

Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation Drinking Water and Groundwater Protection Division Agency of Natural Resources

Disinfection Byproducts (DBP) Operational Evaluation Report

I. GENERAL INFORMATION							
Water System Name:	WSID:						
Water Source (check all that apply): Surface Water	ter Surface Water Purchased from						
Groundwate	r Groundwater Purchased from						
Report Prepared by: (Print):							
(Signature):	Date:						
II. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION LIMIT (OEL) EXCEEDANCE DATA							
See the letter that requested this report for a summanple point is the weighted average of the last 3 quantotal of 5 haloacetic acids. TTHM = total of 4 trihalome	arters, with most recent weighted twice. HAA5 =						
OEL exceedance(s) that triggered this report; if y	ou only had one, only complete the first row:						
1. HAA5 TTHM OEL = μg/L mg/L Sa	imple Point Address						
	imple Point Address						
	ample Point Address						
 HAA5 TTHM OEL = μg/L mg/L Sa Collection date of last quarterly DBP sample that caus 	ample Point Address						
III. OPERATIONAL EVALUATION FINDINGS	ed the OEL exceedance.						
A. Checklists and any other documents attached to the	s report						
Source Water Evaluation Checklist – option	·						
·	•						
Treatment Process Evaluation Checklist – optional for consecutive systems							
Distribution System Evaluation Checklist – r							
Other documents attached (e.g., separate to	ext for B or C below):						
B. Summarize the most likely cause(s) of this OEL excipant in the calculation.	ceedance, considering the three quarters included						
C. List steps that could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances. If there was a previous OEL or MCL exceedance, review any changes made already.							
Return this signed page, checklists, and any other att Mail to VT DEC DWGPD; 1 National Life Dr, Davis 4; Fax to: 802-828-1541 or Email to:							

Sc	ource V	Vater	Evaluation Checklist	Page	e 1 of 2		
	NO DATA	AVAIL	ABLE				
	stem Name		46				
Che	ecklist Con	npleted	1 by:				
A.	·						
	If NO, proceed to item B. If YES, was the source water temperature high?						
	If NO, proceed to item B. If YES, answer the following questions for the time period prior to the OEL exceedance.						
	Yes	No	OEL exceedance.				
			Was the raw water storage time longer than usual?				
			Did you place another water source on-line?				
			Were river/reservoir flow rates lower than usual? If yes, indicate lower flow rates and the anticipated impact on the OEL exceeds		n of		
			Did point or non-point sources in the watershed contribute to th exceedance?	e OEL			
B.			ata that characterizes organic matter in your source water (e.g.,	□Yes	□No		
	If NO,	, proce	VA, color, THM formation potential)? eed to item C. If YES, were these values higher than	☐ Yes	— □ No		
	norma If NO.		eed to item C. If YES, answer the following questions for the	<u> </u>			
	prior	to the	OEL exceedance.				
	Yes	No	Did heavy rainfall or an away alt agains the westershed?				
	_	_	Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?				
			Did you place another water source on-line?				
			Did lake or reservoir turnover occur?	o OEI			
			Did point or non-point sources in the watershed contribute to th exceedance?	e OEL			
			Did an algal bloom occur in the source water?				
			If algal blooms were present, were appropriate algae control me employed (e.g., addition of copper sulfate)?	easures			
			Did a taste and odor incident occur?				
C.	Do you h	ave so	ource water bromide data?	□Yes	■No		
			eed to item D. If YES, were the bromide levels higher or normal?	□Yes	■No		
	If NO,	, proce	ed to item D. If YES, answer the following questions for the t	time period	l		
	prior t Yes	to the No	OEL exceedance.				
			Has saltwater intrusion occurred?				
			Are you experiencing a long-term drought?				
			Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?				
			Did you place another water source on-line?				
			Are you aware of any industrial spills in the watershed?				

Sc	urce W	/ater	Evaluation Checklist	Pag	e 2 of 2
D.	Do you h	ave so	urce water turbidity or particle count data?	☐Yes	□No
	If NO,	☐Yes	☐ No		
	counts higher than normal? If NO, proceed to item E. If YES, answer the following questions for the time period prior to the OEL exceedance. Yes No				
			Did lake or reservoir turnover occur?		
			Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?		
			Did logging, fires, or landslides occur in the watershed?		
			Were river/reservoir flow rates higher than normal?		
E.	Do you h	ave so	urce water pH or alkalinity data?	Yes	■No
		proce al valu	ed to item F. If YES, was the pH or alkalinity different from es?	□Yes	■No
			ed to item F. If YES, answer the following questions for the tOEL exceedance.	ime perio	d
			Was there an algal bloom in the source water?		
			If algal blooms were present, were algae control measures emp	loyed?	
			Did heavy rainfall or snowmelt occur in the watershed?		
			Has the PWS experienced diurnal pH changes in source water?	,	
F.	Conclus	ion			
	Did sour	ce wate	er quality factors contribute to your OEL exceedance?	☐ Yes☐ Possib	□ No bly
	If YES	or PC	OSSIBLY, explain below.		
_					
_					

