f) all conditions set forth in the Easement Deed of the Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated December 19, 2001 and recorded in Volume 72 at Pages 304 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (g) all easements, restrictions, and rights of way of record and set forth on the Subdivision Plat and Site Plans to the extent not otherwise extinguished by the Vermont Marketable Record Title Act (27 V.S.A. §§ 601-604); and (h) rights of the public and others legally entitled thereto in any portion of the Premises subject to public trust or the rights of the public to waters below the high water mark, if any.

Reference is hereby made to the above-mentioned instruments, the records thereof and the references therein contained in further aid of this description.

Due to a scrivener's error contained in the Warranty Deed of Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl to Grantor dated April 7, 2006 and recorded in Volume 93 at Pages 622-626 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl, each individually, join in the execution of this Deed to convey any remaining interest they may have in the Premises; to convey the benefit of their easement interest arising from the Easement Deed of the Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated December 19, 2001 and recorded in Volume 72 at Pages 304 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; and to convey for the benefit of Lot 1, all rights over Robinson Point Road together with one (1) membership and one (1) vote in the Robinson Point Property Owners' Association, as set forth in the Declaration.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said granted Premises, with all the privileges and appurtenances thereto, to the said Grantees, STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and CHRISTINE WILLIAMS, husband and wife, as tenants by the entirety, and their heirs and assigns, to their own use and behoof forever; and the said Grantors, for themselves and their successors and assigns, do covenant with the said Grantees, and their heirs and assigns, that until the ensealing of these presents, Grantor'Hoehl Family Real Estate, LLC is the sole owner of the Premises, and has good right and title to convey the same in the manner aforesaid, that the said Premises are FREE FROM EVERY ENCUMBRANCE, except as aforementioned; and they hereby engage to WARRANT and DEFEND the same against all lawful claims whatever, except as aforementioned.

- 5 -

IN WITNESS WHEREOF HOEHL FAMILY REAL ESTATE, LLC does hereby execute this Warranty Deed as of this <u>12<sup>+</sup></u>day of <u>Apr.</u>, 2007.

HOEHL FAMILY REAL ESTATE, LLC

Duly Authorized Agent By:

IN WITNESS WHEREOF ROBERT H. HOEHL AND CYNTHIA K. HOEHL do hereby execute this Warranty Deed for the sole purpose recited in this Deed this  $12^{+4}$  day of April, 2007.

<u>Cobert H. Hoekl, by <u>Ficked</u> A Robert H. Hoekl, by his attbrney-in-fact, Michelle N. Farkas, Esq.</u>

Cynthia K. Hock | by Trolidlan Cynthia K. Hoehl, by her attorney-in-fact, Loludle N

Michelle N. Farkas, Esg.

STATE OF VERMONT COUNTY OF CHITTENDEN, SS.

On this 11th day of <u>Apr. 1</u>, 2007, personally appeared <u>Roweld Foberts</u>, Duly Authorized Agent of **HOEHL FAMILY REAL ESTATE**, LLC, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged this instrument, by him signed, to be his free act and deed and the free act and deed of HOEHL FAMILY REAL ESTATE, LLC.

- 6 -

Notary Public (michellen Farkas) Before me,

Notary commission issued in Chittenden County My commission expires: 2/10/11

### WARRANTY DEED

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS that We, CHARLES KEVIN

AD 2003 O'Clock Min Recorded in Vol.\_ 106 Page 262 of the Land Records. Attest Chenge Vitus Ventue Town Clerk.

101

5

GRAND ISLE, VE RECEIVED FOR RECORD

HARRINGTON and KATHLEEN MARIE HARRINGTON, of the Town of Pleasanton, County of Alameda and State of California, Grantors, in consideration of TEN AND MORE DOLLARS paid to their full satisfaction by, STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and CHRISTINE WILLIAMS of the Town of Pleasanton, County of Alameda, and State of California, Grantees, by these presents, do freely GIVE, GRANT, SELL, CONVEY AND CONFIRM unto the said Grantees, STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and CHRISTINE WILLIAMS, husband and wife, as tenants by the entirety, and their heirs and assigns forever, a certain piece of land in the Town of Grand Isle, County of Grand Isle, in the State of Vermont (hereinafter the "Premises"), described as follows, viz:

Being all and the same land and premises conveyed to Charles Kevin Harrington and Kathleen Marie Harrington by Warranty Deed of Hoehl Family Real Estate, LLC, dated April 12, 2007 and recorded April 18, 2007 in Volume 97, Page 576 of the Land Records of the Town of Grand Isle and being more particularly described therein as follows;

