# MANAGEMENT OF HARD TO HANDLE WASTES IN VERMONT

A discussion paper

May 1998

Prepared by the

Vermont Agency of Natural Resources

Department of Environmental Conservation

Environmental Assistance Division

with funding assistance from the

United States Environmental Protection Agency
for nonpoint source projects initiated under

Section 319 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SCHOOLS OF HARD TO HANDLE WASTES IN VERMONT	
Scope of this report	1
Risk vs cost as the basis for action	3
Details about individual wastes	
Waste motor oil	
Waste oil filters	
Lead-acid batteries	
Household batteries	
Waste paints	
Waste tires	
White goods	
Consumer electronics	
Mercury containing wastes	
Household hazardous wastes/Pesticides	
Additional Waste Streams (Not Discussed)	
Waste Professionals Discussion Group Outcomes	16
References	21
Appendix I How Solid Wastes are Described	
Appendix II Municipal Solid Waste Planning in Vermont	
Appendix III Local Hard to Handle Waste Management Options	

## Scope of this report

As one phase in preparation for revision of the State of Vermont Solid Waste Management Plan this discussion paper has been developed by the Department of Environmental

Conservation Environmental Assistance
Division. Issues associated with management
of many of the materials described as "special
wastes" in the first state plan are to be
revisited. By looking at what are sometimes
called "special" or "hard to handle wastes"
from the perspective of the impacts from
their management systems, this report hopes
to highlight aspects of the effectiveness of
existing waste management systems and ways
the Agency of Natural Resources might assist
municipalities in setting priorities for
managing these wastes in the future.

What happens to our solid waste is not a trivial matter. In the United States, the generation rate for all solid wastes has been calculated at 4.3 pounds per day per capita in 1995<sup>1</sup>. Vermont law is very clear about what must be done. Responsibility for solid waste management falls squarely on local government. Also, the state, municipalities and solid waste districts must prepare written plans for how these wastes will be managed.

Of necessity, Vermont's first State Solid Waste Management Plan (effective date: February 1, 1989) focused primarily on the descriptive aspects of waste generation, recycling, processing, disposal and facility siting. Direct state assistance in the collection and marketing of "special wastes" like appliances, waste oils and household hazardous waste was envisioned in the plan. For example, disposal or deposit charge incentives were proposed as means to foster proper management of tires and automotive batteries. Analysis of specific risks to population and environment were deferred.

# Different names for the same things:

"Special Wastes" are identified in Vermont Solid Waste Management Rules (VSWMR) as types or categories of solid waste that the Secretary of the Agency of Natural Resources may so designate because "the Wastes pose special environmental or public health and safety concerns or have other characteristics (e.g., size, composition) that cause problems in handling or management." Asbestos Waste, Infectious Waste, Hazardous waste from small quantity generators, Liquids, and Liquid Containers currently hold this designation.

"Landfill Banned Wastes" are identified in Title 10, Sections 6621a and 6621b of the Vermont Statutes. Specifically listed are certain wastes which shall not be disposed in Solid Waste landfills in Vermont. These wastes are: Land-acid batteries (i.e. wet cell automotive type batteries), Waste oil (e.g. waste automotive crankcase oils), White goods (e.g. stoves, refrigerators and freezers), Tires, Paints (whether water based or oil based), paint thinners and removers, stains and varnishes, Mercuric oxide, Nickel-cadmium or sealed lead-acid (dry call) batteries and labeled mercury-added consumer products.

"Universal Wastes" have been described by the US EPA as widely-dispersed hazardous wastes which are: (1) frequently generated in other than industrial settings; (2) produced by a vast community of generators the very size of which poses difficulties for both regulators and regulated alike; and (3) which may be present in significant volumes in non-hazardous waste management systems. The Federal list of these so-called "universal wastes" includes rechargeable batteries, mercury containing thermostats and obsolete or recalled pesticides. Vermont's latest Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (VHWMR) will incorporate these federal wastes and add mercury-containing (usually fluorescent) lamps and ballasts.

In the year following adoption of the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Vermont Legislature took a more direct approach in dealing with some of the identified special wastes than suggested by the plan. A number of these materials were simply banned from disposal in Vermont landfills. More recently, US EPA efforts to remove the hazardous waste stigma from some common wastes with hazardous components have created a new category of wastes called "Universal Wastes". Some of these "Universal Wastes" are the same as those banned from landfill disposal or called "Special Wastes" in Vermont. The solid waste planning requirements of Vermont's landmark 1987 Solid Waste Law (Act 78) are found in both 24 VSA §2202a and 10 VSA §6604. These Vermont laws speak first to waste reduction and then to recycling. However, protection of human health and the environment figure prominently in the approaches that must also be considered in both state and local solid waste planning.

"Hard to handle wastes" represent a wide array of products which may be found on one or more statutory or regulatory lists. These materials fall within three general groupings:

automotive waste products including tires, lead-acid batteries, oil and oil filters household waste chemicals including pesticides, oil-base paint/thinners, latex paint, cleaning products, and household batteries including white goods, consumer electronics, thermostats, fluorescent lamps, and ballasts

waste electrical products

In this discussion paper for each of these products, their hazardous constituents will be listed, the environmental media to which a constituent may be released are identified and matched with general routes of potential human exposure and environmental impact. (See summaries in Table 1 and Table 2) Emphasis will be placed on the materials themselves and their current management systems. Some future handling options are also explored.

Of necessity, this discussion paper is not intended as a comprehensive risk assessment for hard to handle wastes because of the uncertainties and the complexities inherent in risk analysis. In its publication A Historical Perspective on Risk Assessment in the Federal Government, the Harvard School of Public Health Center for Risk Analysis noted:

"When the phrase 'risk assessment' is used, it means different things to different people. Some use the phrase loosely to refer to the entire risk management process, including science, economics, legislation, regulation, and communication with the public [essentially, a qualitative process]. Others see risk assessment as an algorithm for producing a regulatory number that will determine how much exposure to a toxic agent is permissible" [essentially, a quantitative process].2

Also beyond the scope of this paper is an analysis of environmental management standards and regulations for automotive scrap and salvage yards. Variations in how this industry operates are continuing sources of still unresolved public and legislative concern over aesthetic and environmental impacts. Nevertheless, some special wastes listed in this report do go there.

#### Risk vs cost as the basis for action

Management of so-called "special wastes" incur costs disproportionate to their 4% share of the solid waste stream. Although ubiquitous, these wastes are inappropriate to dispose with other solid wastes because:

the size or weight of items prevent use of normal waste handling equipment the waste will damage the landfill or incinerator in which it is disposed the waste presents a physical hazard to those who handle it the waste contains hazardous chemicals which may be released to the environment.

In July of 1991 the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources released a report by its Public Advisory Committee on The Strategy for Vermont's Third Century, *Environment 1991: Risks to Vermont and Vermonters*. The goals of the two year Agency project were to develop more accurate understandings of risks posed by Vermont's environmental problems, to communicate this risk analysis to Vermonters and to use those new shared understandings to reduce risks. The strategy focused on three types of risk: 1) risk to ecosystems, 2) risk to human health, and 3) risk to quality of life.

A 16-member Public Advisory Committee had been appointed by the Secretary of the Agency to select the 20 most serious environmental problems facing Vermont. Ultimately, the Advisory Committee's final rankings and recommendations contradicted conventional wisdom and public opinion about the relative seriousness of some of Vermont's most politically sensitive environmental concerns. Significantly, the committee considered the risks associated with hazardous waste management or solid waste disposal to be relatively low, even though the public had rated them to be much higher.

Since 1991, waste management district and individual municipal solid waste implementation plans prepared to meet Vermont Solid Waste Law (Act 78) requirements have focused on waste generation rates, transportation infrastructure, disposal capacity, recycling, processing facilities, and above all else - management system costs. Two reports issued by the Agency's Solid Waste Management Division early in 1992, one on waste paints<sup>3</sup> and the other on household batteries<sup>4</sup>, were unable to mount compelling risk-based arguments for additional legislative action. Ultimately, the projected cost of establishing and operating the programs recommended in these documents mitigated against their implementation. Cost considerations also figured prominently in recommendations contained in the Agency's 1995 Report to the General Assembly on the Management of Mercury-Containing Lamps<sup>5</sup>. Similarly, a 1996 industry prepared used oil filter recycling report<sup>6</sup> to the House Natural Resources and Energy Committee based many of its recommendations on costs associated with implementing various types of used oil filter recycling programs.

In the following subsections the recent regulatory history of each special waste will be outlined, along with those characteristics which have prompted its management as a special waste. Cost and availability of various management options also will be discussed.

#### **Waste Motor Oils**

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

There is very little disagreement with data showing that spills or dumping of petroleum products can adversely impact air quality, soils, surface waters, ground waters, and even the operation of municipal wastewater treatment plants<sup>7</sup>. Also, until the introduction of unleaded gasolines, lead deposited in engine oil also made those oils unsafe for burning in small waste oil furnaces.

## Regulatory history

Waste petroleum distillates have been classified in Vermont as a category of hazardous waste since the beginning of hazardous waste regulation in 1980. In 1988, contingent management provisions similar to federal standards for waste oil were adopted for used oils from businesses to supplement existing categorical exemption for household wastes. Concurrently, amendment of Vermont's solid waste statute in 1989 specifically prohibited landfill disposal of waste oil after July 1, 1990. After that date, waste oils from households and businesses were expected to be beneficially reused or to be collected for rerefining or for fuel use. Nevertheless, development of regional and national waste oil management infrastructures have been hampered by a variety of conflicting political, economic and sociological factors<sup>8</sup>. Unfortunately, delays by the US EPA in deciding whether or not to classify waste oils as a hazardous waste and in issuing management standards for used oils that are to be recycled, limited investment in collection facilities by both the public and private sectors. Also, in Vermont, various aspects of the state's Solid Waste Rules and the State Building and Fire Code have worked against establishment of the voluntary, state-wide network of collection facilities envisioned in the Agency's 1993 *Proposed Waste Oil collection and Management Plan*<sup>9</sup>.

#### Current management

Starting in the 1960s, automotive engine oil marketing changed radically moving away from service stations to sales in retail stores. This created a growing population of so-called do-it-yourself (DIY) oil changers who had limited knowledge of or access to existing waste oil collection systems. Paradoxically, high energy prices in the late 1970s fostered both increased DIY activity and strong markets for used oil. However, return of crude oil prices to more historic levels by the late 1980s sharply reduced waste oil recycling when waste oil ceased to be a valuable commodity<sup>10</sup>. A recent study by the American Petroleum Institute reports that despite favorable state and federal standards for recycling of waste oils, the rise of a quick-lube industry and a reduced DIY market, significant barriers still prevent used oil collection programs from taking in significant quantities of the available oils. DIYers tend not to participate in collection programs for lack of public education and lack of convenient collection points. State and local government reluctance to set up collection programs tends to focus more on fears of regulation liability and contaminated oil than on inadequate funding<sup>11</sup>.

Opportunities for DIY waste oil collection are not uniformly available throughout Vermont.