Tr	eatmen	t Pro	ocess Evaluation Checklist	Pag	e 1 of 4
	NO DATA		ABLE		
	cility Name: ecklist Com		d by:		
A.	Review finished water data for the time period prior to the OEL exceedance(s) and compare to historical finished water data using the following questions:				
	Were DE	□Yes	■No		
	Wasfini	shed v	water pH higher or lower than normal?	☐Yes	□No
	Wasthe	finish	ed water temperature higher than normal?	☐Yes	■No
	Wasfinis	☐Yes	□No		
	Wasthe	disinf	ectant concentration leaving the plant(s) higher than normal?	☐Yes	□No
	Were fin	ished	water TTHM/HAA5 levels higher than normal?	Yes	□No
			nal and water quality data available to the system operator for ion making?	Yes	□No
B.	Does the	treatm	nent process include predisinfection?	☐Yes	□No
			eed to item C. If YES, answer the following questions for the peedance occurred:	period in w	/hich
			Was disinfected raw water stored for an unusually long time?		
			Were treatment plant flows lower than normal?		
	_		Were treatment plant flows equally distributed among different	trains?	
	_		Were water temperatures high or warmer than usual?		
			Were chlorine feed rates outside the normal range?		
			Was a disinfectant residual present in the treatment train follow	ing predisi	nfection?
			Were online instruments utilized for process control?		
			Did you switch to free chlorine as the oxidant?		
			Was there a recent change (or addition) of pre-oxidant?		
			Did you change the location of the predisinfection application?		
C.	If NO,	proce	ment process include presedimentation? eed to item D. If YES, answer the following questions for the peedance occurred:	☐Yes period in w	□ No vhich
			Were flows low?		
			Were flows high?		
			Were online instruments utilized for process control?		
			Was sludge removed from the presedimentation basin?		
			Was sludge allowed to accumulate for an excessively long time	?	
			Do you add a coagulant to your presedimentation basin?		
			Was there a problem with the coagulant feed?		

Tre	eatment	Pro	cess Evaluation Checklist	Page	2 of 4	
D.	Does your	treatm	nent process include coagulation and/or flocculation?	☐Yes	□No	
	If NO, proceed to item E. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred: Yes No					
			Were there any feed pump failures or were feed pumps operation rates?	ng at impro	per feed	
			Were chemical feed systems controlled by flow pacing?			
			Were there changes in coagulation practices or the feed point?			
			Did you change the type or manufacturer of the coagulant?			
			Do you suspect that the coagulant in use at the time of the OEL exceedance did not meet industry standards?			
			Did the pH or alkalinity change at the point of coagulant addition	?		
			Were there broken or plugged mixers?			
			Were flow rates above the design rate or was there short-circuit	ing?		
E.	Does your	treatm	nent process include sedimentation or clarification?	☐Yes	□No	
			ed to item F. If YES, answer the following questions for the peedance occurred:	eriod in w	hich	
			Were there changes in plant flow rate that may have resulted in settling time or carry-over of process solids?	a decrease	e in	
			Were settled water turbidities higher than normal?			
			Was there any disruption in the sludge blanket that may have re to the point of disinfection?	sulted in c	arryover	
			Was there any maintenance in the basin that may have stirred s bottom of the basin and caused it to carry over to the point of disaddition?		the	
			Was sludge allowed to accumulate for an excessively long time malfunction in the sludge removal equipment?	or was the	re a	

Tre	eatmen	t Pro	cess Evaluation Checklist Page 3 of 4			
F.	Does you	r treatr	nent process include filtration?			
	If NO, proceed to item G. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which an OEL exceedance occurred: Yes No					
			Was there an increase in individual or combined filter effluent turbidity or particle counts?			
			Was there an increase in turbidity or particle loading onto the filters?			
			Was there an increase in flow onto the filters or malfunction of the rate of flow controllers?			
			Were any filters taken off-line for an extended period of time that caused the other filters to operate near maximum design capacity and created the conditions for possible breakthrough?			
			Were any filters operated beyond their normal filter run time?			
			Were there any unusual spikes in individual filter effluent turbidity (which may indicate particulate or colloidal TOC breakthrough) in the days leading to the excursion?			
			Were all filters run in a filter-to-waste mode during initial filter ripening?			
			If GAC filters are used, is it possible the adsorptive capacity of the GAC bed was reached before reactivation occurred (leave blank if not applicable)?			
			If biological filtration is used, were there any process upsets that may have resulted in the breakthrough of TOC (leave blank if not applicable)?			
G.	prior to a	clearv proce	tment process include primary disinfection by injecting chlorine Yes No well? ed to item H. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which edance occurred:			
			Was there a sudden increase in the amount of chlorine fed or an increase in the chlorine residual?			
			Was there an increase in clearwell holding time?			
			Was the plant shut down or were plant flows low?			
			Was there an increase in clearwell water temperature?			
			Did you switch to free chlorine recently as the primary disinfectant?			
			Was the inactivation of Giardia and/or viruses exceptionally high?			
			Was there a change in the mixing strategy (i.e., mixers not used, adjustment of tank level)?			
Н.	Does you	r plant	recycle spent filter backwash or other streams? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
			ed to item I. If YES, answer the following questions for the period in which sedance occurred:			
			Did a change in the recycle stream quality contribute to increased DBP precursor loading that was not addressed by treatment plant processes?			
			Did a recycle event result in flows in excess of typical or design flows?			