"Being a parcel of land designated as Lot 2 on a plat entitled: "Subdivision Plat, Preservation Realty Holdings, East Shore Road North Grand Isle, Vermont," prepared by Trudell Consulting Engineers, Inc., dated April 15, 1998, last revised March 28, 2001 and recorded in Sleeve 194 of the Town of Grand Isle Map Records on November 13, 2001 (the Subdivision Plat"). Reference is also made to the plan entitled: "Robert & Cynthia Hoehl, East Shore Road, North Grand Isle, Vermont, Site Plan," prepared by Trudell Consulting Engineers, dated May 22, 1998, last revised February 21, 2007 and a plan entitled: "Preservation Trust of Vermont, Island Villa Hotel, East Shore Road North, Grand Isle, Vermont, Master Site Plan," prepared by Trudell Consulting Engineers, dated October 7, 1997, last revised February 21, 2007 both plans being filed with the State of Vermont Agency of Natural Resource District IV Office (the "Site Plans").

Being a portion of the land and premises conveyed by Warranty Deed of Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl to Grantor dated April 7, 2006 and recorded in Volume 93 at Pages 622-626 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records and more particularly described as being a portion of the land and premises conveyed to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl by Warranty Deed of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. dated March 29, 2002 and recorded in Volume 73 at Page 232 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records (the "PRH Deed").

Included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement granted in the PRH Deed being twenty feet (20') in width for construction, installation, maintenance, use and replacement of a force main in substantially the location set forth on the Subdivision Plat, with the actual easement to be centered on the force main as constructed. Said easement is designated on the Subdivision Plat as "proposed 20' wide force main easement to be centered on the force main as constructed." This easement is to be used in common with Lot 1 as depicted on the Subdivision Plat. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall responsible for constructing, installing, maintaining, repairing and replacing such force main and for

TARRIS, P.L.L.C. Attomeys P.O. Box 1623

COLLINS

rlington, VI 05402-1623

(802) 862-3524

restoring the land following any such construction, installation, maintenance, repair and replacement to substantially the same condition as existed prior thereto, subject to the right of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc., its successors and assigns, as reserved in the PRH Deed, to connect to such force main in the future at its sole cost and expense, including any expansion of such force main required as a result of such connection and restoration of its land following such connection. As set forth in the PRH Deed, if Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. exercises its right to connect to such force main in the future, such connection shall not interfere with or compromise intended use by Lot 1 and Lot 2 of the force main, and such force main shall at all times have sufficient capacity to accommodate the intended use for up to two 3-bedroom single family dwellings for yearround use on Lot 1 and Lot 2 collectively or such lesser use as is required for any buildings as are actually approved and built on the above-described lots. Prior to any such connection by Preservation Realty Holding, Inc., Preservation Realty Holding, Inc. shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary State and local permits at its sole cost and expense, and Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall provide such information and execute such applications as may be necessary to facilitate its efforts to obtain such permits. In the event it does connect to and use such force main in the future, Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc., its successors and assigns. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall share in the cost of maintaining, repairing and replacing the force main in proportion to their respective use thereof, with such use to be measured by water meters installed at each party's property.

Expect as otherwise set forth in the previous paragraph, Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for all costs and expenses relating to the construction, maintenance and repair of the force main and the 1000 gallon pump station depicted on the Site Plans.

Further included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement granted in the PRH Deed for construction, maintenance and use of a sewage disposal system on "Parcel 1" on the Subdivision Plat ("Parcel 1") which easement area is depicted as "Sewage Easement for Lots 1 & 2 1.17 Ac." (the "Septic Easement Area"). This easement shall run with the land. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for constructing, maintaining and repairing a sewage disposal system on the Septic Easement Area, for obtaining all necessary State and local permits and licenses in connection therewith, and for restoring Parcel 1 following any such construction, maintenance and repair to substantially the same condition as existed prior thereto. This easement is subject to the right of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. reserved in the PRH Deed to use such Septic Easement Area in the future for sewage disposal, at its sole cost and expense, including any upgrade, expansion or other modification of any existing sewage disposal system required as a result of such use and restoration of its land following such future use. As set forth in the PRH Deed, it is understood and agreed that in the event Preservation Realty Holdings. Inc. exercises its right to use such Septic Easement Area for sewage disposal in the future, such use shall not interfere with or compromise the intended use by Lot 1 and Lot 2 of the sewage disposal system thereon, and such system shall at all times have sufficient capacity to accommodate intended use by Lot 1 and Lot 2 for two 3-bedroom single family dwellings for year-round use on Lot 1 and Lot 2 collectively or such lesser use as is required for any buildings as are actually approved and built on said lots. Prior to any such use by Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc., it will be responsible for obtaining all necessary State and local permits and licenses at its sole cost and expense, and Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall provide such information and execute such applications as may be necessary to facilitate its efforts to obtain such permits. In the event it does use such sewage disposal system in the future, Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. and Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall share in the cost of maintaining, repairing and replacing the sewage disposal system in proportion to their respective use thereof, with such use to be measured by water meters installed at each party's property.