Solid waste implementation plan summaries found in Appendix III show the best served communities are in the Champlain Valley and central Vermont. Least well served are towns in the Northeast Kingdom and southern Vermont. Of 57 sites identified to the Agency as collecting used oil, almost three-quarters are sponsored by municipalities or solid waste districts which restrict access to residents of their supporting communities. Collection tanks at privately operated landfills and transfer stations, while not restricting access, often charge for disposal. Also, a few towns and solid waste districts simply publish the names of local service stations or auto parts stores that accept DIY waste motor oils.

## Other Opportunities for management

Traditionally, waste oil rerefining has been the preferred management practice recommended by both Vermont's Air Pollution Control and Waste Management programs. However, since existing national rerefining capacity can process only a fraction of our nation's waste oils, waste oil shipment for industrial fuel blending has also been supported by the Agency. On a more local level, although the amount of used oil burned in small waste oil furnaces in Vermont is unknown (and will remain so under existing regulatory reporting requirements), this management option holds promise for an even lower cost utilization of the waste stream. A recent Air Pollution Control Division study evaluating the quality of waste oils has shown that these units would most likely comply with applicable ambient air standards. Also, in 1998, changes to both the hazardous and solid waste management regulations have removed many of the earlier impediments to both the collection and burning of waste oil in small furnaces.

#### Waste Oil Filters

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

The principal environmental hazard attending disposal of waste oil filters in the trash is leakage of oil from improperly drained filters with its attendant air and water pollution risks. Earlier concerns about lead contamination have abated with the advent of unleaded gasolines. Also, Terne (a lead-tin alloy used for corrosion inhibiting metal plating) has not been used in US oil filter manufacture since 1993.

## Regulatory history

Regulatory reference to waste oil filters from motor vehicles first appeared in the 1991 amendments to Vermont's Hazardous Waste Management Regulations. Those rules stated that non-characteristic (i.e. not terne plated) waste oil filters would be exempted from hazardous waste regulation if drained or crushed and drained. Prior to 1991, Agency policy on waste oil filters had favored the view that they could be considered exempt from hazardous waste regulation if recycled as a scrap metal or if drained to become an empty container. The 1991 conditional exemption from hazardous waste regulation anticipated similar 1992 federal standards.

## Current management

Although waste oil filters traditionally have been seen as a minor component in automotive scrap, dedicated processing of scrap filters is relatively new. In 1991, Tamco, a steel mill in southern California, was the first to specifically accept filter scrap. Today, more mills are willing to accept filter scrap that has been appropriately pre-processed. The waste filters processors supplying these mills are mainly companies supporting the auto service industry. These companies may charge garages as much as 80 cents per filter, depending on the quantity collected and the recycling process they use<sup>13</sup>. Even though commercial filter collection and processing is available to garages and service stations in Vermont from several competing vendors, not all use it. DIY oil changer options are more limited. Only 10 of the 57 community oil collection sites identified in Appendix III also collect waste oil filters.

## Other Opportunities for management

There is no technical barrier to used oil filters entering scrap metal recycling. Lack of filter collection and crushing at municipal waste oil collection tanks continues to hamper local collection of additional DIY oil and waste oil filters continue to be disposed in the trash.

#### **Lead-Acid Batteries**

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

Containing approximately 18 pounds of lead and about a gallon of concentrated sulfuric acid, the typical automotive lead-acid battery presents either immediate risk of chemical burns from contact with corrosive electrolyte or from longer term risks for lead contamination of ground waters.

#### Regulatory history

Because of lead's high toxicity, the US EPA has made major commitments to reduce exposure of lead to humans and the environment not only through hazardous waste regulation of lead bearing wastes but through specific regulatory exemptions designed to promote recycling of lead-acid batteries. In Vermont, batteries have been banned from landfill disposal since 1990.

## Current management

Lead-acid batteries do not regularly appear in solid waste because they can move easily into a well established collection infrastructure. National data indicates that almost 80% of the lead consumed in the US goes into lead-acid battery production and of that, the recycling rates for these batteries have been reported to be as high as 95% in 1990.<sup>14</sup> Nevertheless, as late as 1986, lead-acid batteries still accounted for 65% of the lead in municipal solid waste.<sup>15</sup>

Generally, consumers in Vermont return used lead-acid batteries into the recycling chain in one of four ways: batteries are returned to retailers who must accept them<sup>16</sup>, they are taken to recycling centers, they are dropped off at HHW/CEG hazardous waste collections, or are left in vehicles destined for auto salvage yards. Every approved municipal and solid waste

district's Solid Waste Implementation Plan (Appendix III) identifies the lead-acid battery recycling options available within its jurisdiction. Also, the Agency's *Directory of Recycling Programs* lists 48 local recycling centers which routinely collect lead-acid batteries.<sup>17</sup>

# Other Opportunities for management

Collection and recycling systems for lead-acid batteries are mature and functioning well. Opportunities and incentives for accepting these batteries into the systems are readily available throughout the state. Attempt to foster development of alternative systems are unwarranted at this time.

#### **Household Batteries**

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

Mercury, cadmium and lead are the three toxic metals which are of primary national concern in municipal solid waste. Historically, household dry cell batteries have contributed significant amounts of mercury and cadmium to the solid waste stream. Prior to 1992 these batteries made up only 0.005 percent by weight of the solid waste stream yet contributed 8 percent of the total mercury and more than 50 percent of the total cadmium. Lesser quantities of lead were also found coming from small sealed lead-acid batteries used in some lighting and portable electronic products. Incineration of these wastes produces immediate atmospheric release of almost all these products' mercury content. Much longer term potential for groundwater contamination by lead and cadmium is associated with the landfilling of these products or the disposal of ash from municipal solid waste incinerators.

#### Regulatory history

Significant changes have occurred in both regulation and manufacture of dry cell batteries in recent years. In 1991, the Vermont Legislature and several other states enacted progressive restrictions on the mercury content of dry cell batteries, their labeling and on the solid waste disposal of mercuric oxide, silver oxide, nickel-cadmium and sealed lead acid batteries. Similar, but less sweeping Federal legislation known as the *Mercury-Containing and Rechargeable Battery Management Act* was enacted on May 13, 1996. Also, in 1995 Universal Waste Rules were adopted by the US EPA for rechargeable batteries in order to facilitate their collection and removal from the solid waste stream. During this same time period, battery manufactures adopted new technologies that reduced the industry's mercury usage by 99.4 percent through development of alternative battery chemistries and elimination of introduced mercury from some battery types. Also, in response to state and federal legislation, nickel-cadmium battery manufacturers have established the Rechargeable Battery Recycling Corporation (RBRC) which operates a nationwide battery collection program for their products.

## Current management

The term "household battery" is something of a misnomer. Since the same types and brands of small primary (non-rechargeable) and secondary (rechargeable) batteries are so widely dispersed in household, commercial and industrial usage, they are indistinguishable in mixed solid waste. Single use primary cells include alkaline, carbon-zinc, silver oxide, zinc oxide, mercuric oxide, and lithium batteries. Multiple use secondary batteries include nickel-cadmium, nickel metal-hydride, lithium ion, and small sealed lead acid cells. In general, it can be assumed that even though population demographics may influence battery consumption patterns, each person discards an average of 8 dry cell batteries per year.<sup>21</sup>

In Vermont, household battery collection activities are increasingly focused on rechargeable batteries. RBRC currently lists 57 retailers throughout the state who participate in its free consumer drop off program. Also, the Agency's *Directory of Recycling Programs* lists 25 local recycling centers which routinely collect household or rechargeable batteries and another dozen that direct residents to use HHW collection events.<sup>17</sup> Consequently, due mostly to decreasing levels of mercury in dry cell batteries marketed over the past several years (except in communities sending their trash for incineration) alkaline, carbon-zinc, zinc-air, silver oxide and lithium are now disposed as solid wastes. Rechargeable batteries continue to be collected through HHW events, local recycling centers and, more recently, through RBRC program merchants.

## Other Opportunities for management

While a nation-wide system exists for Nickel-Cadmium battery recycling, no comparable program is available for sealed lead-acid batteries. For the remaining primary and secondary battery types, lack of regulated hazardous constituents and market values for recyclable components well below avoided costs of disposal currently make their recycling uneconomical.

#### **Waste Paints**

## Hazardous constituents in the waste

The ignitability of oil-based and aerosol paint products continues to represent their greatest hazard within the solid waste stream. Of longer term concern are potentials for surface and groundwater contamination from the heavy metals (lead, mercury, chromium and cadmium) traditionally used in the pigment and antimicrobial systems in older oil-based and latex paints. Although not directly related to their disposal, the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in solvent-based paints also present risks for toxicity to the workers using them and to human health and the environment from their role as ozone precursors subject to regulation under the Clean Air Act.<sup>22</sup>

## Regulatory history

Use of lead, cadmium and mercury pigments in paints has declined steadily over the last two decades due to concerns over their toxicity.<sup>15</sup> Additionally, use of mercury-based biocides in

paints was eliminated in 1991 by the US EPA through a combination of outright product bans and voluntary cancellation of pesticide registrations.<sup>23</sup> Nevertheless, recognizing that a minimum 5-year time lag exists between product purchase and waste disposal, waste paints have been conditionally banned from landfill disposal in Vermont since 1993. The principal exception to this ban allows dried water-based (latex) paints in quantities of less than one gallon to be disposed with regular solid waste.<sup>19</sup>

## Current management

Paints are most commonly categorized according to use into three major groups: Architectural coatings, product coatings and special purpose coatings. Architectural coatings comprise about half of the one billion gallons of paints manufactured annually in the US. By late 1987, while about three quarters of architectural coatings were water based, the overwhelming majority of product and special purpose coatings were still solvent-based systems. For the purposes of this report it is important to note that homeowners almost exclusively use architectural coatings. Within this category, approximately 2.1 gallons of product per capita are purchased each year in Vermont. Not all of this paint is used immediately. A 1991 survey of households in central Vermont found an average of 3.6 gallons of paint in storage per home. 25

In response to the need for a legal disposal option for this waste, collection opportunities for oil-based paints are now available through single-day events or at permanent facilities serving all but 25 communities in the state. (Appendix III) Water-based paints are less widely collected partly because their disposal through hazardous waste management firms is more costly than for oil-based paints and partly because, when dried, small quantities may disposed by households as a solid waste. In efforts to reduce collection program costs and to preserve a useable resource, in the approximately 100 communities offering water-based paint collection programs, these collections are often combined with efforts to sort out useable product and make these paints available to the community for use.

#### Other Opportunities for management

Banned from landfill disposal in Vermont, there is no alternative to management of waste oil-based paints as HHW. Paint swapping for reuse of both oil-based and latex paints can help control HHW program costs. Solid waste landfill disposal of solidified water-based paint products is anticipated to become the primary route for disposal within the next several years, as most solid waste districts are expected to discontinue their collection of latex paints as a household hazardous waste.

