## FUND ALLOCATION PRIORITIES FOR CLEAN WATER FUND BOARD

<u>Purpose</u>: As directed by Act 64, the Vermont Clean Water Fund Board is to develop an annual revenue estimate and propose a budget for the Clean Water Fund.

<u>Implementation Policies</u>: The Clean Water Fund provides additional state funds above current allocation levels to complement, enhance and leverage existing resources. The use of the Fund is to maximize opportunities for the restoration and protection of Vermont's water ways by prioritizing and targeting resources. To maximize the effectiveness of this Fund, the Fund should strengthen and complement existing state assistance programs (e.g., grant and loan pass-through programs), wherever feasible.

<u>Priorities</u>: The Board shall make its recommendation based on the following priorities, as stated in Act 64 Sec. 37 (10 VSA §1389(e)) and further described in Table One:

- A. Address sources of water pollution in waters listed as impaired (33 U.S.C. §1313(d));
- B. Address sources of water pollution identified as significant contributors of water pollution;
- C. Restore riparian (lands adjacent to waterways) conditions to minimize the risk of flood damage;
- D. Support state and municipal compliance with road-related stormwater permit requirements;
- E. Provide education and outreach regarding the implementation of water quality requirements;
- F. Support Innovative or alternative technologies or practices to improve water quality;
- G. Purchase land in order to take land out of practice when State requirements cannot be remediated through Best Management Practices;
- H. Award or assist municipalities in compliance with water quality requirements during the first three years of the Clean Water Fund; and,
- I. After satisfying the above priorities, attempt to provide for equitable apportionment of awards to all regions of the State and for control of all point and nonpoint pollution sources in the State.

| Та   | able 1: Summary of Clean Water Fund Priorities  |
|--|---|
| Priority   | Description   |
| A: Sources of water<br>pollution in<br>Impaired Waters | Restores surface water impairment through grants, contracts or loans, targeting sources of pollution that are contributing to the water quality impairment  |
| B. Significant<br>sources of water<br>pollution        | Promotes cost-effectiveness by targeting sources of pollution that are significant contributors to water quality degradation  |
| C. Riparian buffer restoration                         | Purchases permanent conservation easements on lands adjacent to waterways (river corridors, wetlands, riparian areas) and establish minimum of 50-foot buffers with native vegetation   |
| D. Compliance with road permit                         | Supports road-related stormwater runoff and erosion control practices that assist municipalities and VTrans in achieving compliance with the State road general permit requirements   |
| E. Education,<br>outreach                              | Provides technical and educational support to municipal officials and road crews, farmers, loggers, homeowners and others about sources of water pollution, cost-effective solutions to mitigate impacts and implementation support |
| F. Innovative<br>technologies                          | Supports technologies that enhance BMP implementation to reduce water pollution from farms, municipalities' developed lands, logging areas and other sources  |
| G. Land acquisition                                    | Purchases land in order to take land out of practice when water quality remediation is not achievable   |
| H. Municipal assistance                                | Aids municipalities in understanding critical sources of water pollution, identifying and implementing priority water pollution controls  |
| I. Geographic<br>equity                                | Adds to this set of priorities some consideration of location in the distribution of funds to support regional equity   |

|     |              |            | Table 2: State                                       | Agency Recommendations – Agency  | y of Agricu                    | ltu | re, | Fo | od - | and   | M    | ark | ets |   |           |             |
|-----|--------------|------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-----|-----|----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|-----------|-------------|
| #   | Sector       | Agency     | Funding  | Activities   | Other                          |     |     |    | P    | riori | ties |     |     |   | State     | State       |
|     |              |            | Program  |  | Leveraged<br>Funds             | Α   | В   | C  | D    | E     | F    | G   | Н   | I | FY16      | FY17        |
| 1   | Agriculture  | AAFM       | On-Farm<br>Implementation<br>(Grants &<br>Contracts) | Farm water quality capital improvements,<br>matching USDA funds in Lake Champlain<br>Basin (LCB) as first priority and projects<br>outside of LCB as second priority; Farm<br>agronomic practices (FAP) that exceed<br>existing state and USDA funding resources   | USDA<br>match                  | x   | X   |    |      |       |      |     |     |   |           | \$600,000   |
| 2   | Agriculture  | AAFM       | Grants &<br>Contracts                                | Incentives for farmers to implement<br>phosphorus reduction practices above<br>regulatory requirements; Technology or<br>other infrastructure that facilitates nutrient<br>management development, data<br>management and record keeping on farms;<br>Creation of a Research Farm to study water<br>quality runoff impacts from farm<br>management systems and conservation<br>practices; Alternative phosphorus<br>reduction strategies (e.g., grassed-based<br>farms, phosphorus separation strategies);<br>Support for farm acquisition in order to<br>overlay a conservation easement to<br>establish agricultural practices that reduce<br>phosphorus loading | Potential<br>for USDA<br>match | Х   | X   |    |      |       |      |     |     |   | \$225,000 | \$925,000   |
| 3   | Agriculture  | AAFM       | Operating  | Increased on farm oversight to enforce<br>regulatory requirements, ensure all<br>statewide investments on agricultural<br>operations are on compliant farms, and<br>meeting legal requirements for water<br>quality.   |                                | X   | X   |    |      |       |      |     |     |   | \$450,000 | \$450,000   |
| SUE | TOTAL (FY16, | FY17) = \$ | 2,650,000  | ·  |                                |     |     |    |      |       |      |     |     |   | \$675,000 | \$1,975,000 |