Included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement granted in the PRH Deed for a turn around or cul-de-sac area at the end of Robinson Point Road, which cul-de-sac shall

COLLINS, McMAHON, & HARRIS, P.L.L.C

> Attorneys P.O. Box 1623 Burlington, VT 05402-1623

(802) 862-3524

. . . . . . .

be partially located on a portion of the retained lands of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. (designated and depicted as Parcel 2 on the Subdivision Plat) in an area adjacent to the northeastern corner of a parcel of land now or formerly owned by the Sisters of Mercy, said easement area being designated and depicted on the Subdivision Pla. as "Proposed Easement For Cul-de Sac Easement Area" (the "Cul-de-Sac Easement Area"). Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for constructing, installing, maintaining, and repairing a gravel drive turnaround within the Cul-de-Sac Easement Area; provided, however, that for the purposes of constructing and installing the cul-de-sac, Lot 1 and Lot 2 have the benefit of a temporary construction easement as described in the PRH Deed. As set forth in the PRH Deed, there will be no paving over the cul-de-sac. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall restore any area disturbed outside of the cul-de-sac to substantially the same condition as existed prior thereto. The cul-de-sac shall be constructed so that the boundary of the Cul-de-Sac Easement Area shall be 5' outside the boundary of the cul-de-sac as so constructed.

Also included with this conveyance is the benefit of an easement set forth in the Easement Deed of the Sister of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated December 19, 2001 and recorded in Volume 72 at Pages 3-4 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, being a perpetual easement for the benefit of Lot 1 and Lot 2 for the construction, maintenance, and use of a driveway, including a culde-sac, for ingress and egress, on and over a strip of land having a uniform width of thirty (30) feet and extending across the entire northern or rear width of land now or formerly of Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont (the "Sisters of Mercy"), all as more particularly shown on the Subdivision Plat. The northerly boundary of the thirty (30) foot easement shall run contiguous to and along the northerly boundary of said land of the Sisters of Mercy. Said easement shall be for the purpose of providing pedestrian and vehicular access and utilities, including electrical, telephone and cable, to Lot 1 and Lot 2. It shall be the sole responsibility of Lot 1 and Lot 2 to construct and maintain any improvements (driveway, cul-de-sac, utilities) within said easement area. If necessary during the construction of the roadway or installation of utilities, Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall have the benefit of a temporary construction easement adjacent to said thirty (30) foot perpetual easement, not to exceed an additional five (5) feet, unless granted permission in writing by the Sisters of Mercy. It shall be the responsibility of Lot 1 and Lot 2 to restore any areas disturbed outside the thirty (30) foot perpetual easement area within fifteen (15) days of the completion of construction. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall maintain said improvements so that no harm is done to the lands of the Sisters of Mercy outside the easement area and Grantees hereby agree that by recording of this Warranty Deed to waive the right to make a claim of liability against the Sisters of Mercy arising out of the construction, use or maintenance of the easement and further that they will hold hormless and indemnify the + Sisters of Mercy, their successors and assigns, against any claims for damages or causes of action made against the Sisters of Mercy arising from the use of said easement by any person or from the design, layout, condition or construction of the easement improvements on the Sisters of Mercy property, except to the extent that the damages or injury claims is attributable to acts of the Sisters of Mercy. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for the costs and expenses relating to the maintenance, repair or replacement of the driveway located within the Sisters of Mercy easement area.

Grantor reserves for the benefit of Lot 1 an easement to be used in common with Lot 2 for the installation, use and maintenance of a water line across Lot 2 within the location depicted as "20' easement to run with actual water services location" for a water line that will connect to the municipal water line in the location depicted on the Site Plans.

Also included herewith is a right of ingress and egress for motor vehicles, non-motorized vehicles and pedestrians on the private right-of-way known as Robinson Point Road, as more fully set forth in the Declaration of Easements, Restrictions and Liens for Robinson Point Property Owners' Association recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Page 201-225 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records (the "Declaration"), together with one (1)

COLLINS, McMAHON, & HARRIS, F.L.L.C.

> Attorneys P.O. Box 1623 Burlington, VT

05402-1623

membership and (1) vote as an appurtenant interest to Lot 2 in the Robinson Point Property Owners Association, as set forth in the Declaration, and in the Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Robinson Point Property Owners' Association, Inc. recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Page 226-233 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records.