#### **Waste Tires**

# Hazardous constituents in the waste

Rubber tires are constructed from a complex mixture of natural and synthetic rubbers mixed with a number of additives such as carbon black, oxides and sulfides of zinc and titanium, silicates and carbonates, oils, tars, steel wires and synthetic fibers. Chemically combined,

these yield a product which is tough, durable, and virtually indestructible by natural forces. <sup>26</sup> The principal environmental hazard from disposal of large quantities of tires in any one location is the risk of an extremely difficult to extinguish fire and its resulting air and water pollution. Also, uncontrolled tire disposal provides shelter for vermin and water accumulations within the discarded casings that have potential for increasing local mosquito populations and possibilities for transmission of diseases. <sup>27</sup>

Burning tire derived fuels (TDF) for their energy value is not without its problems. The approximately 1.4 percent zinc content in the typical tire produces not only elevated zinc levels in TDF boiler ash but also triggers special federal air quality reporting requirements.<sup>28</sup>

Nevertheless, ash produced from combustion of fuels supplemented with TDF is still quite similar to ash from coal fired boilers and contains markedly fewer metals than bottom ash from the combustion of municipal solid waste.<sup>27</sup> Groundwater impacts from potential civil engineering uses of waste tires appear to be unremarkable. Studies to evaluate the suitability of shredded tires as a substitute for stone aggregate in road fills and septic systems were able to demonstrate increased levels of barium, zinc, iron and manganese released from the tire shreds with prolonged leaching. Yet, only the concentrations of manganese exceeded drinking water criteria. Monitoring of tire storage pond water quality has revealed even lower rates of metal release.<sup>29</sup>

## Regulatory history

Waste tires have been banned from landfill disposal in Vermont since 1992.<sup>19</sup> Due their hollow construction, tires will remain buoyant unless shredded. Intact tires are thus likely to "float" surface of a solid waste landfill, disrupting the landfill cap and gas collection systems.<sup>26</sup>

## Current management

Aside from their human health, environmental and safety risks, abandoned tire piles also represent the loss of a useful product and a high value energy source. Of the approximately 3.8 million tons of waste tires produced in 1995 in the US (equaling 1.8% of the solid waste stream or almost one tire per capita) nearly 700,000 tons were recovered for recycling and 3.1 million tons discarded, with approximately half being used for fuels. At 15,000 BTU per pound, shredded tire derived fuels have even greater fuel value than coal (12,000 BTU/lb) or wood (4400 BTU/lb).<sup>30</sup> Fuel use currently dominates the scrap tire recovery market followed by civil engineering applications and high value added products like crumb rubber. While the revenue potential difference for processed rubber is significant \$.08-.50/lb as crumb vs \$.01-.02/lb as fuel, high initial capital investment and limited end product markets have slowed industry growth in this area. Nevertheless, by combining increasing new uses for high value crumb rubber, expanded engineering applications and use of tire derived fuels, some industry analysts are still proclaiming that: "It won't be long before all scrap tires generated in much of the US are recovered and processors will begin to work on reducing old tire piles".30 Although this sounds encouraging, even though guidance on acceptable uses of shredded tires in Vermont has been available from the Agency since 1990,<sup>31</sup> few major projects using this material have been attempted.

As a result, consumers in Vermont return waste tires into the recycling/disposal chain in several ways: tires are returned to retailers who accept them for a fee or as part of the exchange in the purchase of new tires, waste tires are taken to local recycling centers, or they are left on or in vehicles destined for auto salvage yards. To help its residents find appropriate disposal for waste tires, every approved town and solid waste district's Solid Waste Implementation Plan (Appendix III) identifies waste tire collection options available within its jurisdiction. Also, the Agency's 1996 Directory of Recycling Programs lists 60 local recycling centers which routinely collect waste tires. Prior to the Spring of 1998, handling charges of \$1.00-\$2.00 per passenger car tire at unsubsidized collection points were common. However, the recent withdrawal of Palmer Shredding from the passenger car tire market in Vermont has pushed these charges significantly higher.

## Other Opportunities for management

Banned from landfill disposal in Vermont, retailer take-back and designated collection are currently the only available disposal routes.

#### White Goods

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

Small and large household appliances, collectively known as "white goods" comprise about 2% of the solid waste stream.\(^1\) The principal hazardous components found in these products are the chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and petroleum distillate compressor oils used in refrigeration equipment and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)-containing electrical capacitors commonly used on motors and in fluorescent lighting ballasts. In some appliances, mercury-containing switches and thermocouples may also be found. These materials are most often released to the environment or dispersed in nonrecyclable waste residues when the white goods are shredded and classified into their ferrous, nonferrous metallic and nonmetallic components.

#### Regulatory history

White goods have been banned from landfill disposal in Vermont since 1992<sup>19</sup> due largely to their intrinsic value as recyclable materials and as a way to help preserve landfill capacity.

Scrap metals from Vermont destined for shredding are sent to out-of-state processors. Although scrap metal shredder residues are usually landfilled or burned in waste-to-energy facilities, an against their residual "fluff" becomes quite costly when its PCB content exceeds 50 parts per million (ppm) and requires disposal under federal Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) rules. While Vermont currently has no scrap metal shredders, waste districts, recycling centers and scrap metal processors have been strongly encouraged for since 1990<sup>33</sup> to remove capacitors and ballasts from white goods. Since the January 1, 1979 effective date of EPA's TSCA prohibitions on the distribution in commerce and processing of PCBs, various estimates have been made about the number of household appliances containing

PCBs. In 1988, EPA calculated historic PCB usage in household appliances at approximately 5%.<sup>34</sup> Nevertheless, more recent regional waste planning studies assume that 20% of discarded appliances have PCB-containing capacitors.<sup>35</sup> However, the CFCs found in some appliances are even more closely regulated than PCBs. Since July 1, 1992, under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA), individuals may not knowingly vent ozone-depleting refrigerants into the air while servicing, repairing or recycling air conditioning or refrigeration equipment. Certified technicians must remove CFCs for recycling or disposal as a hazardous waste.

## Current management

Waste appliances consist of approximately 75% recyclable steel.<sup>36</sup> As a result, consumers in Vermont are able to return white goods into the recycling/disposal chain in several ways: appliances are returned to retailers who accept them for a fee or as part of the exchange in the purchase of new appliances, white goods are taken to local recycling centers, or they are transported directly to scrap metal salvage yards. Quite often, fees of \$15.00-\$25.00 are charged for the removal of CFCs from refrigeration or air conditioning equipment at unsubsidized collection points. To help its residents find appropriate disposal for white goods, every approved town and solid waste district's Solid Waste Implementation Plan (Appendix III) identifies the white goods collection options available within its jurisdiction. Also, the Agency's *Directory of Recycling Programs* lists 63 local recycling centers which routinely collect white goods.<sup>17</sup>

# Other Opportunities for management

Banned from landfill disposal in Vermont, there is no alternative to the present retailer takeback, scrap yard and designated collection point system for white goods. As long Freon removal costs are borne by the disposers of refrigeration equipment, revenues from sale of scrap metal in white goods will help support their collection sites.

## **Consumer Electronics**

# Hazardous constituents in the waste

In US EPA waste analyses<sup>1</sup> radios, televisions, record players, VCRs, and computers are lumped together with products like luggage and sporting equipment in a category known as miscellaneous durable goods. While this broad category of discarded material amounts to about 5.8% of solid wastes, electronics are not present in sufficient quantity to be separately listed. Nevertheless, after lead-acid batteries, consumer electronics are the next most significant source (approximately 30%) of lead in the solid waste stream.<sup>15</sup> The principal hazardous constituents found in this product group are: lead from printed circuit board solder and the leaded glass in cathode ray tubes (CRTs); cadmium and zinc from backup batteries and CRT phosphors; and mercury from switches. The principal routes for environmental release of these hazardous constituents are smokestack outputs from incinerators and leachates from landfill disposal of the intact products or ash from their incineration.<sup>37</sup>

## Regulatory history

In Vermont, no specific legislation or regulations have been adopted dealing with waste electronics. Consumer electronics continue to be discarded in the solid waste stream despite the fact that their circuit boards and CRTs have a high probability of releasing regulated levels of lead if evaluated using the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) test procedures to determine hazardous waste properties.

## Current management

At present, no formal programs exist for household electronics collection or diversion from the waste stream. The Agency's *Directory of Recycling Programs* lists only 3 local recycling centers which report collecting these materials with other so-called "brown goods".<sup>17</sup> Also, the Agency's recycling markets directory list of computer reuse programs and recyclers identifies principally out-of-state handlers.<sup>38</sup> Even though an estimated 10 million televisions and 5 million computers are being disposed annually in the US,<sup>39</sup> it is only recently that a new branch of the recycling industry has evolved to process these materials by recycling their component parts.<sup>40</sup> Significantly, electronics recyclers indicate that very few household electronic products are recycled at their facilities.<sup>40</sup>

## Other Opportunities for management

Although reuse and recycling are preferable to and economically competitive with disposal, limited opportunities currently exist. State funding to support cooperative efforts among waste districts and municipalities to assemble truck load quantities of materials may be necessary to establish the infrastructure needed for recycling consumer electronics.

# **Mercury Containing Wastes**

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

Silent electrical switches, thermostats, fever thermometers, and fluorescent light bulbs all contain metallic mercury (3.5 grams, 2.8 grams, 0.6 grams and 23 milligrams, respectively, per unit) in order to function as designed. Although this mercury is sealed within glass in each of these products, its principal routes for environmental release are from breakage while in use or from incineration of the discarded product. Once released to the atmosphere, this mercury become part of a complex global cycle of deposition, revolatilization and potential biomagnification through the aquatic food chain. The four products listed above are the source of almost 25% of the mercury contained in the solid waste stream.<sup>23</sup> Additionally, fluorescent lamp fixtures manufactured prior to 1979 may have used PCB-containing capacitors in their starting ballasts.

# Regulatory history

For many years, none of these products were considered hazardous wastes and were routinely discarded with solid wastes. However, in 1990, US EPA introduction of the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) test sufficiently changed the way characteristic

hazardous wastes were determined so that many spent mercury-containing lamps now failed the test and were classified as hazardous waste<sup>41</sup> Since over 80% of fluorescent lamps are used in commercial or industrial settings,<sup>5</sup> the Agency's Hazardous Materials Management Program has developed fact sheets with guidance for handling waste mercury containing lamps and PCB-containing ballasts. In 1995, Universal Waste Rules were adopted by the US EPA for mercury-containing thermostats in order to facilitate their collection and removal from the solid waste stream. Comparable regulatory relief does not exist for mercury-containing electric switches or fever thermometers.

## Current management

In Vermont, mercury containing switches, thermostats and thermometers are routinely accepted through local and solid waste district household hazardous waste collection programs. Fluorescent bulbs generally are not accepted. However, in Chittenden, Addison and Windham counties fluorescent bulbs are collected from households and small businesses at district run recycling and transfer stations. Fluorescent lamp disposal practices by large quantity hazardous waste generators are less well documented.<sup>5</sup>

#### Other Opportunities for management

HHW collection programs capable of accepting mercury-added waste products are identified in approved solid waste implementation plans applicable to the solid wastes disposed by 96% of the state's population. Additional state assistance to help municipalities implement their unregulated hazardous waste plans is likely to be more productive than developing new management systems for mercury containing wastes.