|     |                                     |        | Table       | 2: State Agency Recommendations –                | Agency of | Na | tur | al F | Res | our   | ces |   |   |   |           |             |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------|--|-----------|----|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|---|---|---|-----------|-------------|
| #   | Sector                              | Agency | Funding     | Activities                                       | Other     |    |     |      | Pr  | iorit | ies |   |   |   | State     | State       |
|     |                                     |        | Program     |  | Leveraged | Α  | В   | С    | D   | Ε     | F   | G | Н | I | FY16      | FY17        |
|     |                                     |        |             |  | Funds     |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
| 4   | All Sectors                         | ANR    | Ecosystem   | Partner support for project implementation       |           | Х  | Х   | Х    |     | Х     | Х   |   | Х | Х | \$200,000 | \$885,000   |
|     |                                     |        | Restoration | (partners include conservation districts,        |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        | Program,    | extension services, watershed groups, farmer     |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        | Grants &    | coalitions), involving delivery of technical and |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        | Contracts   | implementation services for agricultural and     |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        |             | municipal projects that are identified and       |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        |             | prioritized in Tactical Basin Plans              |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
| 5   | All Sectors                         | ANR    | Ecosystem   | Improved water quality monitoring to track       |           | Х  | Х   | Х    | Х   | Х     |     |   | Х | Х |           | \$200,000   |
|     |                                     |        | Restoration | effectiveness of implementation using            |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        | Program,    | watershed associations and the LaRosa            |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        | Grants &    | Partnership                                      |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|     |                                     |        | Contracts   |  |           |    |     |      |     |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
| SUE | SUBTOTAL (FY16, FY17) = \$1,285,000 |        |             |  |           |    |     | •    | •   | •     |     |   |   |   | \$200,000 | \$1,085,000 |

|   | Table 2: State Agency Recommendations – Agency of Natural Resources |        |   |  |                    |   |            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |           |           |
|---|---|--------|---|--|--------------------|---|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| # | Sector  | Agency | Funding   | Activities   | Other              |   | Priorities |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | State     | State     |
|   |   |        | Program   |  | Leveraged<br>Funds | Α | В          | C | D | E | F | G | Η | Ι | FY16      | FY17      |
| 6 | Agriculture   | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | Agronomy & Conservation Assistance<br>Program (ACAP) – contract to continue<br>delivering agronomic technical and financial<br>support of three agronomists in Lake<br>Champlain Basin and BMP financial assistance<br>in livestock exclusion                                      |                    | x | x          | X |   | X |   |   |   | X |           | \$485,000 |
| 7 | Municipal<br>Stormwater   | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | Municipal stormwater project identification<br>and prioritization methodology used in<br>Tactical Basin Planning and TMDL<br>implementation  |                    | x | X          |   | X | x |   |   | X | х | \$500,000 | \$400,000 |
| 8 | Municipal<br>Stormwater   | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | Project implementation to mitigate impacts<br>from stormwater runoff being generated<br>from municipalities' developed areas   |                    | x | X          |   | X | x | X |   | X | Х | \$500,000 | \$740,000 |
| 9 | Municipal<br>Stormwater   | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | Municipal Capital Equipment Assistance to<br>assist municipalities in purchasing equipment<br>that enhances local water quality-focused<br>Best Management Practice implementation,<br>such as hydroseeders, high efficiency vacuum<br>street sweepers and vacuum (vactor) trucks. | Local<br>funds     | x | x          |   | X | x | X |   | X | Х |           | \$410,000 |