This conveyance is subject to the following conditions set forth in the PRH Deed: (i) the land and premises conveyed hereby shall be used only for residential purposes; (ii) no improvements, buildings or structures shall be constructed in the portion of the land and premises conveyed hereby identified as "75' No Build Zone" on the Subdivision Plat; and (iii) no trees shall be cut down or removed from the "75' No Build Zone" on the Subdivision Plat without the prior consent of Lot 1 and Lot 2.

Grantor hereby reserves for the benefit of Lot 1 an easement for pedestrian and vehicular access and utilities over Lot 2 within the area depicted on the Subdivision Plat as "Driveway Easement for Lot 1" and further depicted on Site Plans. Lot 1 and Lot 2 shall be equally responsible for the costs to construct, maintain, repair and replace the gravel driveway to be located within said easement area up to the easterly boundary of Lot 1; any portion of the driveway used exclusively by either Lot 1 or Lot 2 shall be constructed, maintained, repaired or replaced solely by that lot owner. The driveway shall not be paved.

By acceptance of this Deed, Grantees hereby acknowledge that the lands and premises located adjacent to the Premises which adjacent lands and premises were conveyed to Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. by Warranty Deed of Preservation Trust of Vermont, Inc., dated April 3, 1998 and recorded in Volume 58 at Page 629 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, are used as a hotel/conference center and special events facility with attendant noise and traffic, and agree for themselves and their heirs and assigns, not to object to such operations, noise or traffic provided the same are in compliance with applicable laws, regulations and permits.

.. .. .

The Premises are conveyed subject to and/or with the benefit of the following: (a) Declaration of Easements, Restrictions, and Liens for Robinson Point Property Owners' Association recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Pag 201-2225 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (b) Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Robinson Point Property Owners' Association, Inc. recorded on July 7, 2005 in Volume 91 at Page 226-233 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (c) terms and conditions of Subdivision Permit EC-6-1985 dated September 16,1998 and recorded in Volume 68 at Page 241 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, as amended by Subdivision Permit EC-6-1985-1 dated October 2, 2000 and recorded in Volume 66 at Page 214 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (d) terms and conditions of Water Supply and Wastewater Disposal Permit WW-6-0424 dated September 17,1998 and recorded in Volume 68 at Page 244 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, as amended by Wastewater System & Potable Water Supply Permit WW-6-0424-1 dated April 3, 2007 and recorded in Volume \_\_\_\_\_ at Page of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (e) all conditions, easements and restrictions set forth in the Warranty Deed of Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated March 29, 2002 and recorded in Volume 73 at Page 232 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (f) all conditions set forth in the Easement Deed of the Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated December 19, 2001 and recorded in Volume 72 at Pages 304 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; (g) all easements, restrictions, and rights of way of record and set forth on the Subdivision Plat and Site Plans to the extent not otherwise extinguished by the Vermont Marketable Record Title Act (27 V.S.A. §§601-604); and (h) rights of the public and others legally entitled thereto in any portion of the Premises subject to public trust or the rights of the public to waters below the high water mark, if any."

ARRIS, P.L.L.C Attorneys P.O. Box 1623 Burlington, VT 05402-1623

(802) 862-3524

Reference is hereby made to the above-mentioned instruments, the records thereof and the references therein contained in further aid of this description.

Due to a scrivener's error contained in the Warranty Deed of Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl to Grantor dated April 7, 2006 and recorded in Volume 93 at Pages 622-626 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records, Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl, each individually, join in the execution of this Deed to convey any remaining interest they may have in the Premises; to convey the benefit of their easement interest arising from the Easement Deed of the Sisters of Mercy of the Diocese of Burlington, Vermont to Robert H. Hoehl and Cynthia K. Hoehl dated December 19, 2001 and recorded in Volume 72 at Pages 304 of the Town of Grand Isle Land Records; and to convey for the benefit of Lot 2 all rights over Robinson Point Road together with one (1) membership and one (1) vote in the Robinson Point Property Owners' Association, as set forth in the Declaration.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said granted premises, with all the privileges and

appurtenances thereof, to the said Grantees, STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and CHRISTINE

WILLIAMS, husband and wife, as tenants by the entirety, and their heirs and assigns, to their

own use and behoof forever; and We, the said Grantors, CHARLES KEVIN HARRINGTON

and KATHLEEN MARIE HARRINGTON, for themselves and their heirs, assigns and

administrators, do covenant with the said Grantees, STEPHEN E. WILLIAMS and

CHRISTINE WILLIAMS, and their heirs and assigns that until the ensealing of these presents

we are sole owners of the premises, and have good right and title to convey the same in manner

aforesaid, they are FREE FROM EVERY ENCUMBRANCE, except as herein stated; and we

hereby agree to WARRANT AND DEFEND the same against all lawful claims whatever, except as herein stated.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we hereunto set our hands and seals this  $19^{-16}$  day o

October 2009.