# Household Hazardous Wastes/Pesticides

#### Hazardous constituents in the waste

The term "household hazardous waste" (HHW) is an umbrella concept that includes some of the wastes described above (waste oil, batteries, paints) plus any number of consumer products containing hazardous ingredients. For all practical purposes, HHW is any waste which would be a hazardous waste had it not come from a household. After waste paints, automotive leadacid batteries and motor oil, pesticides are the products next most commonly delivered to HHW collection programs. As a group pesticides are unique because quite often both their "active" and "inert" ingredients are hazardous, but for different reasons. Heavy metal contamination is rarely a feature of HHW. Usually ignitable organic solvents and corrosive cleaners present the most immediate risks to waste handlers and the longer term threats to landfill leachate collection system integrity.

#### Regulatory history

Household hazardous wastes are categorically exempt from regulation under both federal and state hazardous waste regulations. Nevertheless, since 1992, municipalities in Vermont have been required to include in their solid waste plans provisions for the management of

"unregulated hazardous waste". <sup>42</sup> In the statute, unregulated hazardous waste (UHW) includes both household hazardous wastes and hazardous wastes from conditionally exempt small quantity generators of hazardous wastes (CESQGs) that may be discarded with trash. Specific Agency procedures to monitor UHW diversion at solid waste facilities was adopted in 1994. <sup>43</sup> At the same time, Vermont Regulations for Control of Pesticides in Accordance with 6 V.S.A. Chapter 87, have been promulgated that create no exempt categories for pesticide storage, use or disposal. Disposal of pesticide containers must comply with instructions on the product labels or other state and federal regulations. Obsolete, excess and mixtures of pesticides are directed to be disposed in accordance with Vermont Hazardous Waste Management Regulations. <sup>44</sup> In 1995, Universal Waste Rules were adopted by the US EPA for recalled and unused pesticides to facilitate their collection and removal from the solid waste stream.

# Current management

In order to meet the need for appropriate disposal, collection opportunities for household hazardous wastes and pesticides are now available through single-day events or at permanent facilities serving all but 25 communities in the state. (Appendix III) In 1991, the Vermont Department of Agriculture used a combination of private and federal grant funding to conduct its first major collection of obsolete pesticides. Creation of a permanent funding source<sup>45</sup> for pesticide collection in 1996 by the Vermont Legislature will enable the Department to further coordinate collection programs for agricultural pesticides with local HHW activities. Additionally, an association of Vermont agricultural chemical dealers currently provides for pesticide container collection and recycling as a service to its customers.

## Other Opportunities for management

By any measure, household hazardous waste collection and disposal is the most costly of all municipal waste management services. Economies of scale, source reduction education, more extensive local swapping of reusable product and the sharing of resources among waste districts and independent municipalities are essential for controlling costs and maintaining the program subsidies required to meet statutory requirements. With HHW collection programs identified in approved solid waste implementation plans applicable to all solid wastes disposed in Vermont and over 96% of the state's population, additional state assistance to help municipalities implement their unregulated hazardous waste plans is likely to be more productive than developing new management systems.

## Additional Waste Streams (Not Discussed)

As expected in any discussion of hard to handle wastes, at some point in the research process the question arises 'What about ....?' Certainly this was the case for: antifreeze, asbestos, smoke detectors, propane cylinders, medical waste and petroleum contaminated soils. While the first five items are already handled within existing solid or HHW systems, only medical waste presents unique problems. Recent elimination of 'Infectious waste' from hazardous waste regulations has prompted new solid waste rulemaking to define how this waste will be handled. Petroleum contaminated soil is a large volume waste that needs its own evaluation.

## **Waste Professionals Discussion Group Outcomes**

On October 1, 1997, a workgroup of waste management professionals met using an early draft of this discussion paper as a springboard to a wide ranging discussion of the issues associated with hard to handle wastes. This facilitated meeting focused on two specific goals to be achieved by the group: identification of successful programs and constructing a basis for future program activities with selected materials. In some ways, the work product of this 4 hour session mirrored some key aspects of what the Act 78 Summer Study Committee had attempted to define in a series of 16 all-day meetings.

The work session's initial review of hard to handle waste management success stories prompted immediate comparison to the list of 16 accomplishments in the Summer Study Committee report. In the discussions that followed, overlap with items #11 (development of regional diversity) and #16 (increased collection and diversion of unregulated hazardous wastes) were apparent. From the outset, it became clear that "success" comes in various forms and has a number of different meanings. Some successes have state-wide significance (like pesticide container collections or the underwriting of educational programs), some are regional (like cooperation of communities across state lines or innovative waste district programs) and some are local (like a waste oil tank or a paint swap shed at a transfer station). In all these cases the common elements were:

- (1) public support or participation,
- (2) a place for the activity or program to happen, and
- (3) the financial resources to carry it out.

Not surprisingly, a waste management activity which might be considered very successful in one part of the state might not even be considered worth trying in other parts. While comparisons of individual waste district's experiences were instructive, the group was eager to move the discussion beyond present conditions.

In preparation for discussion of specific wastes, the group briefly explored terms that seemed to be contained in almost every of its preceding comments. The objective was to be thinking the same things when they were said. Specifically, when the group talked about "management" or "waste management" it agreed to remember that there are four basic elements to this concept:

- (1) knowledge or education about materials,
- (2) the actual collection of them,
- (3) the transportation of materials to a destination, and
- (4) the processing or ultimate disposition of them.

Similarly, "risk" or "risk assessment" was seen as embodying not only worker safety and environmental impacts from the "management" of wastes, but included more broadly defined pressures on natural resources from use of the materials in the first place.

Proceeding from that point the group began evaluating individual wastes. It quickly became apparent that factors applicable to assigning priorities were themselves waste specific. Also, the group felt that there is no standard definition of what constitutes a "hard to handle waste". Some wastes we simply declare to be "hard to handle". The forces which compel municipalities to manage certain wastes in specific ways are:

- (1) public pressure
- (2) laws and regulations
- (3) worker safety concerns
- (4) cost
- (5) environmental impacts
- (6) resource preservation
- (7) the inertia within existing systems

With the constraint of completing its discussion in the time allotted for the workgroup mind, the group agreed to focus on a shorter list of wastes than contained in the draft discussion paper. Using a tabular matrix to compare and put these wastes in perspective highlighted, again, the regional nature of waste management in Vermont. This table can be found on the next page.

Despite the differences among their respective programs, all those present agreed on the importance of their waste management systems being based on good science. Whether talking about risk or presence of a particular material in the waste stream, both the public and private sectors stressed the need for hard numbers on which to base their decisions. Unfortunately, for a number of the wastes subject to the report, Vermont specific data is not being collected.

# Workgroup Participants: Doug Kievet-Kylar, DEC, Facilitator

Jen Holliday	CSWD	Steve Simoes	DEC
Steve Parker	RCSWD	John Miller	DEC
Joyce Majors	LRSWMD	Gary Gulka	DEC
Steve Maier	ACSWMD	Jim Surwilo	DEC
Sami Izzo	GUVSWMD	Carolyn Grodinski	DEC
Jane Southworth	WPV	Barry Lampke	AVR

October 1, 1997 Waste Professionals Workgroup Discussion on PRIORITIZING HARD TO HANDLE WASTES

(Assuming the waste is in the waste management system)

	waste oil filters	dry cell batteries	latex paints	pesticides	mercury lamps	PCB ballasts	asbestos	propane cylinders	smoke detectors	consumer electronics
characteristic	need to get the oil out	Hg in old/ Zn in all	liquid & some VOCs	poisons toxic inerts	lig vapor	PCBs bind to organic	fibers in air	fuel explosive	emits alpha need to	Pb and Hg CRT gless
hazard level	LOW	LOW if land HIGH if burn	LOW	нісн	LOW if land MED, if burn	LOW if land MED, if burn	HIGH friable LOW if not	LOW empty HIGH if not	TOW	LOW if land MED, if burn
Regulatory limitations	. 00	90	statutory kimit 1 gallon dry to kandfill	no - many collection days	household no Universal Waste	hôusehold no Universal Waste	2 landfills or only asbestos contractors	90	ри	not yet
Management costs are acceptable	yes/no .10 per to \$85/drum	yes/no \$800/drum	yes/no \$100/drum	yes very costly	уез/по	yes expensive	yes for friable costly	DO .	simply don't deal with them	yes in future costly - will need fees to drive it
Perception of a problem (often by district)	important only where collected now	public want it incinerator districts care	some districts	public cares uniformly	public	public unaware	public	not an issue	some districts care a lot some districts unaware	public unsure its like a white good
Future prospects for the waste	slow increase in #s	total #s up % Hg down	total amount increasing	If barmed constant #s at collections	Hg content down but total #s up	PCB #s down DOHP down total # steady	total amounts decreasing	total amounts increasing	total amounts increasing	total amounts increasing greatly

Table 1 Special Waste Hazardous Constituents and Consequences from Disposal

WASTE TYPE	HAZARDOUS COMPONENTS	RELEASED TO	ROUTES OF EXPOSURE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS
waste oil	petroleum lead*	water, air, soil water, air, soil	water, air water, air	local, regional local, regional
waste oil filters	petroleum lead*	water, air, soil water, soil	water, air water	local, regional local
auto batteries	lead sulfuric acid	water, soil water, air, soil	water water, air	local local
household batteries	lead nickel cadmium mercury* zinc	water, soil water, soil water, soil water, air, soil water, soil	water water water water water, air, foods water	local local local local, regional, global local
waste paints	petroleum, lead* barium* cadmium* chromium* mercury* zinc	water, air, soil water, soil water, soil water, soil water, air, soil water, air, soil water, soil	water, air water water water water, air water, air, foods water	local, regional local local local local, regional local, regional, global local
white goods	CFCs petroleum PCBs*	air water, air, soil water, soil	air water, air water	global local, regional local
tires	zinc	water, soil	water	local
consumer electronics	lead cadmium zinc mercury	water, soil water, soil water, soil water, air, soil	water water water water, air, foods	local local local local, regional, global
HHW/ pesticides	flammable liquids corrosives poisons	water, air, soil water, soil water, air, soil	water, air water water, air	local, regional local local, regional
fluorescent lamps & ballasts	mercury, cadmium* PCBs*	water, air, soil water, soil water, soil	water, air, foods water water	local, regional, global local local
thermostats & switches	mercury	water, air, soil	water, air, foods	local, regional, global

<sup>\*</sup> denotes hazardous constituents found in older waste products

Table 2 Availability of Special Waste Management Options

WASTE	MANAGEMENT OPTION	AVAILABILITY
waste oil	re-refining fuel blending small w.o. burners	- state-wide through commercial vendors - fairly costly - state-wide local collection/commercial vendors - low cost - state-wide # sites unknown - usually no cost
waste oil filters	recycling as scrap SW landfill	- mainly Chittenden SWD - state-wide in trash
auto batteries	lead recycling	- state-wide retailers/scrap yards/recycling centers
rechargeable batteries	recycling disposal as HW	- state-wide RBRC merchants for Ni-Cd/local HHW collection - state-wide local HHW collections (ultimately recycled)
dry cell batteries	SW landfill disposal as HW	- state-wide in trash - waste districts using incineration (mainly SW/WSWMD)
oil base paints	paint swapping recycle dispose as HW	- mainly Chittenden SWD and NEKSWMD - none - state-wide local HHW collections (HW fuel blending)
water base paints	paint swapping recycle dispose as HW	- mainly Chittenden SWD and NEKSWMD - none - state-wide local HHW collections (HW fuel blending)
white goods	recycle as scrap	- state-wide retailers/scrap yards/recycling centers Freon removal charges
tires	tire shredders tire derived fuel	- state-wide retailers/scrap yards/recycling centers - charges - state-wide retailers/scrap yards/recycling centers - charges
ннพ	SW landfill dispose as HW	- state-wide in trash/labeled mercury-added products banned - state-wide local HHW collections
pesticides	SW landfill dispose as HW	- state-wide in trash - state-wide local HHW collections (ultimately incinerated)
fluorescent lamps & ballasts	SW landfill recycle dispose as HW	- state-wide in trash/labeled mercury-added products banned - mainly Chittenden, Addison, Windham SW districts - state-wide local HHW collections (ballasts)
thermostats	SW landfill recycle dispose as HW	- state-wide in trash/labeled mercury-added products banned - universal waste (national collection program) - state-wide local HHW collections (ultimately recycled)
consumer electronics	SW landfill recycle dispose as HW	- state-wide in trash/labeled mercury-added products banned - limited retailer upgrades/non-profit exchanges - none

## Appendix I

#### How Solid Wastes are Described

Since 1986 the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has prepared and annually updated its report: Characterization of Municipal Solid Waste in the United States. This publication provides national information on waste composition and waste management practices. It also makes distinctions between the materials and the products found in the municipal waste stream. Because this national data is so widely used, including in this report, it is important to understand how the data is developed by the EPA.