|     |                                     |        | Table 2: Sta  | te Agency Recommendations – Agenc  | y of Natur                           | al F | Res | our | ces | ; (co | onti | nu | ed) |   |             |             |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--------|---|--|--------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|----|-----|---|-------------|-------------|
| #   | Sector                              | Agency | Funding   | Activities   | Other                                |      |     |     | Pr  | iorit | ies  |    |     |   | State       | State       |
|     |                                     |        | Program   |  | Leveraged<br>Funds                   | Α    | В   | C   | D   | E     | F    | G  | н   | I | FY16        | FY17        |
| 10  | Natural<br>Resources                | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | Flood resilience/Water Quality Projects –<br>wetland and floodplain restoration that<br>leverages federal funds to support the<br>development, design and implementation of<br>restoration projects that improve resilience                  | USDA                                 | X    | X   | X   |     | x     |      | Х  |     |   |             | \$700,000   |
| 11  | Natural<br>Resources                | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | and water quality<br>River corridor and riparian restoration to<br>conserve high priority river corridors and<br>riparian areas and restore unstable stream<br>channels back to natural stability (referred to<br>as equilibrium conditions) |                                      | X    | X   | X   |     | X     |      | x  |     | X |             | \$350,000   |
| 12  | Natural<br>Resources                | ANR    | Ecosystem<br>Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts | Forest health programs, such as a portable<br>skidder bridge rental program to reduce<br>nonpoint source pollution associated with<br>logging operations   |                                      | X    | x   | X   |     |       |      |    |     |   |             | \$100,000   |
| 13  | Technical<br>Support                | ANR    | Restoration<br>Program,<br>Grants &<br>Contracts              | LiDAR Mapping of the State of Vermont, Next<br>Phase, to support agriculture, stormwater,<br>river, forest road mapping  | Federal<br>(USGS,<br>SPR<br>Program) | X    | X   | X   |     | x     | X    |    |     |   |             | \$100,000   |
| 14  | Wastewater<br>Treatment             | ANR    | FED State<br>Revolving<br>Fund Loan<br>Program                | Support upgrades at 19 wastewater<br>treatment facilities to assist municipalities in<br>compliance with Lake Champlain phosphorus<br>TMDL (need is \$78.4 million in FY17)  |                                      | X    | Х   |     |     |       | X    |    | Х   |   |             | \$500,000   |
| SUE | SUBTOTAL (FY16, FY17) = \$4,285,000 |        |   |  |                                      |      |     |     |     |       |      |    |     |   | \$1,000,000 | \$3,785,000 |

|     | Table 2: State Agency Recommendations – Agency of Transportation |             |   |  |                    |   |   |   |    |       |     |   |   |   |           |             |
|-----|--|-------------|---|--|--------------------|---|---|---|----|-------|-----|---|---|---|-----------|-------------|
| #   | Sector   | Agency      | Funding                                     | Activities   | Other              |   |   |   | Pr | iorit | ies |   |   |   | State     | State       |
|     |  |             | Program                                     |  | Leveraged<br>Funds | Α | В | C | D  | E     | F   | G | Η | I | FY16      | FY17        |
| 15  | Municipal<br>Roads   | VTrans      | Municipal<br>Mitigation<br>Grant<br>Program | Inventory, prioritization and implementation<br>to address municipal gravel road-related<br>stormwater mitigation projects, in<br>compliance with state road general permit  | Local<br>funds     | X | X |   | х  | х     | х   |   | х | х | \$200,000 | \$470,000   |
| 16  | Municipal<br>Roads   | VTrans      | Municipal<br>Mitigation<br>Grant<br>Program | Inventory, prioritization and implementation<br>to address municipalities' non-gravel road-<br>related stormwater mitigation projects, in<br>compliance with state road general permit,<br>and including replacement of undersized<br>culverts | Local<br>funds     | X | X |   |    | X     | Х   |   | х |   |           | \$1,010,000 |
| SUB | TOTAL (FY16,   | FY17) = \$1 | L,680,000                                   | ·  |                    |   |   |   |    |       |     |   |   |   | \$200,000 | \$1,480,000 |

| Table 2: State Agency Recommendations |             |             |              |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Agency Summary                        | State       | State       | Total        |
|                                       | FY16        | FY17        |              |
| Agency of Agriculture                 | \$675,000   | \$1,975,000 | \$2,650,000  |
| Agency of Natural Resources           | \$1,200,000 | \$4,870,000 | \$5,570,000  |
| Agency of Transportation              | \$200,000   | \$1,480,000 | \$1,680,000  |
| TOTAL                                 | \$2,075,000 | \$8,325,000 | \$10,400,000 |