IN THE PRESENCE Witness to both

CHARLES KEVIN HARRINGTON, by Kathleen A. McMahon, his attorney in fact yleur Manes KATHLEEN MARIE HARRINGTON, by Kathleen A. McMahon, her attorney in fact

COLLIN HARRIS, P.L.L.C Attorney P.O. Box 1623 Burlington VT 05402-1623

(802) 862-3524

# **BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC**

107 Allen Road, Grand Isle VT 05458

Tel.: (802) 372-9966

www.belvt.com

e-mail: jay@belvt.com

### WILLIAMS FAMILY TRUST

WETLAND ABUTTERS December 17, 2015

Raymond W	V. Mitchell, I	III & Sus	anna L. Mit	chel	L
22 R	Robinson Poi	nt Road,	Grand Isle,	VT	05458

Lance & Dawn Trigg 6130 SW 21st Avenue Road, Ocala, FL 34474

Robert L. Laud & Christine E. Dietzel 4 Jacob Arnold Road, Morristown, NJ 07960

Rayburn V. & Violet Lavigne c/o Robert L. Laud & Christine E. Dietzel 4 Jacob Arnold Road, Morristown, NJ 07960

Richard Taylor 10 Robinson Point Road, Grand Isle, VT 05458

Linda J. Armstrong 8 Robinson Point Road, Grand Isle, VT 05458

Melissa Shea 6 Robinson Point Road, Grand Isle, VT 05458

Paul & Linda Effel 4 Robinson Point Road, Grand Isle, VT 05458

Daniel Justynski, Real Estate Director Sisters of Mercy Northeast Community 15 Highland View Road, Cumberland, RI 02864-1124

Preservation Realty Holdings, Inc. 104 Church Street, Burlington, VT 05401

c:\694\151217wtld

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FO	RM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site: 4) Illiams Fumily Trust City/C	county: Grand FSR Sampling Date: 0/26/15
Applicant/Owner: Williams Family Trust	State: VT Sampling Point: East
Investigator(s): S. Mc Inture Summit Ensection	Dn. Township, Range: TP-DUP
Landform (hillsione terrace etc.): Reptie 5/00c Local reli	ief (concave convex none): 0,2002 Slone (%): 0:3
Subsection (I DB of MI DA):	$17^{"}$ N lenge $72^{\circ}$ //e <sup>1</sup> //e $42^{"}$ N
Subregion (LRR of MLRA): Lat: <u>14 45 007</u>	<u></u> Long: <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> Datum:
	NWI classification: <u>TFO</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Y	es $\underline{X}$ No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly distur	bed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes Ves No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problema	atic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sam	pling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _X	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	
wooded area downslope of shared	arive way, and
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leave	s (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Od	or (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizosphere	es on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced	I Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reductio	n in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C	C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Rer	narks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No _X Depth (inches):	Vetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, pre	vious inspections), if available:
N/A	
Remarks:	
to indication of inefford hidaber. No	Masslines and Interactioner
The menerous of the address of the	The Theo, Unidized Thisospheres,
or re-dox.	

### VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

TP - DUP

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u> /)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Fraxing Domoglanica	61	$\checkmark$	FACW	Number of Dominant Species (A)
2 Illmus americana	35		FACW	
3 Populus deltrides	24	. <u> </u>	FAC_	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:
A Arpr Saccharinum	15		FACW)	Percent of Deminent Species
5			/	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $73$ (A/B)
c				
o				Prevalence Index worksheet:
/	125			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
1=1	100	= Total Co	ver	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: / 5 )		,	- 10 I	FACVV species X 2 =
1. Franquila alous			FAC	FAC species X 3 =
2. Amelanchier Canadensis	8	$\checkmark$	FAC.	FACU species         X 4 =           LIPL species         X 5 =
3. Illmus americana	5	$\checkmark$	FACW	Column Totals: (A) (B)
4. Fraxinus pennsulvanica	<u>2</u>		FACW	
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	25	- Total Ca		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
5'		- Total Co	vei	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	15	./		4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1. Francula alous	- 17	· <del>· · / ·</del>	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Lysimarhia guadritolia	<u> </u>	· <u> </u>	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)
3. Ribes americanum	10		FACW	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. Amelanchier cunadensis	10		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. CITCaea, Canadensis	<u> </u>		FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6. Geranium Fobortianum	<u> </u>		FACU	
7. Cornus alternifolia	5		FACU	at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8. VIDLET Sp.	5			Septimeters in DBU
9 BRUND MARAdense	9		FAC	and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10 Rubus idaeus	2		FACU	Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11 ACRE DRAIDAD	2	·	FAC	of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
11. There he harma		·	FIN	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
12	05		·	height.
	03	= Total Co	ver	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	_	,	-	
1. Parthenocissus quinquetol	ia.2	. <u></u>	PACU	
2. Glechema hederacea	2		<u><u>LACU</u></u>	
3		·		Hydrophytic
4			·	Vegetation Present? Ves X No
	_7	= Total Co	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			······