Generally, there are two principal ways to characterize waste. The first is a source-specific approach where individual components of the waste stream are sampled, sorted, and weighed. While the method is useful for defining a local waste stream, atypical circumstances encountered during sampling or errors in the sample would be greatly magnified if extrapolated to describe the nation's entire waste stream. The second method, which is used by the EPA in its waste characterization report, is a "material flows methodology." EPA's Office of Solid Waste and its predecessors in the Public Health Service sponsored research in the 1960s and early 1970s to develop this model. The methodology is based on production data (by weight) for the materials and products in the waste stream, with adjustments for imports, exports, and product lifetimes. Apparent discrepancies in data often emerge from one edition of the report to the next because the EPA continually updates its MSW characterization database with revised estimates from various industry associations and other federal agencies.

For the purposes of the EPA report, MSW consists of both materials and products. For example, of the approximately 208 million tons of MSW generated in the United states during 1995, the proportions of various materials in MSW were:

```
paper and paperboard (39.2%), wood (7.1%)
yard trimmings (14.3%), food wastes (6.7%)
plastics (9.1%) glass (6.2%)
metals (7.6%) rubber, leather, textiles, etc (9.8%)
```

However, looking only at the types of materials in the waste stream may not be entirely useful. Each material category (except for food wastes and yard trimmings) can also be thought of as is being made up of items representing many different products. The products to be found in MSW can also be grouped into three main categories which make up about three-quarters of the solid waste stream:

- (1) durable goods, such as appliances (15%),
- (2) nondurable goods, such as newspapers (27%)
- (3) containers and packaging (35%).

These product categories generally contain significant amounts of each type of MSW material, with some exceptions. The durable goods category contains almost no paper and paperboard. The nondurable goods category includes only small amounts of metals and essentially no glass or wood. The containers and packaging category includes only very small amounts of rubber, leather, and textiles.

Appendix II

Municipal Solid Waste Planning in Vermont

Without Solid	Waste Implementation Plans	With Solid Waste Im	plementation Plans
	Population		Population
Athens	321	Albany	792
Buel's Gore	6	Barton	2985
Canaan	1151	Bristol	<b>3927</b>
Concord	1143	Brownington	774
Fairfax	2720	Burke	1423
Fairlee	914	Charleston	860
Grand Isle	1821	Corinth	1 <del>29</del> 2
Granville	312	Coventry	856
Kirby	304	Derby	4616
Lemington	115 .	Franklin	1166
Londonderry	1446	Georgia	3869
Newbury	2047	Glover	786
Norton	168	Greensboro	763
Rochester	1171	Hartford	9389
Searsburg	90	Highgate	3286
Somerset	2	I rasburg	903
Stockbridge	675	Jay	405
Stratton	138	Lowell	669
Sutton	925	Newport City	4707
Topshem	997	Newport Town	1507
Underhill	279B	North Hero	541
Wardsboro	663	Salisbury	1141
Weston	493	St. Albans Town	5467
Windham	257	St. Johnsbury	7741
Winhall	489	Swanton	5798
		Troy	1609
		Westfield	465

Towns With Solid Waste District or Coordinated Solid Waste Implementation Plans\*

Addison Cou District	nty Solid Was	te Management	Bennington C Commission		nal Planning
Addison	ACSWMD	1228	Arlington	BRPC	2263
Bridport	ACSWMD	1197	Bennington	BRPC	16186
Cornwall	ACSWMD	1115	Dorset	BRPC	2029
ferrisburg	ACSWMD	2440	Glastenbury	BRPC	7
Goshen	ACSWMD	245	Landgrove	BRPC	158
Leicester	ACSWMD	938	Manchester	BRPC	3779
Lincoln	ACSWMD	1023	Paru	BRPC	359
Middlebury	ACSWMD	8564	Pownat	BRPC	3376
Monkton	ACSWMD	1577	Rupert	BRPC	678
New Heven	ACSWMD	1412	Sandgate	BRPC	299
Orwell	ACSWMD	1214	Shaftsbury	BRPC	3421
Panton	ACSWMD	665	Stamford	BRPC	785
Ripton	ACSHMD	554	Sunderland	BRPC	881
Shoreham	ACSWMD	1174	Woodford	BRPC	372
Starksboro	ACSWMD	1633			
Vargennes	ACSWMD	2737			
Waltham	ACSWMD	480			
Weybridge	ACSWMD	782			
Whiting	ACSWMD	425			

Central Vermo	nt Solid Was	ste	Chittenden Sol	id Waste Di	strict
Management D	istric <u>t</u>				
Barre City	CVSLIND	9536	Bolton	CSMD	1148
Barre Town	CVSWMD	7543	Burlington	CSMD	40259
Berlin	CVSWMD	2618	Charlotte	CSMD	3457
Bradford	CVSWMD	2576	Colchester	CSMD	16420
Cabot	CVSWMD	1109	Essex	CSMD	9270
Calais	CVSWMD	1593	Essex Junction	CSMD	8647
Chelsea	CVSWMD	1201	Hinesburg	CSMD	4012
East Montpelier	CVSWMD	2272	Huntington	CSMD	1664
Hardwick	CVSWMD	2994	Jericho	CSMD	4595
Mershfield	CVSWMD	1530	Milton	CSMD	8916
Middlesex	CVSWMD	1538	Richmond	CSMD	4030 6457
Montpelier	CVSWMD	8392	Shelburne	CSMD	14080
Northfield	CVSWMD CVSWMD	5758 965	- South Burlington	CSWD CSWD	809
Orange Plainfield	CVSWMD	1301	St. George Westford	CSWD	1891
	CVSWMD	1301 595	Williston	CSMD	5967
Roxbury Tunbridge	CVSWMD	1134	Wittiston	CSWD	6753
Tumortage Walden	CVSWMD	755	WIIIOOSKI	CJRD	0133
wateen Washington	CVSWMD	1003	· ·		
wasnington Williamstown	CVSWMD	2811			
Wittiamstown Woodbury	CVSWND	834			
Strafford	CVSWMD GUVS	-			
Greater Upper	-	Waste	Lamoille Region		aste
Management D	istrict		Management D	istrict	
Strafford	CVSWMD GUVS		Belvidere	LRSWMD	234
Bridgewater	GUVSWMD	884	Cambridge	LRSWMD	2978
Hartland	GUVSWMD	3076	Craftsbury	LRSWMD	1156
lorwich	GUVSWMD	3138	Eden	LRSWMD	950
Pomfert	GUVSWMD	903	Elmore	LRSWMD	691
Sharon	GUVSWMD	1195	Hyde Park	LRSWMD	2428
Thetford	GUVSWMD	2537	Johnson	LRSWMD	3136
/ershire	GUVSWMD	623	Morristown	LRSWMD	5131
West Fairlae	GUVSWMD .	657 7285	Stowe	LRSWMD	3858 585
Joods tock	GUVSWMD	3285	Waterville		585 1314
			Wolcott Worcester	LRSWMD LRSWMD	1316 907
Northeast King	dom Waste l	Management		LOWID	
lverill	NEKWAD	7	Lyndon	NEKWMD	5454
lvery's Gor <del>e</del>	NE KWMD	0	Maidstone	NEKWMD	144
Barnet	NEKWMD	1432	Morgan	NEKWMD	535
loomfield	NEKWAD	277	Newark	NEKWMD	355
righton	NEKWMD	1400	Peacham	NEKWMD	647
runswick	NEKWMD	111	Ryegate	NEKWMD	1079
anville	NEKWAD	1990	Sheffield	NEKWMD	610
ast Haven	NEKWMD	272	Stannard	NEKWMD	174
erdinand	NEKWAD	24	Victory	NEKWMD	52
ranby	NEKWMD	91	Warner's Grant	NEKWMD	0
iroton	NEKWMD	931	Warren Gore	NEKWMD	_1
iui ldhall	NEKWMD	315	Waterford	NEKWMD	755
olland	NEKWAD	47D	Westmore	NEKWMD	330
		Δ.	I I man I now	NEKWMD	520 <i>°</i>
ewis unenburg	NEKWMD	0 12 <b>3</b> 0	Wheelock	NEKWID	320