Treatment Process Evaluation Checklist Page					e 4 of 4
I.	system re	esidua proce	disinfectant after your clearwell to maintain a distribution al? eed to item J. If YES, answer the following questions for the page dance occurred:	☐Yes eriod in w	□ No /hich
			Was there a sudden increase in the amount of chlorine fed?		
			Was there a switch from chloramines to free chlorine for a burne	out period?	?
			If using chloramines, was the chlorine to ammonia ratio in the p	roper rang	e?
			Was there a problem with either chlorine or ammonia mixing?		
J.	J. Did concern about complying with a rule other than Stage 2 DBPR, such as the Lead and Copper rule, the LT2ESWTR, or any other rule constrain your options to reduce the DBP levels at this site? For example, are you limited by other treatment targets/requirements in your ability to control precursors in coagulation/flocculation? If NO, proceed to item K. If YES, explain below and consult EPA's Simultaneous				
			e Guidance Manual for alternative compliance approaches.		
_					
K.	Conclusi	ion			
	Did treatm	ent fa	ctors and/or variations in the plant performance contribute to the	Yes	□No
	OEL excee	edanc	e(s)?	Possil	bly
	If YES	or P	OSSIBLY, explain below.		
_					
_					
_					

Di	stributi	on S	System Evaluation Checklist	Pag	e 1 of 2
	tem Name		Dele		
	ecklist Cor				
A.	location v	where y	sinfectant residual or temperature data for the monitoring you experienced the OEL exceedance? eed to item B. If YES, answer the following questions for the	Yes period in	□ No which
	an OE Yes	EL exc No	eedance occurred:		
			Was the water temperature higher than normal for that time of location?	the year at	that
			Was the disinfectant residual lower than normal for that time of location?	the year a	that
			Was the disinfectant residual higher than normal for that time of location?	of the year a	at that
B.	Do you h OEL exc		aintenance records available for the time period just prior to the be?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If NO	proce	eed to item C. If YES, answer the following questions:		
	Yes	No			
			Did any line breaks or replacements occur in the vicinity of the	exceedanc	e?
			Were any storage tanks or reservoirs taken off-line and cleane	d?	
			Did flushing or other hydraulic disturbances (e.g., fires) occur in the exceedance?	n the vicinit	y of
			Were any valves operated in the vicinity of the OEL exceedance	ces?	
C.	water use	e at inc	s metered, do you have access to historical records showing dividual service connections?	☐ Yes	☐ No
			eed to item D. If YES, was overall water use in your system ow, indicating higher than normal water age?	☐ Yes	☐ No
D.	processir	ng plar		☐ Yes	□ No
	9		eed to item E. If YES, was there a change in water use by a e customer?	☐ Yes	☐ No
E.			ed water storage facility hydraulically upstream from the	☐ Yes	☐ No
	monitoring location where you experienced the OEL exceedance? If NO, proceed to item F. If YES, review storage facility operations and water quality data to answer the following questions for the period in which the OEL exceedance occurred: Yes No				
			Was a disinfectant residual detected in the stored water or at the	ne tank outl	et?
			Do you know of any mixing problems with the tank or reservoir	?	
			Does the facility operate in "last in-first out" mode?		
			Was the tank or reservoir drawn down more than usual prior to exceedance, indicating a possible discharge of stagnant water		
			Was there a change in water level fluctuations that would have increased water age within the tank or reservoir?		

Di	istribution System Evaluation Checklist	Pag	e 2 of 2
F.	Does your system practice booster chlorination?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If NO, proceed to item G. If YES, was there an increase in booster chlorination feed rates?	☐Yes	□ No
G	. Did you have customer complaints in the vicinity of the OEL exceedance?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If NO, proceed to item H. If YES, explain.		
-			
-			
_			
H.	Did concern about complying with a rule other than Stage 2 DBPR, such as the Lead and Copper rule, the TCR, or any other rule constrain your options to reduce the DBP levels at this site? For example, are you limited by the need to maintain a detectable disinfectant residual in your ability to control DBP levels in the distribution system?	Yes	□ No
	If NO, proceed to item I. If YES, explain below and consult EPA's Simult Compliance Guidance Manual for alternative compliance approaches.	aneous	
-			
-			
			3
ı.	Conclusion		
		☐Yes	☐ No
	Did the distribution system cause or contribute to the OEL exceedance(s)?	☐ Possi	bly
	If NO, proceed to evaluations of treatment systems and source water. If POSSIBLY, explain below.	YES or	
-			32
-			
			3