÷

TP-DUP

	ription: (Describe to the dep	oth needed to document the indicator or confir	rm the absence of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix	Redox Features	
(Inches)		Color (moist) % Type Loc	lexture Remarks
0-13"	2154 73		<u>Sondyloan</u> <u>Notdark</u>
	1		no redox
			In lauters
			consistent valuetai
	<u></u>		
			······································
Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand	Grains. 'Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils":
Histosol (	(A1) Jacobar (A2)	Polyvalue Below Surface (SB) (LRR R,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
HISUC EP	ipedon (AZ)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (I RR R MI RA 149	Coast Flame Redox (Allo) (LKK K, L, K) BN 5 cm Mucky Peet or Peet (S3) / I PP K ( P)
Hydrone	n Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K. L)	Dark Surface (S7) (I RR K. I.)
Stratified	Layers (A5)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Polyvalue Below Surface (SB) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depressions (F8)	Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R	edbx (SS) Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Material (TF2)
Dark Sur	face (S7) (LRR R. MLRA 149	B)	Other (Explain in Remarks)
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and w	etland hydrology must be present, unless disturb	ed or problematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):		
Туре: 🚹	20cks		
Depth (inc	:hes): <u>8 '</u>		Hydric Soil Present? Yes No
Remarks:			
Norder	reloped layers	, rocky holder 12"	
		Jeluve /0	

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region Project/Site: [1] Ilams Family Trust City/County: Brand Isk Sampling Date: 0/26/15 Applicant/Owner: 1) Ilams Family Trust State: VT Sampling Point: TP-DWET Investigator(s): <u>S. MCINTIC, SummitEng</u>Section, Township, Range: Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>gentle stope</u> Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>http://www.stope</u> Slope (%): <u>0 = 3</u> Lat: <u>411° 45' 21,56" N</u> Long: <u>73° 16' 17,10" W</u> Datum: Subregion (LRR or MLRA): NWI classification: Soil Map Unit Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes imes No Are Vegetation \_\_\_\_\_, Soil \_\_\_\_\_, or Hydrology \_\_\_\_\_\_ significantly disturbed? Are Vegetation \_\_\_\_\_, Soil \_\_\_\_\_, or Hydrology \_\_\_\_\_ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Is the Sampled Area Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ within a Wetland? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Hydric Soil Present? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Wooded area downslope of shared driveway, Presume seep under / through excisting road bed toward lake. HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) ✓ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Surface Water (A1) Drainage Patterns (B10) \_\_\_\_ High Water Table (A2) \_\_\_\_ Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16) \_\_\_\_ Saturation (A3) \_\_\_ Marl Deposits (B15) \_\_\_\_ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) \_\_\_\_ Water Marks (B1) \_\_\_\_ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) \_\_\_\_ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) \_\_\_\_ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) \_\_\_\_ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) \_\_\_\_ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) \_\_\_ Geomorphic Position (D2) \_\_\_\_ Thin Muck Surface (C7) \_\_\_ Shallow Aquitard (D3) \_\_\_\_ Iron Deposits (B5) \_\_\_\_ Other (Explain in Remarks) \_\_\_ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) \_\_\_\_ Microtopographic Relief (D4) \_\_\_\_ FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X Depth (inches): 7 ∂ 0 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X Depth (inches): 7 ∂ 0 Surface Water Present? Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No $\cancel{X}$ Depth (inches): $7 \xrightarrow{O} 0$ Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Is better drained than upslope of randbed and waterline area, Area has some remark driftwood from lake; presumed from 2011 Flooding.