Rutland Coun	ty Solid Wast	e District	Rutland Joint M Committee/ Soli Committee *	-	•
Brandon	RCSWD	4166	Benson	JMSC	871
Castleton	RCSMD	4309	Chittenden	JMSC	1108
Clarendon	RCSWD	2891	Fair Haven	JMSC	2887
Danby	RCSWD	1212	Middletown Springs	JMSC	709
Hubbardton	RCSWD	627	Mount Holly	JMSC	1069
Ira	RCSWD	446	Pawlet	JMSC	1352
Mendon	RCSWD	987	Rutiend Town	JMSC	3987
Mount Tabor	RCSWD	214	Shrewsbury	JMSC	1131
Pittsfield	RCSWD	374	Sudbury	JMSC	518
Pittsford	RCSWD	374	Tinmouth	JMSC	476
Poultney	RCSWD	3404	West Haven	JMSC	277
Proctor	RCSWD	1888	"""		
Rutland City	RCSWD	18059			
Sherburne	RCSWD	756			
Wallingford	RCSWD	2218			
Wallingtord Wells	RCSWD	942			
wells West Rutland	RCSWD	2505			
			**************************************	Di C-1	J 1174-
Northwest Ver		aste	Waterbury/Mad Alliance *	Kiver Som	a waste
Management I	District		Amance +		
Alburg	NHVSHMD	1472	Duxbury	W/MRSWA	1053
Bakersfield	NHVSHMD	1059	Fayston	W/MRSWA	1024
Berkshire	NHVSHMD	1268	Moretown	W/MR SWA	1507
Enosburg	NWVSWMD	2503	Weitsfield	W/MRSWA	1505
Fairfield	NWYSWMD	1888	Warren	W/MRSWA	1317
Fletcher	NWVSWMD	1034	Waterbury	W/MRSWA	4639
Isle LaMotte	NWVSWMD	474	,		
Montgomery	NWVSWMD	827			
Richford	NWVSWMD	2219			
Sheldon	NWVSWMD	1888			
South Hero	NWVSWMD	1511			
St. Albans City	NWVSWMD	7596			
Randolph, Bro	ookfield and E	Fraintree *	White River Alli	ance *	
Braintree	RANDOLPH	1233	Barnard	WRA	856
Brookfield	RANDOLPH	1171	Bethel	WRA	1869
Randolph	RANDOLPH	4716	Hancock	WRA	372
			Royalton	WRA	2336
Southern Wind		•	Windham Solid	Waste Man	agement
Solid Waste M	anagement D	istrict	District		
Andover	SW/WCSWMD	381	Brattleboro	WSWMD	12322
Baltimore	SW/WCSWMD	189	Brookline	WSWMD	417
Cavendish	SW/WCSWMD	1280	Dover	WSWMD	1014
Chester	SW/WCSWMD	2808	Dummerston	WSWMD	1886
Grafton	SW/WCSWMD	647	Guilford	WSWMD	1930
	SW/WCSWMD	2495	Halifax	WSWMD	586
Ludlow	SW/WCSWMD	451	Jamaica	WSWMD	760
			Marlboro	WSWMD	1032
Plymouth		629			
Plymouth Reading	SW/WCSWMD	629 5571	Newfane	WSWMD	1200
Plymouth Reading Rockingham	SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD	5571	Newfane Putney	WSWHD WSWHD	15 <b>86</b> 2610
Plymouth Reading Rockingham Springfield	SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD	5571 9330	Putney	WSWMD	2610
Plymouth Reading Rockingham Springfield Weathersfield	SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD	5571 9330 2672	Putney Readsboro	WSWMD WSWMD	2610 775
Plymouth Reading Rockingham Springfield Weathersfield West Windsor	SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD	5571 9330 2672 950	Putney Readsboro Townshend	WSWHD WSWHD WSWHD	2610 775 1070
Plymouth Reading Rockingham Springfield Weathersfield	SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD SW/WCSWMD	5571 9330 2672	Putney Readsboro	WSWMD WSWMD	2610 775

.

Appendix III

Availability of Local Special Waste Management Options

			ē	Auto	Rechard	Droeil			White				Mercury	PCB	
TOWN	SW District	Used oil filters	filters	Batts	batts	batts	Oil paint	Oil paint Latex paint		Tires	HHW	Pesticides	lamps	ballasts	Thermostats
Addison	ACSWMD	Q		0	٥	O	D		0	٥	Q	0	Q	D	D
Albany		d		d	W		M	M	W	¥	W	M			
Alburg	NWVSWMD	a			a		D	a	O	Q	a				
Andover	SWWCSWMD D	a		a	٥	q	Б		Q	a	o	Q			
Arlington	BRPC	a		Ь	Q		b	۰ ۵	d	Ь	Q	Q			
Athens															
Averill	NEKWMD	Q		a	D		р	a	D		a	D			
Avery's Gore	NEKWMD	a		a	٥		D	Q	O		۵	D			
Bakersfield	NWVSWMD	Q			Q		D	Q	۵	٥	q	٥	•		
Baltimore	SWAVCSWAD	a		a	٥	o	D		D	q	D	Q			
Barnard	WRA	×		M	a.		D		¥		Q	D	-		
Barnet	NEKWMD	Q		a	D		D	Q	Q		Q	Q			
Barre City	CVSWMD	Q			D,P		D			Ь	O	٥		٥	q
Barre Town	CVSWMD	Q			4'0		Q				q	Q	Q	a	a
Barton		Ь		Ь	¥		¥		¥	¥	M	×	-		
Belvidere	LRSWIND	٥			Q		Q			٥	٥	D			
Bennington	BRPC	٥		Ь	D,P		О	0	Ь	d	o o	O			
Benson	JMSC	Q			٥		O O				a	Q			
Berkshire	NWVSWMD	a					D	۵	٥	Q	D	Q			
Berlin	CVSWMD	D,P			D,P		۵			Ь	۵	۵	۵	D	D
Bethel	WRA	D	٥	a	٥	Q	۵		٥	a	۵	٥			
Bloomfield	NEKWMD	٥		Q	۵		۵	۵	۵		Q.	Q			
Botton	cswp	O	Q	D	O		۵	٥	۵	O	Q	Q	٥	Q	D
Bradford	CVSWMD	٥			D,P		۵				۵	٥	٥	۵	D
Braintree	RANDOLPH	a		D	a		Q.	D	Q	٥	a	o			
Brandon	RCSWD	¥		¥	¥		٥	٥	¥	W	D	Q			
Brattleboro	WSWMD	٥	۵	٥	۵		۵		٥	۵	٩	a			
Bridgewater	GUVSWMD	D'C		Q	D'C		D'C		0	٥	D'C	D'C	D'C	D'C	o'a
Bridport	ACSWMD	٥		٥	۵		٥		٥	۵	<u> </u>	۵	۵	۵	۵
Brighton	NEKWMD	0		٩	Q		٥	٥	٥		۵	a			

TOWN	SW District	Usedoil	Oil	Auto Batts	Recharg batts	Dry cell	Oil paint	Latex paint	White	Tires	AH H	Pecticides	Mercury	PCB Pallacte	Therminetate
Bristol		Σ		≥	Σ				2	Σ	Σ	2			Cipolitain
Brookfield	RANDOLPH	٥		٥	٥		٩	۵	0	٥	٥	٥			
Brookline	WSWMD	9	Q	٥	٥				٥	۵	۵	٥			
Brownington		þ		<u>a</u>	Σ			. 2		2	Σ	2			
Brunswick	NEKWMD	٥		٥	٥						٥	a			
Buel's Gore															
Burke		_		٩					2	۵	2	2			
Burlington	cswb	٥	۵	۵	0.0		٥	٥	O.P	٥	٥	٩	٥	٥	a
Cabot	CVSWMD	٥			٥		٥				٥	٥	٥	9	٥
Calais	CVSWMD	Q			٥		۵				٥	٥	٥	وا	٥
Cambridge	LRSWMD	o			a		٥		ا	۵	۵	٥			
Cangan		M						¥	  ≥						
Castleton	RCSWD	M		Σ	D,P					Σ	٩	٥			
Cavendish	SWWCSWMD	Q (		Q	a	٥	٥		ا	۵	۵	٥			
Charleston		Ь		Ь	M		W	M	_	×	Σ	2			
Charlotte	CSWD	Q	O	D	اه		O		Q	٥	۵	۵	۵	٥	0
Chelsea	CVSWMD	D			D		Q		۵		۵	٥	a	٥	٥
Chester	SWWCSWMD	<b>∑</b>		Σ	v	₹	Q		¥	Ψ	Q	Q			
Chittenden	JMSC	٥			0		۵		2	Σ	О	. 0			
Clarendon	RCSWD	٥			Q		a		¥		D	D			
Colchester	CSWD	٥	٥	۵	D,P		Q	Q	0	q	<u>a</u>	0	Q	Q	٥
Concord															
Corinth		۵		Д					۵	Ь					
Cornwall	ACSWMD	۵		۵	D		Q		0	a	a	· a	٥	٥	٥
Coveritry		ž		Σ	×		2	W	M	M	Σ	≥			
Craftsbury	LRSWMD	۵		۵	O		D		٥	O	o	a			
Danby	RCSWD	٥			O		D	a			Q	a			
Darwille	NEKWMD	O		o	o		O		0		۵	٥			
Derby		Z		2	Σ		Σ	×	M	¥	Z				
Dorset	BRPC	۵		۵	D,P		۵		Ь	Ь	Q	D			
Dover	WSWMD	Ω	٥	Q	Q		Q		O	a	a	٥			<b>.</b>
Dummerston	WSWMD	٥	٥	۵	D		D		٥	a	Q	a			
Durbury	WMRSWA	۵		۵	D		Q		d	Ь	Q	D			
East Haven	NEKWMD	۵		۵	D		D	Q	Q		D	٥			
East Montpelier	CVSWMD	D,P	Ь		О		Q				٥	۵	٥	٥	٥
Eden	LRSWMD	o		Q	q		٥		٥	٥	۵	g			
Character												1			

TOWN	SW District	Oil Used oil filters	Oil	Auto Batts	Recharg batts	Dry cell batts	Oil paint	Latex paint	White goods	Tires	нн	Pesticides	Mercury lamps	PCB ballasts	Thermostats
Enosburg	NWVSWMD	۵			O,P			٥	۵	۵	٥	a			
Essex	CSWD	O	a	Q	D,P		0	a	Q	٥	Q	Q	q	٥	٥
Essex Junction	CSWD	a	Q	Q	D,P				a	a	a	a	q	a	Q
Fair Haven		a			a		0		M	M	G	a			
Fairfax															
Fairfield	NWVSWMD	۵			٥		٥	٥	٥	٥	a	Q			
Fairlee	WRA														
Fayston	WMRSWA	۵		۵	Q		Q		d	۵	٥	٥			
Ferdinand	NEKWMD	۵		۵	Q		٥	۵	a		٥	۵			
Ferrisburg	ACSWMD	٥		٥	٥		۵		٥	٥	۵	٥	۵	٥	۵
Fletcher	NWVSWMD	٥	L		٥		٥	٥	۵	٥	۵	۵			
Franklin		Σ		W	W	Σ			M	W	W	W			
Georgia		٩		۵.	¥				W	Σ	Σ				
Glastenbury	BRPC	Q		Ь	٥			٥	d	Ь	a	٥			
Glover		Ь		≨	W				W	W	Σ	¥			
Goshen	ACSWMD	۵		0	٥		٥		٥	Q	۵	٥	. а	۵	۵
Grafton	SW/WCSW/MD D	٥		Q	٥	Q	٥		a	q	a	٥			
Granby .	NEKWMD	a		Q	D		Q	Q	Q		D	Q	,		
Grand Isle				ļ											
Granville	WRA														
Greensboro		Ь		a,	M		Σ	₹	M	۵	Σ		,		
Groton	NEKWMD	Q		۵	D		۵	D	۵		۵	٥			
Guildhall	NEKWMD	O		Q	Q		٥	۵	Q		٥	O			
Guilford	WSWMD	D	٥	٥	a a		۵		Q	Q	Q	o			
Halifax	WSWMD	۵	D	0	Q		D		O	۵	٥	D			
Hancock	WRA	Q	٥	٥	D	Q	٥		۵	a	۵	٥			
Hardwick	CVSWMD	Q			D		Q		Ь		۵	D	a	q	a
Hartford		×		M	M,C,P		M,C		Z	Σ	M,C	M,C	M,C	M,C	M,C
Hartland	GUVSWMD	D'C		O	D'C		o'a		D	D	D,C	o'a	D,C	D'C	D,C
Highgate		W		W	M		Σ	M	¥	¥	Σ	M			
Hinesburg	CSWD	O	a	۵	q		a	D	Q	۵	٥	a	O.	O	q
Holland	NEKWMD	a		۵	D		۵	۵	٥		٥	О			
Hubbardton	RCSWD	Q			a		О	D			Q	D			
Huntington	CSWD	D	D	٥	lo d		Q.	O	D	Q	Q	D	D	Q	D
Hyde Park	LRSWMD	a		٥	D.		Q		Q	Q	Q	a		_	
Ira	RCSWD	О			D		Д	D			Q	D			
Irachura			_		:										

