

## Plus

- In-person meeting
- Thanks for the money – it will help in solving problems
- Decision making is closer to the stream

## Deltas

- Outreach is integral to partnerships on the ground. It needs to be nurtured.
- P calculation CHURN needs to stop! Let's grandfather it.
- BWQC/CWSP has responsibility with no agency.
- Expand the thresholds for exemption under the 3-9026 permit and expand it to cover all clean water projects and for more/all types of State permits.
- Riparian buffer planting projects are not evaluated using SGA. We are back to default and planting in a 35'-50'. Need more education for using SGA.
- CWSP needs technical/river scientist on staff or more staff at DEC.
- ARAs + VDHP permitting challenges need to be addressed; this is a hole in appendix B. And – fix the holes in Appendix B.
- Shared staff (or staff at CWSPs) for technical review and advice (e.g. stream/river scientist)
- Shared staff (or staff at CWSP) to review P reduction calculations
- Drop or minimize ANR staff review pre-concept design
- 2 minute training videos on application process (eg how to use ANR Atlas to create maps, to click on layers for RTE species/natural communities)
- Please allow flexibility on O&M project adoption – do not hold groups to 10% - DEC can follow 10% even if the CWSP adopts more
- Site license should back to DIBG version
- Risk shouldn't be pushed out to the smallest, least protected groups – DEC needs to be more comfortable assuming some risk
- Encourage CWSPs with 'extra' admin funds to partner with CDs and WSOs to provide assistance/capacity for project ID/assessment
- In terms of submitting watershed project IDs in the project development phase, please pick up the phone and call the person who submitted the new project form if you have questions.
- Meet at a time of year when weather/driving isn't (too much of) a deterrence
- Incentivize land managers to do projects
- More review staff @ DEC, VAAF and SHPO
- No need to have a cost estimate for the entire project to apply for 30% design
- Public outreach and communications needed from DEC
- Build capacity @ the town level – they have relationships we NEED
- Make procurement outside of pre-approved list easier
- Cobenefits should be weighed much more
- Can we write grant applications after getting bids instead of getting estimate from 1, then grant, then competitive bid?

- Boiler plate RFP and contract examples to use for subcontracting
- Boiler plate scope of work for engineers RFPs for certain project types
- Calculators – only use before implementation once you actually have a final design
- Consistency across CWSPs/shared resources – eg same application, reporting template, etc.
- CWSPs seem to place different valuation on P target & efficiency; consistency across CWSPs? How critical is meeting this target?
- Act 76 assumed capacity for MGT was available for use
- Act 76 did not plan expectations based on project availability in Year 1
- P calculations for: forest roads & trail obliteration/decommissions; Q: if AMPs are regulatory, then why are they the basis for ‘non-regulatory’ forest projects?
- P calculations for gullies in Forest & Farm settings – this is NOT just an urban/developed lands project type
- If prequalified in 1 CWSP basin, why not in all of them?
- Reduce reporting requirements to only associated with invoices/requests for reimbursement. No interim reporting unless asking for \$ and progress report
- BWQC attendance is killing local organization capacity due to so many policy decisions
- Site access licenses: what a mess! This is already scaring off landowners and municipalities b/c of legal intimidation.
- Site access agreement = scary. As a landowner, I would run away from it.
- Enhancement and formula grants should be held by the same entities and they should decide which pool of money it comes from. Simplify.