**VEGETATION** – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: <u>TP-DWE</u>T

Trop Stratum (Plat size: 301)	Absolute % Cover	Dominan	t Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
1 Eccentration (FIOUSIZE,)	56	<u>opecies</u>	EAC (1)	Number of Dominant Species
2 / land a matural	15	$\overline{V}$	(AFIN)	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A) (A)
		· _ ·	PILO	Total Number of Dominant
3	- <u></u> -			$\frac{1}{2}$
4			•	Percent of Dominant Species
5				
6			•	Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
151	_11	= Total Co	over	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 1)	. 1	,	_	FACW species x 2 =
1. Acer regunda			<u>FAC</u>	FAC species X 3 =
2. Cornul alteroitolia		. <u> </u>	EACL	
3. Amelanchier Conadencis	3		FAC	Column Totals: (A) (B)
4				
5				Prevalence Index = B/A =
6.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
···	11	- Total Co		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
			Vei	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
$\frac{\text{Herb Stratum}}{1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	ନ୍ଦ	$\checkmark$	DACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
	- <u>- 00</u> - 10	1	$\sigma \alpha c \omega$	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2 Place around	10	1.00	- NFIC DU	
A Delice the head with the		·	MACO -	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. <u>FILLULIPINA TELONULUNI</u>		·	PRO	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6	-,	·		Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7		·		at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8	-	· <u> </u>		Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9	•	•		and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10	<b>.</b>			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12			_	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	102	= Total Co	over	neight.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: $5'$ )				
1.				
2				
3	-	•	-	Undrankadia
а	<b>.</b>			Vegetation
	-			Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet )		over	
	5/1001.7			

# SOIL

Sampling Form. / Concert
--------------------------

-

Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to	the dept	h needed to docum	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	n the absence of i	ndicators.	)	
Depth _	Matrix		Redox	Features	<u>s</u> 1	12	Taudana		Demondes	
	$\frac{\text{Color}(\text{moist})}{2}$		Color (moist)		_iype	LOC		/	Remarks	10
0-4-	0.54-71	······································			<u> </u>		Clay Loam_	Ver	<u>y Da</u>	<u> </u>
	۱ ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ					. <u> </u>		-Pri	able	
								()01	mhole	2
9-16"	2544/2		21343/1	a			C1.	ston	a Anda	king
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1240 4/1	2		<u> </u>		~	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
•	<u></u>		10412 110							
					<u> </u>		<u></u>			
<u> </u>					<u> </u>					
<u> </u>		·								
		······································								
									4	
·							·			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration, D=Deple	tion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, MS	=Maskec	Sand Gra	ains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: P	L=Pore Lin	ing, M=Matri	<u>.                                    </u>
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			. <i>.</i>	(00) (1 -		Indicators for	Problema	tic Hydric So	oils':
Histosol (/ Histic Eni	A1) Inadon (A2)		Polyvalue Below MI RA 149R\	/ Surface	(S8) (LRF	κκ,	2 cm Much Coast Prai	( (A10) (LH iria Redov	(A 16) /I RR #	A 149B)
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) ( <b>I</b>	RR R, ML	.RA 149E	<ol> <li><u>5</u> cm Mucl</li> </ol>	ky Peat or I	Peat (S3) (LF	R K, L, R)
Hydrogen	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky N	lineral (F	1) (LRR K	, L)	Dark Surfa	ace (S7) (L	RR K, L)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)	(44)	Loamy Gleyed N	Matrix (F2	2)		Polyvalue	Below Sur	face (S8) (LF	(R K, L)
L Depleted	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Matrix Redox Dark Sur	(F3) face (F6)			Inin Dark	Surface (S anese Mas	9) (LRR K, L ses (F12) (I	) RRKIR\
Sandy Mu	ucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark S	Surface (F	7)		Piedmont	Floodplain	Soils (F19) (	MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depressi	ions (F8)	•		Mesic Spo	dic (TA6) (	MLRA 144A	145, 149B)
Sandy Re	edox (S5)						Red Parer	nt Material	(F21)	
Stripped I	Matrix (S6) face (S7) (LRR R MI		4				Very Shall Other (Evr	ow Dark Si	urface (TF12) marks)	)
Daix our			')						nantoj	
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.										
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):									
Type:	·						Hydric Soil Pre	eent? V	los X	No
Depth (Incl	nes):					<u>-</u>	Tryune con Tre		<u> </u>	
Remarks:	1									
Deplet	ed with m	600%	ł							





LOCATION MAP N.T.S.

SISTERS OF MERCY

in

# NOTES

1. THESE DRAWINGS ARE PREPARED FOR PERMITTING REVIEW ONLY.

2. AS INSTRUMENTS: OF SERVICE THESE DRAWINGS AND COPIES THEREOF ARE PROPERTY OF THE ENGINEER, BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.

3. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OWNER AND CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT THESE PLANS CONTAIN THE MOST RECENT REVISIONS.

4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE INSURED FOR THE WORK TO BE PERFORMED, AND SHALL BE PREPARED TO PROVIDE THE OWNER WITH A CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY DIG-SAFE (888)344-7233 AT LEAST FORTY-EIGHT HOURS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE AWARE THAT OTHER BURIED UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES, NOT SHOWN ON THESE PLANS AND/OR NOT LOCATED BY DIG-SAFE, MAY EXIST ON THIS SITE.

6. BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC HAS NOT PERFORMED ANY ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, AND MAKES NO CLAIMS ABOUT THE POSSIBLE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ONSITE.

7. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN IS TO DEPICT THE CURRENT STATUS OF CONSTRUCTION, NEWLY DEFINED WETLANDS, AND PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION, FOR PERMITTING. WETLANDS SHOWN WERE DELINEATED AUGUST 26–27, 2015 BY SHEILA MCINTYRE, WETLANDS ECOLOGIST (SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.).

8. BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOWN IS APPROXIMATE, BASED UPON VARIOUS PLANS PREPARED BY TRUDELL CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. FOR PRESERVATION REALTY AND PRESERVATION TRUST OF VERMONT, AND GRAND LAND AND TAX RECORDS. TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN WAS OBTAINED BY BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2015. THE PROPERTY LINES, EASEMENTS, AND OTHER REAL PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS PROVIDED IN THIS PERMIT APPLICATION ARE FOR THE USE OF THE PERMITTING AUTHORITIES ONLY. THEY DO NOT DEFINE LEGAL RIGHTS OR MEET LEGAL REQUIREMENTS FOR A LAND SURVEY AS DESCRIBED IN 26 V.S.A. SECTION 2502(4), AND SHALL NOT BE USED IN LIEU OF A SURVEY AS THE BASIS OF ANY LAND TRANSFER OR ESTABLISHMENT OF ANY PROPERTY RIGHT.

SHORELANDS PLAN	Date 11/6/2015
WILLIAMS FAMILY TRUST	Project Number <b>694</b>
1-3 ROBINSON POINT ROAD	Plan Scale 1'' = 20'
GRAND ISLE, VERMONT ©	Sheet 1 of 1
U	
BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC	
107 Allen Road, Grand Isle, Vermont 05458	
Tel.: (802)372–9966 www.belvt.com	SH1R01



# <u>NOTES</u>

1. THESE DRAWINGS ARE PREPARED FOR PERMITTING REVIEW ONLY.

 AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE THESE DRAWINGS AND COPIES THEREOF ARE PROPERTY OF THE ENGINEER, BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.
 IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OWNER AND CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT THESE PLANS CONTAIN THE MOST RECENT REVISIONS.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE INSURED FOR THE WORK TO BE PERFORMED, AND SHALL BE PREPARED TO PROVIDE THE OWNER WITH A CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY DIG-SAFE (888)344-7233 AT LEAST FORTY-EIGHT HOURS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE AWARE THAT OTHER BURIED UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES, NOT SHOWN ON THESE PLANS AND/OR NOT LOCATED BY DIG-SAFE, MAY EXIST ON THIS SITE.
 BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC HAS NOT PERFORMED ANY ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, AND MAKES NO CLAIMS ABOUT THE POSSIBLE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ONSITE.

7. REFER TO SHEET 1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES, REFERENCES AND PLAN LEGEND.

WETLANDS PLAN		Date 12/18/2015
WILLIAMS FAMILY TRUST		Project Number <b>694</b>
1-2 ROBINSON POINT ROAD		Plan Scale <b>1" = 40</b> '
GRAND ISLE, VERMONT	©	Sheet 2 of 2
UES		
BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC		
107 Allen Road, Grand Isle, Vermont 05458		
Tel.: (802)372–9966 www.belvt.com		



	<u>CL. II WETLAND FILL</u>	<u>CL. II WETLAND</u> TEMPORARY IMPACT	<u>WETLAND BUFFER</u> <u>PERMANENT</u> IMPACT/FILL	WETLAND BUFFER TEMPORARY IMPACT	<u>CL. III WETLAND</u> TEMPORARY IMPACT
FORCE MAIN	0	1,419	0	1,368 3,193 + 950 / 5,511	425
DRIVE	1,512	574	676	558	0
CUL DE SAC	126	0	1,517 + 207 / 1,724	0	0
LOT #1 HOUSE	0	0	543	1,122	0

	WETLANDS PLAN		Date 12/18/2015
γ	WILLIAMS FAMILY TRUST		Project Number <b>694</b>
	1-2 PORINSON POINT ROAD		Plan Scale <b>1" = 40</b> '
S OF	GRAND ISLE, VERMONT	©	Sheet <b>1</b> of <b>2</b>
FOR GRAND	E		
TTY	BUERMANN ENGINEERING, LLC		
EGAL CTION	107 Allen Road, Grand Isle, Vermont 05458		
ASIS T.	Tel.: (802)372–9966 www.belvt.com		S03R00