TOWN	SW District	Used	Oii	Auto	Recharg	Dry ceff batts	Oil paint	Latex paint	White	Ties	ZHW.	Pesticides	Mercury	PC8	Thermostats
Isle LaMotte	NWVSWMD	۵			٥				٥	٥	٥	٥			
Jamaica	WSWMD	۵	۵	٥	٥				٥	٥	٥	٥			
Jay		<u> </u>		۵	2			2	_	Σ	Σ	≥			
Jericho	CSWD	٥	۵	٥	Q		٥	Q	٥	٥	٥	_ _	۵	٥	٥
Johnson	LRSWMD	۵		٥	٥		۵		٥	٥	٥	<u> </u>			
Kirby															
Landgrove	BRPC	a		Ь	a			٥	a	۵	۵	٥			
Leicester	ACSWMD	q		Q	а		٥		۵	٥	۵	٥	۵	٥	٥
Lemington															
Lewis .	NEKWMD	۵		۵	٥		Г		۵		٥	٥			
Lincoln	ACSWMD	۵		۵	۵		٥		٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥
Londonderry					Ь				2	≥					
Lowell		d		ď	W			M	¥	Σ	Σ	Σ			
Ludlow	SWWCSWMD	٥		M	M	<b>Z</b>	۵		2	¥	۵	٥			
Lunenburg	NEKWMD	Q		a	a			Q	٥		۵	۵			
Lyndon	NEKWMD	D		Q				Q	Q		۵	D			
Maidstone	NEKWMD	D		o	О			Q	a		Q	a			
Manchester	BRPC	Σ		_	D,P			D	M,P	M,P	Q	a			
Martboro	WSWMD	۵	D	D	D		ا م		Q	Q	a	a			
Marshfield	CVSWMD	۵			D		٥				۵	Q	a	Q	Q
Mendon	RCSWD	۵			٥			۵			۵	Ω			
Middlebury	ACSWMD	۵		٥	D		٥		٥	D	٥	D	О	O	a a
Middlesex	CVSWMD	٥			D		D		Ь		Q	Q	o o	O	o o
Middletown	MSC	_							2		ے	c			
Mitton	CSWD	٥	٥	٩	D.P		٩	   	٥	٥	٥	عاد	٩	٩	ء
Monidon	ACSWIND	٥		۵	٥		٥		۵	٥	٥	٥	٥		
Montgomery	NWVSWMD	Q			o			0	Q	Ģ	۵	۵			
Montpeller	CVSWMD	O,P			q			d	d	Ь	Q	۵	а	٥	٥
Moretown	WMRSWA	Q		Ь	q		a		Ь	Д	۵	Q			
Morgan	NEKWMD	Q		O	D			a	Q		۵	D			
Morristown	LRSWMD	D,P		۵	D,P		٥		D,P	Q	۵	۵			
Mount Hothy	JMSC	٥			۵		۵		Σ	2	٥	۵			
Mount Tabor	RCSWD	٥			٥		٥	a			۵	٥			
New Haven	ACSWMD	۵		٥	٥		٥		٥	۵	٥	Q	Q	Д	Q
Newark	NEKWMD	۵		۵	۵		٥	٥	٥		۵	a			
Newbury										Σ					
Newfane	WSWMD	۵	요	Ω	0		٥		۵	۵	٥	D			

TOWN	SW District	Used oil	Oil	Auto Batts	Recharg batts	Dry cell batts	Oil paint	Latex paint	White goods	Tires	¥ ¥	Pesticides	Mercury lamps	PCB ballasts	Thermostats
Newport City		۵		a.	M,P		≥			Σ	Σ	2			
Newport Town		Ь		þ	V			W	2	≥	Σ	∑			
North Hero		×		4	Σ		Σ	∑	Σ	≥	≨	Σ			
Northfield	CVSWMD	۵			ďα		٥				۵	٩	٥	٥	٥
Norton															
Norwich	GUVSWMD	o'a		٥	D,C,P		D,C		٥	٥	၁'ဝ	o'a	0,0	o'o	<u>ي</u>
Orange	CVSWMD	٥			a		۵				٥	۵	۵	٥	٥
Orwell	ACSWMD	۵		٥	۵		۵		٥	٥	٥	0	<u>م</u>	٥	٥
Panton	ACSWMD	٥		۵	٥		۵		  -	<u> </u>	۵	<u> </u>	a	٥	و
Pawfet	JMSC	۵			٥		٥		z	∑	۵	<u> </u>			
Peacham	NEKWIMD	۵		٥	Q		٥	<u>c</u>	٥		٥	٩			
Peru	BRPC	٥		۵	Q		٥	۵	۵	۵	٥	٥			
Pittsfield	RCSWD	٥			à		٥	a			٥	0			
Pittsford	RCSWD	¥		M	0		0	D		٥	<u>_</u>	a			
Plainfield	CVSWMD	a			Q		O				Q	0	م	٥	٥
Plymouth	SW/WCSW/MD D	D		a	O	q	a		a	D	O	۵			
Pomfert	GUVSWMD	o'a		a	D'C		o'a		a	a	o'a	o'a	o'a	2'0	o'a
Poultney	RCSWD	Σ		M	q		q	· a	M	M	q	a			
Pownal	BRPC	lo		M,P	Q		Q	q	M,P	M,P	a	a.			
Proctor	RCSWD	o O			q		Q	a	¥		q	a			
Putney	WSWMD	۵	D	a	_ ol		۵		q	a	q	a	,		
Randolph	RANDOLPH	a		Q	a		٥	a	a	Q	a	a			
Reading	SWWCSWMD	ال		a	q	Q	۵		a	a	a	Q			
Readsboro	WSWMD	Q	D	٥	a		۵		q	a	٥	. <u>a</u>			
Richford	NWVSWMD	p			D		۵	Q	D	D	۵	٥			
Richmond	CSWD	Q	O	D	a		D	٥	D	a	Q	a	. 0	D	a
Ripton	ACSWIND	D		0	a q		D		D	۵	Q	a	a	D	a
Rochester	WRA				Ь										
Rockingham	SWWCSWMD D	0		Ψ	M	≥	O		Σ	M	Q	a			
Roxbury	CVSWMD	O			lo d		a				Q	aj	a	q	۵
Royalton	WRA	o	<u>o</u>	۵	o a	ъ.	Ω		Q	Q	Q	a			
Rupert	BRPC	٥		М,Р	a		Q	a	Ь	Ь	Q	Q			
Rutland City	RCSWD	Μ, P		d,	D,P		۵	О	M,P	M,P	Q	Q			
Rutland Town	JMSC	۵			D,P		Ω			M	Q	a			
Ryegate	NEKWMD	۵		۵	D		٥	۵	О		a	Q			
Salisbury		M,P		Σ	M		Σ	Σ	Σ	×	Σ	M		M	
Sandoate	BRPC	٩		۵	p		q	lp d	۵	۵	٥	a			

NMOL	SW District	Used oil	i Oi	Auto Batts	Recharg batts	Dry cell batts	Oii Baint	Latex paint	White	\$ <u>2</u>	¥	Pesticides	Mercury	PCB ballasts	Thermostats
	100000	5		CIVINA	cupa	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		7 TO	2000	3		-	2	COMME	THE HIGH IN THE
T							T							1	
Shaftsbury	BRPC	۵		۵	٥			۵	M,P	Α'n	۵	۵			
Sharon	GUVSWMD	0,0		٥	o'a		D'C		Q	Q	D'C	D,C	o'c	D'C	D'C
Sheffield	NEKWIND	a		a	a			a	Q		q	q			
	CSWD	٥	٥	٥	٥			٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	۵	٥
	NWVSWMD	٥			٥			Q	٥	<u>0</u>	٥	٥			
و	RCSWD	٥			۵		۵	۵	≥		٥	۵		 	
Shoreham	ACSWMD	٥		٥	۵		٥		۵	۵	۵	٥	٥	٥	٥
_	JMSC	٥			٥		٥		¥	Z	٥	٥			
South Burlington	CSWD	a	۵	q	d'O		a	Q	٥	a	a	Q	Q	Q	D
	OWASAMA	a			a		Q	a	Q	a	Q	Q			
Springfield	SW/WCS/WIND D	١٥		۵	D,P	a	٥		a	a	Q	٥			
St. Albans City	NWVSWMD	D,P			D,P		D	Q	D	Q	۵	С			
St. Albans Town		×		Z	W		×		2	≆	Σ				
	CSWD	q	o	D	q		Q	O	۵	۵	۵	٥	D	Q	٥
St. Johnsbury					Ь				M	¥					
Stamford	BRPC	Q		¥,P	0	Z	D	O	M,P	Μ̈́P	D	٥			
Stannard	NEKWMD	a		D	D		D .	a	D		Q	o O			
Starksboro	ACSWMD	٥		Q			D		Q	Q	٥	a	٥	О	۵
Stockbridge	WRA														
Stowe	LRSWMD	D,P		D	O		D		0	0	۵	q			
Strafford	CVSWMD	ပိုင		0	၁'၀		၁'၀		٥	٥	၁ဝ	၁'၀	υ O	0,0	၁'၀
Stratton									W	Σ					
Sudbury	JMSC	a			O		D		Ш		Q	q			
Sunderland	BRPC	¥		Σ	٥		0	Q	M,P	ď,	۵	۵			
Sutton															
Swanton		¥		Σ	M,P		Σ	Σ	Σ	2	Σ	₹			
Thefford	GUVSWMD	D'C		Q	D'C		D'C		۵	۵	၁'ဝ	D,C	D'C	D'C	D,C
Tinmouth	JMSC	۵			۵		۵		Σ	Z	٥	۵			
Topsham															
Townshend	WSWMD	۵	٥	۵	٥		۵		۵	٥	۵	۵			
Troy		۵		۵	Σ		ž	≆	Σ	Σ	Σ	×			
Tunbridge	CVSWMD	٥			D		۵				٥	۵	۵	۵	۵
Underhill					¥		2				Σ	×			
Vergennes	ACSWMD	Ω		<u>Ω</u>	D,P		۵		٥	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	Q
Vernon	WSWMD	۵	<u>-</u>	۵	a		۵		٥	٥	9	_		_	

TOWN	SW District	Oil Used oil filters	ilfers	Auto Batts	Recharg batts	Dry cell batts	Oit paint (	Latex paint	White goods	Tires	¥ H	Pesticides	Mercury lamps	PCB ballasts	Thermostats
Vershire	GUVSWMD	<u>0</u> '0		۵	D'C				D,M	٥	၁'၀	o'a	D'C	o'a	D'C
Victory	NEKWMD	٥		Q	D			Q	D		a	Q			
Waitsfield	WMRSWA	a		Ь	D,P		Q		Ь	Ь	q	Q			
Walden	CVSWMD	٥			0		_ _				q	ol Ol	a	a	D
Wallingford	RCSWD	Σ		Σ	O		a	Q	2	¥	Q	a			
Waltham	ACSWIND	۵		0	O		٥		D	۵	۵	اه	اه	q	o
Wardsboro									M	M					
Warner's Grant	NEKWMD	Q		D	q			0	Q		۵	۵			
Warren	WIMESWA	٥		Ь	a		۵		Ь	Ь	D	۵	-		
Warren Gore	NEKWMD	Q		a	a			۵	Q		Q	O			
Washington	CVSWMD	a			a a		٥				0	q	<u>a</u>	q	a
Waterbury	W/MRSWA	a		Ь	D,P		Q		Ь	Ь	<u>0</u>	a			
Waterford	NEKWMD	0		a	ا م		Q	D	Q		۵	a			
Waterville	LRSWMD	۵		٥	D		a		a	a	q	a			
Weathersfield	SWWCSWMD			≨	W	M	Q		¥	Σ	Q	Q	,		
Wells	RCSWD	Σ			a		q	lo a	W	Σ	a,	a			
West Fairlee	GUVSWMD	oʻa		O	o'a		D'C		0	Q	D'C	D,C	o'a	D,C	D,C
West Haven	JMSC	Q	-		. a		٥		<b>∑</b>	<b>∑</b>	۵	٥			
West Rutland	RCSWD	×		Σ	D		_	D			٥	٥			
West Windsor	SWWCSWMD			۵	D	۵	٥		۵	۵	۵	۵			
Westfield		Ь		Q.	M		Σ	M	Σ	Σ	Σ	∑		į	
Westford	CSWD	o	Q	D	D		D	٥	O	a	D	O	D	Q	a
Westminster	SWWCSWMD	olد		a	q	O	Q		٥	۵	O	٥			
Westmore	NEKWMD	۵		۵	q		٥	٥	٥		۵	٥			
Weston			_								_				
Weybridge	ACSWMD	Q		۵	۵		۵		٥	۵	۵	٥	٥	٥	۵
Wheelock	NEKWMD	Q		٥	۵		٥	۵	٥		٥	۵			
Whiting	ACSWMD	O		۵	۵		_		<u>_</u>	۵	ᅀ	۵	٥	۵	_
Whitingham	WSWMD	D	۵	٥	۵		۵		0	_	۵	۵	-		
Williamstown	CVSWMD	Q			D,P		٥				D	o O	a	0	О
Williston	CSWD	a	Q	Q	D,P		۵	0	٥	0	0	٥	٥	<u>_</u>	۵
Wilmington	WSWMD	۵	٥	O	D,P		۵		٥	۵	0	٥			_
Windham				_											
Windsor	SWWCSWMD	alc		Σ	M	Σ	٥		Σ	Σ	O	o.			
Winhall									M	Σ					
Winooski	CSWD	Q	q	D	O		۵	O	<u>0</u>	۵	Q	D O	Q	О	۵
74-1-14		L	L	ŀ	_						-				

TOWN	SW District	Used oil filters	Auto Batts	Recharg batts	Dry cell batts	Oii paint	White Oil paint Latex paint goods		Tires	MH.	Mercury HHW Pesticides lamps		PCB ballasts	Thermostats
Woodbury	CVSWMD	٥		٥	l	٥			ı	٥	٥	l	٥	٥
Woodford	BRPC	٥	ž	О		٥			Ь	٥	۵			
Woodstack	GUVSWMD	o'a	Q	D,C,P		o'a		0	Q	D'C	D'C	D'C	o'a	p'c
Worcester	LRSWMD	٥	٥	٥		٥		a	٥	a	ĺ			

# Abbreviations:

Non-profit community group provides collection

Solid waste district or Alliance of towns provides collection

Town or city provides collection

Private business provides collection

Addison County Solid Waste Management District **ACSWMD** 

Sennington Regional Planning Commission BRPC

Chittenden Solid Waste District CSWD

Central Vermont Solid Waste Management District CVSWMD

Greater Upper Valley Solid Waste Management District GUVSWMD

loint Municipal Survey Committee (Rutland) **CRSWMD** IMSC

Lamoille Regional Solid Waste Management District Northeast Kingdom Waste Management District NEKWMD

Northwest Vermont Solid Waste Management District Rutland County Solid Waste District **NWVSWMD** RCSWD

Southern Windsor/Windham County Solid Waste Management District SW/WCSWMD

Waterbury/Mad River Solid Waste Alliance W/MRSWA

White River Alliance

Windham Solid Waste Management District WSWMD

#### References

- 1. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Characterization of Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 1996 Update (EPA530-R-97-015), June 1997
- 2. Center for Risk Analysis, A Historical Perspective on Risk Assessment in the Federal Government, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, March 1994.
- 3. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Vermont Waste Paint Report, January 1992.
- 4. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Vermont Household Battery Report, January 1992.
- 5. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, A Report to the General Assembly of the State of Vermont on the Management of Mercury-Containing Lamps, March 1995.
- 6. Filter Manufacturers Council, A Report on Used Oil Filter Recycling to the Vermont State House of Representatives in care of Representative Ben Rose, January 1996.
- 7. United States Department of Energy, Waste Oil: Technology, Economics, and Environmental, Health, and Safety Considerations (DOE/EV/10450-H2), January 1987.
- 8. Nolan, John J., Harris, Christopher and Cavanough, Christopher O., *Used Oil: Disposal Options, Management Practices and Potential Liability, Second Edition*, Government Institutes, Inc., Rockville, MD, 1989.
- 9. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, proposed Waste Oil Collection and Management Plan, February 1993.
- 10. United States Environmental Protection Agency, How to set Up a Local Program to Recycle Used Oil (EPA/530-sw-89-039A), May 1989.
- 11. American Petroleum Institute, National Used Oil Collection Study, May 1996.
- 12. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Department of Natural Resources, Air Pollution Control Division and Hazardous Materials Management Division, Vermont Used Oil Analysis and Waste Oil Furnace Emissions Study, September 1994.
- 13. Griggs, Gregory K., Its What You Filter: A case study on industry efforts to encourage recycling of used oil filters, Filtration & Separation Systems, Vol.1, No.2, Mar/Apr 1996.
- 14. United State Environmental Protection Agency, States' Efforts to Promote Lead-Acid Battery Recycling (EPA/530-SW-91-029), January 1992.
- Franklin Associates, Ltd., Characterization of Products Containing Lead and Cadmium in Municipal Solid Waste in the United States, 1970 to 2000, US EPA Office of Solid Waste, Final Report, January 1989.

- 16. Vermont Statutes Annotated, 10 VSA §6621c.
- 17. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Directory of Recycling Programs, August 1996.
- 18. California Integrated Waste Management Board, Household Battery Waste Management Study, March 1992.
- 19. Vermont Statutes Annotated, 10 VSA §6621a.
- 20. National Electrical Manufacturers Association, The Declining Presence of Mercury in Batteries and Municipal Solid Waste, May 1996.
- 21. United State Environmental Protection Agency, Used Dry Cell Batteries, Is a Collection Program Right for Your Community?, (EPA/530-K-92-006), December 1992.
- 22. United States Environmental Protection Agency, National Volatile Organic Compounds
  Emission Standards for Architectural Coatings: Proposed rule and notice of public hearing,
  61 Federal Register 32729, June 25, 1996.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, Characterization of Products Containing Mercury in Municipal Solid Waste in the United States, 1970 to 2000, (EPA530-R-92-013), April 1992.
- 24. Tellus Institute, Substitution Case Study: Alternatives to Solvent-based Paints, Toxics Use Reduction Institute, University of Massachusetts Lowell, March 1993.
- 25. Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission, Household Hazardous Waste: A Vermont Perspective, August 24, 1992.
- Little, Arthur D. Inc., Recycling Handbook for Selected Materials: Batteries, Waste Paper, Plastics, Ferrous Auto Scrap, Tires, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Solid Waste Management, Office of Recycling, March 1989.
- 27. Beck, R.W. and Associates, *Tire Management Study for the State of New Hampshire*, New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, Waste Management Division, Volume I, June 1990.
- 28. Powell, Jerry, *The Hottest Trends in Tire Recycling*, Resource recycling, Vol XV, No. 12, December 1996.
- 29. Envirologic, Inc., A Report on the Use of Shredded Scrap Tires in On-site Sewage Disposal Systems, Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, May 22, 1990.
- 30. Powell, Jerry, Signs of a Maturing Industry: the Recent Growth in Scrap Tire Recovery, Resource Recycling, Vol. XVI, No. 3, March 1997.
- 31. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Department of Environmental Conservation, Solid Waste Management Division, Acceptable Uses of Shredded Tires (Draft), June 11, 1990.

- 32. Boone, Kathy, Richter, Trudy and Doty, Kathie, Appliance recycling: Boom or bust?, Resource Recycling, Vol.XV, No. 7, July 1996.
- 33. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Department of Environmental Conservation, Solid Waste Management Division, Recommended Course of Action to Require Removal of Wet Capacitors from Appliances and Fluorescent Ballasts, April 11, 1990.
- 34. United States Environmental Protection Agency letter to state regulatory programs regarding the use of PCB capacitors in household "white goods", October 20, 1988
- 35. DPRA, Incorporated, Managing Problem Materials Generated by Discarded Appliances and Vehicles, Northeast Waste Management Officials Association, September 1994.
- 36. Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries, Turning White to Green: Appliance Recycling Spins Towards 21st Century, Phoenix: Voice of the Scrap Recycling Industries, Vol. 26, No. 2, Fall 1992.
- 37. McKenna, Diane, Powers, Karen and Reagan, Sean, Life Cycle Assessment of the Disposal of Household Electronics, Master of Science Thesis, Tufts University, August 1, 1996.
- 38. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Markets and Special Services Directory for Reusable and Recyclable Materials, Revised Seventh Edition November 1966.
- 39. Comments by Mark Geise of Conversion Technologies International (a CRT recycling firm) at a Universal Waste management conference sponsored by the North East Waste Management Officials Association (NEWMOA) in Auburn, MA on May 28, 1997.
- 40. McAdams, Cheryl, Resurrecting the Computer Graveyard, Waste Age, February 1995.
- 41. National Electrical Manufacturers Association, Fluorescent Lamps and the Environment, (NEMA96BR), November 1996.
- 42. Vermont Statutes Annotated, Title 24, Section 2202a(c)(4).
- 43. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Department of Environmental Conservation, Solid Waste Management Division, Procedure Addressing Implementation of 10 V.S.A. §6606c Requirements for Unregulated Hazardous Waste Diversion at Solid Waste Management Facilities, October 7, 1994.
- 44. Vermont Department of Agriculture, Food and Markets, Vermont Regulations for Control of Pesticides in Accordance with 6 V.S.A. Chapter 87, Effective: August 2, 1991.
- 45. Vermont Statutes Annotated, 6 VSA §918.